

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Emery, J., Mitchell, N., Cogger, H., Agius, J., Andrew, P., Arnall, S., Detto, T., Driscoll, D., Flakus, S., Green, P., Harlow, P., McFadden, M., Pink, C., Retallick, K., Rose, K., Sleeth, M., Tiernan, B., Valentine, L.E., Woinarski, J.C.Z. (2020). The lost lizards of Christmas Island: a retrospective assessment of factors driving the collapse of a native reptile community. *Conservation Science and Practice*, e358 which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1111/csp2.358>.

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

1 **The lost lizards of Christmas Island: a retrospective assessment of factors driving the**
2 **collapse of a native reptile community**

3
4 Jon-Paul Emery^{1*}, Nicola J. Mitchell¹, Harold Cogger², Jessica Agius³, Paul Andrew^{4†}, Sophie Arnall⁵,
5 Tanya Detto⁶, Don A. Driscoll⁷, Samantha Flakus⁶, Peter Green⁸, Peter Harlow⁴, Michael McFadden⁴,
6 Caitlyn Pink⁶, Kent Retallick⁶, Karrie Rose⁹, Matthew Sleeth¹⁰, Brendan Tiernan⁶, Leonie E. Valentine¹
7 & John Z. Woinarski¹¹

8
9 Author affiliations

- 10 1. School of Biological Sciences, The University of Western Australia, WA, 6009, Australia
11 2. John Evans memorial fellow, Australian Museum, Sydney, NSW 2010, Australia.
12 3. Sydney School of Veterinary Science, Faculty of Science, University of Sydney, Brownlow Hill, NSW
13 2570, Australia
14 4. Taronga Conservation Society Australia, P.O. Box 20, Mosman, NSW 2088, Australia
15 5. 1258 Gill Road, Kunjin, WA, 6375, Australia
16 6. Christmas Island National Park, Drumsite, Territory of Christmas Island, Australia
17 7. Centre for Integrative Ecology, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Deakin University
18 Geelong, Melbourne Burwood campus, VIC 3125, Australia.
19 8. Department of Ecology, Environment and Evolution, La Trobe University, Melbourne, VIC 3083,
20 Australia
21 9. Australian Registry of Wildlife Health, Taronga Conservation Society Australia, Bradleys Head Road,
22 Mosman, NSW 2088, Australia
23 10. 43 Scotland Avenue, Greensborough VIC 3088, Australia
24 11. Research Institute for the Environment and Livelihoods, Charles Darwin University, NT 0909,
25 Australia

26
27 **Running head:** Extinction of Christmas Island lizards

28
29 **Target audience:** This article would most interest those involved with threatened species management,
30 particularly on islands.

31
32 **Word count abstract:** 204

33 **Word count manuscript:** 7320 (5458 without tables 1 and 3)

34 **References:** 65

35 **Figures & Tables:** 2 Figures, 3 tables (plus one supplementary table)

36
37 *Corresponding author: jon-paul.emery@research.uwa.edu.au

38 †In memoriam; 1953-2020

39 **Abstract**

40 Until recently, the reptile fauna of Christmas Island in the Indian Ocean comprised five endemic species (two
41 skinks, two geckos and one snake) and one native, non-endemic skink. Four of these species were common and
42 widespread until at least 1979, but by 2012 had disappeared from the wild. During the years of decline, little
43 research was undertaken to examine why the species were disappearing. Here we use a retrospective expert
44 elicitation to rank potential factors that contributed to the loss of Christmas Island's reptiles and to assess the
45 likelihood of re-establishing populations of two species now listed as Extinct in the Wild. We additionally
46 considered why one endemic lizard, the Christmas Island giant gecko (*Cyrtodactylus saddleiri*), and three
47 introduced lizards remain common. Experts considered that the introduced common wolf snake (*Lycodon*
48 *capucinus*) was the most likely cause of decline, as its temporal and spatial spread across the island closely
49 matched patterns of lizard disappearances. An Asian co-occurrence in recent evolutionary timeframes of the
50 common wolf snake with the Christmas Island giant gecko and three introduced reptiles was the most marked
51 point of difference between the extant and lost lizard species. The demise in less than 20 years of 80% of Christmas
52 Island's native lizard assemblage highlights the vulnerability of island fauna to invading species.

53 **Keywords:** Christmas Island, expert elicitation, extinction, island, invasive species, *Lycodon capucinus*, reptile

54 1 | INTRODUCTION

55 The current rate of world extinctions is estimated to be between eight and 100 times higher than the background
56 extinction rate (Ceballos et al. 2015). Habitat loss is the single biggest threat to biodiversity, but invasive species,
57 exploitation, disease and anthropogenic climate change also pose significant ongoing threats (Sax & Gaines 2008;
58 Maxwell et al. 2016; Díaz et al. 2020). Diagnosing the causes of species' decline and extinction is often complex,
59 as threats may operate independently, synergistically, successively, or at different locations. Compared to
60 continental landmasses, species inhabiting oceanic islands are particularly vulnerable to threats resulting from
61 human occupancy, and are susceptible to even small changes in their environment. As such, 85%, and 95% of
62 recorded mammal and bird extinctions, respectively, have occurred on islands since 1500 (Blackburn et al. 2004;
63 Szabo et al. 2012).

64
65 Christmas Island (10°30'S, 105°40'E) in the Indian Ocean supported a rich and distinctive biota (James et al.
66 2019), but has undergone substantial loss of native and endemic species since its colonisation in 1888. These
67 losses amount to four of the five endemic species of mammals, four of the six native reptiles (one Extinct, two
68 Extinct in the Wild and one extirpation) and likely numerous extinctions in the invertebrate fauna whose
69 conservation status remains largely unknown (Andrew et al. 2018; James et al. 2019). Since the early 1990s,
70 outbreaks of supercolonies of the introduced yellow crazy ant (*Anoplolepis gracilipes*) (YCA) have caused
71 additional widescale ecological changes by killing millions of the endemic Christmas Island red crab
72 (*Gecarcoidea natalis*) which are the key regulator of ecosystem function on the island (Green et al. 2011). Such
73 a systematic and rapid removal of a keystone species has resulted in an 'ecosystem meltdown' on Christmas Island
74 (O'Dowd et al. 2003).

75
76 Christmas Island's squamate fauna includes eleven species first recorded between 1886 and 1987, of which six
77 (four endemic lizards, one widely-distributed lizard and one endemic blind snake) represent the native, pre-
78 settlement complement of terrestrial reptiles. The island's native lizard species were widespread and abundant
79 until 1979 (Cogger et al. 1983), with the blue-tailed skink (*Cryptoblepharus egeriae*) considered hyper-abundant
80 within the island's settlement area until at least 1990 (Peter Green *pers. obs.*). However, four native lizard species
81 (the blue-tailed skink, Lister's gecko *Lepidodactylus listeri*, Christmas Island forest skink *Emoia nativitatis* and
82 coastal skink *Emoia atrocostata*) underwent a precipitous decline over the following three decades, and by 2012
83 had vanished from the wild (Smith et al. 2012; Andrew et al. 2018). Intermittent and limited monitoring made it

84 difficult to delineate the timing and spread of decline, and the variable incidence, extent and impact of putative
85 threat factors (Smith et al. 2012). Rumpff (1992) first documented the decline of the blue-tailed skink in the
86 Settlement (in the Island's North-east) and suggested that the then recently introduced common wolf snake
87 (*Lycodon capucinus*) was likely involved. In the next notable assessment, Cogger and Sadler (1998) reported
88 major declines in blue-tailed skinks and Lister's geckos (relative to their former abundance in 1979), although
89 this assessment was not quantitative. Between 2004-2007, James (2007) undertook systematic reptile surveys and
90 found that populations of three lizard species (blue-tailed skink, Christmas Island forest skink and coastal skink)
91 were rapidly declining and becoming fragmented, with some spatial variability in the decline (losses were most
92 severe in the north-east near the Settlement, and least evident in the south-west of the island). Fortunately, just
93 preceding their extirpation from the wild, Parks Australia initiated a captive breeding program, which although
94 was ultimately unsuccessful for the Christmas Island forest skink, has prevented extinction of blue-tailed skinks
95 and Lister's geckos (Andrew et al. 2018).

96

97 Despite increased monitoring in the years before 2012, the primary mechanism for decline was not resolved, and
98 hence there was little scope for targeted remedial management. Smith et al. (2012) described patterns of the
99 collapse of the native lizard fauna, and concluded that predation from introduced species was the most likely cause
100 of decline while acknowledging other factors may have also contributed. Notably, that assessment did not attribute
101 causality to a single factor or set of factors, and hence the driver(s) for the collapse of the reptile community
102 remains uncertain. As there are few records of the Christmas Island blind snake (*Ramphotyphlops exocoeti*)
103 (Maple et al. 2012) we focus here on the decline of endemic lizards, and the factors that may have contributed to
104 their declines.

105

106 Ideally, conclusions and inferences underpinning extinction and extinction risk should be assessed using high-
107 quality empirical data (Brook & Alroy 2017). However, when species have undergone rapid population declines
108 or extirpation before such data can be obtained, as was the case on Christmas Island, it is challenging to determine
109 causality and hence to develop management responses. Expert elicitation is a well-established approach for
110 dealing with uncertainties in biodiversity conservation when primary evidence is limited or inconsistent, as
111 consolidated opinions of a range of individuals are generally more accurate than those of one or few experts
112 (Burgman et al. 2011; Martin et al. 2012; McBride et al. 2012). For example, Geyle et al. (2020) used expert
113 elicitation to evaluate the extinction risk of Australia's most imperilled squamate species, Dalibard et al. (2020)

114 and used expert judgement to assist with identifying the primary threats to the Pyrenean brook newt (*Calotriton*
115 *asper*). Expert elicitation is becoming increasingly sophisticated as the biases in judgement are better understood
116 (Hemming et al. 2018; Roy et al. 2020). In our approach, we sought to apply the knowledge and perspectives of
117 relevant researchers and managers involved in the conservation of biodiversity on Christmas Island, to assess
118 retrospectively the factors thought to be responsible for the decline of its reptile fauna. Such identification of
119 causality will not now benefit the extinct Christmas Island forest skink, but may inform (and be necessary for)
120 any reintroduction attempts for the two Extinct in the Wild species; and may help safeguard the conservation of
121 endemic reptile faunas on islands elsewhere. We acknowledge that other approaches, such as assessments of food
122 webs linked with fuzzy cognitive mapping (Gray et al. 2015) and path analysis (Lindenmayer et al. 2018) could
123 also provide useful insights into this decline, but may be at least as constrained by major knowledge gaps, as is
124 the case in the example we consider.

125 The primary aim of this study was to rank the factors thought responsible for the extinction and extirpations of
126 four lizards from Christmas Island, and to concurrently evaluate conservation options for two Extinct in the Wild
127 species (blue-tailed skinks and Lister's geckos) using information generated from expert knowledge. Secondly,
128 over the period of these declines, four other reptile species (one endemic and three introduced) remained
129 widespread (Table 2); hence a further aim was to identify any life history or ecological traits shared by these
130 unaffected species that were distinctly different to those of the lost lizard fauna.

131

132 **2 | MATERIALS AND METHODS**

133 **2.1 | Study area**

134 Christmas Island is a remote Australian territory in the Indian Ocean (Figure 1). The average annual rainfall is
135 approximately 2000 mm (Bureau of Meteorology 2020). Approximately 65% of the 135 km² island is covered in
136 natural vegetation, with 63% of the island protected by National Park (Figure 1). The island is vegetated with tall
137 tropical rainforest on the plateau and by semi-evergreen thicket on coastal terraces. Ecosystem dynamics on the
138 island are highly influenced by abundant land crabs, which regulate seedling recruitment and litter breakdown,
139 and hence vegetation structure and floristics (Green et al. 2011). This limestone island has been emergent for at
140 least the last 4.5 to 5.7 million years and today houses a population of approximately 1500 people (Ali & Aitchison
141 2020).

142

143 **2.2 | Putative causal factors**

144 Globally, six key factors have been identified as the most threatening processes to reptiles (Gibbons et al. 2000):
145 habitat loss and degradation; introduced invasive species; environmental pollution; disease and parasitism;
146 unsustainable use; and global climate change. Using these factors as a guide, and further informed by the review
147 from Smith et al. (2012), we compiled a list of 13 candidate factors that may have been influential in the decline
148 of the Christmas Island native reptile community (Table 1).

149

150 **2.3 | Expert elicitation**

151 We used a semi-structured expert elicitation process for, (1) estimating the contribution of identified factors
152 thought responsible for the extinction and extirpation of four Christmas Island lizards, and (2), estimating the
153 likelihood of establishing populations of the two Extinct in the Wild lizards on either Christmas Island or
154 elsewhere. We identified 27 experts using purpose sampling (individuals were chosen based on their expertise).
155 Most respondents have had direct involvement in the Christmas Island herpetofauna, but other experts had
156 knowledge on the threatening processes that are present on Christmas island. This group comprised almost all of
157 those researchers who had worked on the species in the field, the researchers who had most experience with the
158 island's ecology, a representative set of the island's environmental managers and of those who worked on the
159 captive breeding. Twenty of the 27 people invited to participate responded, with 7 respondents either opting not
160 to participate or did not respond. Eighteen of the respondents are co-authors, with two people opting not to be
161 involved beyond the initial survey. Further details of selection of elicitors are given in Supplementary material 2.

162

163 Respondents were classified as either managers or researchers. Managers were individuals who have or had active
164 involvement in resource operations on Christmas Island (e.g. pest, weed control, wildlife management,
165 conservation breeding) or in the conservation breeding program at Taronga Zoo in Sydney, whereas researchers
166 were not directly involved with day-to-day management, but had knowledge of the island's reptile fauna. We
167 undertook a survey to assess expert opinion on the relative magnitude of the 13 factors outlined above, and asked
168 experts to assess the relative contributions of each factor to ensure that all factors together tallied to 100%. We
169 additionally asked experts to estimate between 0-100% (1) their confidence that the highest contributing factor
170 they chose was the primary driver of the declines, and (2) their confidence that the declines of all four native lizard
171 species were due to the same cause or set of causes. Finally, as blue-tailed skinks and Lister's geckos currently
172 persist in captivity on Christmas Island and at Taronga Zoo (Andrew et al., 2018), we asked experts to assess the

173 likelihood of re-establishing viable populations of these two species on Christmas Island (with the assumption
174 that the same set of putative factors that contributed to the extinctions were still present, albeit subject to realistic
175 control efforts) or translocated populations somewhere other than Christmas Island (with the assumption that a
176 hypothetical destination site could be found without such threat factors), within ten years. We distributed the
177 survey via email in November 2017, and experts were asked to respond individually within three weeks, without
178 collusion, and to base their assessments on their personal knowledge and available reports and publications (see
179 Supplementary material 1 for a copy of the elicitation pro-forma).

180

181 **2.4 | Persistence of one endemic lizard and three introduced lizards**

182 In the absence of empirical evidence to delineate the causes of decline, comparing traits of species that have
183 persisted to traits of species that disappeared may provide insights (Foufopoulos & Ives 1999; Slavenko et al.
184 2016; Tingley et al. 2016; Allen et al. 2017). Over the period that the blue-tailed skink, coastal skink, Christmas
185 Island forest skink and Lister's gecko disappeared from the Christmas Island landscape, the remaining endemic
186 lizard, The Christmas Island giant gecko (*Cryptodactylus saddleiri*), and three introduced lizards, the common house
187 gecko (*Hemidactylus frenatus*), the four-clawed gecko (*Gehyra mutilata*) and the Bowring's supple skink
188 (*Subdolops bowringii*; formerly *Lygosoma bowringii*) remained common. Hence, we collated available
189 information from the literature (both peer-reviewed and grey) on the life history, evolutionary and ecological traits
190 of each species (Table 2), and used this information to formalise nine hypotheses concerning why four species
191 have persisted (to date) on Christmas Island, whilst four other species did not (Table 3). A hypothesis was
192 considered supported if there was low concordance in traits between that of the declined lizard species and lizard
193 species that had not declined.

194

195 **2.5 | Statistical analyses**

196 We analysed the survey data submitted by experts using descriptive statistics and linear mixed effect models. As
197 opinions on the relative contribution of each factor varied, we additionally ranked each expert's response
198 according to the percentage contribution (e.g., predation by the common wolf snake 80% ranked at 1, habitat loss
199 15%; ranked at 2, and predation by feral cats (*Felis catus*) 5% ranked at 3). Where experts considered two factors
200 to be equally important, we assigned both factors the same rank. We compared differences of opinions between
201 the set of managers and the set of researchers for the highest contributing threat and whether length of field
202 experience (> four weeks) prior to extinction to those with < four weeks or none using a Mann-Whitney U tests,

203 in part to consider whether differences in perspectives among respondents represented a shifting baseline effect
204 (Pauly 1995). To investigate the likelihood of successfully introducing each of the two Extinct in the Wild species
205 either to Christmas Island, or elsewhere we undertook linear mixed-effects model with species (blue-tailed skink
206 *Lister's gecko*), and location (Christmas Island/elsewhere) as fixed effects, and individual as a random effect
207 (Geyle et al. 2018). Models were fitted using the 'nlme' package in the statistical program R (R Core Team 2013).

208

209 **3 | RESULTS**

210 **3.1 | Expert elicitation**

211 Of the twenty experts who responded to the survey, nine were managers and eleven researchers. Of these
212 respondents, 45% had more than four weeks field experience on Christmas Island over the period of decline (prior
213 to 2012), whereas 70% had more than four weeks field experience after the declines. Collectively, 35% of
214 respondents had at least four weeks fieldwork experience both before and after 2012, and four respondents (20%)
215 had no field experience on Christmas Island. Half of the experts are involved in the conservation breeding
216 program, either on Christmas Island or at Taronga Zoo. Some elicitors had worked on the island, at least
217 intermittently, over at least a 20-year span.

218

219 Experts considered that predation by the common wolf snake was the most influential factor in the decline of
220 Christmas Island reptiles (mean contribution 43%, SE: 36-49%), but there was substantial variation around
221 perceptions of the contribution of this factor (Figure 2A). The set of managers and the set of researchers did not
222 differ in their assessment of the contribution of the common wolf snake to reptile declines and extinctions ($w =$
223 56.5 , $p = 0.605$). Similarly, experts with more than four weeks fieldwork experience on Christmas Island prior to
224 2012 did not have different views to those with less or no experience prior to 2012 ($w = 46.5$, $p = 0.93$). The factor
225 considered next most important was predation by introduced giant centipedes (*Scoleopendra subspinipes*) (mean
226 contribution 19.5%, SE: 17-22%), followed by habitat loss (mean contribution 9%, SE: 7-10%). All other factors
227 were considered to have a negligible role in the decline of Christmas Island reptiles (Figure 2A). Thirteen experts
228 (65%) ranked the common wolf snake as the top contributor to reptile declines, and four ranked the giant centipede
229 as the top contributor. The other top-ranked threats (by one respondent each) comprised competition, habitat loss
230 and degradation, and disturbance by yellow crazy ants (Figure 2B).

231

232 Despite considerable uncertainty around attributing the cause of decline, experts were confident that the top factor
233 they chose was the primary cause of decline (mean= 71%: SE: 67-75%). There was strong agreement among
234 experts that whatever factor was responsible, it likely led to all four reptile species disappearing from the wild
235 (mean= 79.5%: SE: 76-82%). Experts were more optimistic that populations of blue-tailed skinks and Lister's
236 geckos could be established in a location other than Christmas Island (i.e., a benign introduction), compared to
237 reintroducing these species on Christmas Island (Table 3).

238

239 **3.2 | Persistence of one endemic and three introduced lizards**

240 The hypothesis with the most support to explain the persistence of the extant reptile fauna was 'shared
241 evolutionary history with introduced predators'. The three introduced lizards are native to South East Asia and
242 molecular evidence shows that the closest relative of Christmas Island giant gecko is also from South East Asia
243 (Table 2 and Table 3) where the common wolf snake and giant centipede originated. An inconsistency with this
244 hypothesis is that the extirpated native coastal skink is also widespread in South East Asia. There was some
245 support for differences in ecological traits; notably, three of the four extant lizards (excluding Bowring's supple
246 skink) are arboreal and nocturnal; whereas two of the four extirpated lizards are diurnal and terrestrial, with
247 additional species being semi-arboreal. There was little support for the remaining hypotheses (i.e. 'surviving
248 species use different microhabitats', 'surviving species occurred in greater numbers', 'surviving species are less
249 palatable to introduced predators' and 'surviving species had higher reproductive output') as both extirpated and
250 surviving species either used similar microhabitats, extirpated species had greater prior abundances, all lizards
251 had comparable clutch size and all reptile species are known to be consumed by introduced predators (see Tables
252 2, 4 for further information).

253

254 **4 | DISCUSSION**

255 There have been far fewer recorded extinctions of reptiles globally in comparison to those of mammals and birds
256 since 1500, however the number of threatened reptiles is rapidly increasing (IUCN 2020). Island species have
257 borne a disproportionate share of reptile extinctions (Slavenko et al. 2016), so the case described here is an
258 example of a more general phenomenon. Without more effective and targeted conservation response, the rate of
259 reptile extinctions is likely to increase. For example, a recent assessment of Australian squamates identified up to
260 11 species that could be lost in the next 20 years (Geyle et al. 2020), a substantially higher tally than those of
261 Australian mammals and birds (Geyle et al. 2018) and freshwater fish (Lintermans et al. 2020). Identifying factors

262 involved in species declines is crucial to mitigate further loss and to devise management actions to enhance a
263 species' conservation status (Woinarski et al. 2017). In this review, guided by expert elicitation, we (1) identified
264 the likely candidates for the extinction and extirpation of four of the five native lizards from Christmas Island, (2)
265 assessed the likelihood of translocations for the two Extinct in the Wild lizards and (3) identified potential
266 differences in traits between extirpated and persisting lizard species.

267 Overall, experts considered the common wolf snake as the most likely contributor to the extirpation of Christmas
268 Island lizards, followed by the introduced giant centipede. There was however substantial variation in responses
269 from experts to both factors, and indeed most factors identified. Such variation from experts likely represents the
270 scarce availability of empirical evidence to attribute causality to decline. In medicine, Koch's postulates of
271 causality are often used systematically to attribute disease causality to infection. These postulates typically include
272 consistency of the relationship, a temporal relationship (the factor preceding the emergence of the condition), a
273 gradient in the relationship, experimental proof of the relationship and a plausible biological mechanism
274 (Sutherland et al. 2019). In the case of the declining Christmas Island reptiles, some of these links could not be
275 clearly established, but the strongest evidentiary argument related to the temporal relationship between the arrival
276 of the common wolf snake and the subsequent decline and extinction of four native lizard species. Below we
277 discuss these two primary agents of decline in detail, discuss other factors collectively, and explore potential
278 reasons why four reptile species remain present in the wild.

279
280 The first confirmed sighting of the common wolf snake on Christmas Island was in 1987 at the Settlement (Smith
281 1988), however, they are thought to have arrived in the mid-1980s as shipping stowaways (Rumpff 1992). Upon
282 arriving on Christmas Island with no predators, no competitors and a hyper-abundance of naive skinks at the point
283 of arrival; the common wolf snake population rapidly increased, and by 1992 reached extraordinary densities of
284 between 45 and 500 individuals per hectare in the Settlement (Rumpff 1992). By 1997, blue-tailed skinks had
285 disappeared from the settlement region, but introduced lizards remained common (Cogger et al. 1997). At this
286 time (1997), common wolf snake records were restricted to the Settlement region, but periodic monitoring over
287 the next 12 years revealed an expansion in a southwest direction that largely mirrored that of the lizard decline
288 (Smith et al. 2012). Whilst correlation does not imply causation, the arrival of a lizard specialist predator just prior
289 to the rapid decline of 80% of the island's native lizards strongly suggests its involvement.

290

291 World-wide, island endemic species have suffered a disproportionate share of the world's extinctions, mostly due
292 to invasive species (Blackburn et al. 2004), but there are relatively few examples of introduced snakes as the main
293 causal factor. The most well documented example is for Guam, where the expansion of invasive brown tree snakes
294 (*Boiga irregularis*) from their point of arrival coincided with the loss of many vertebrate species (Fritts & Rodda
295 1998; Wiles et al. 2003; Rodda & Savidge 2007). In the Mascarene islands, the introduced Indian wolf snake
296 (*Lycodon aulicus*; a closely related species to the common wolf snake), is believed to have been instrumental in
297 the extirpation of island populations of Bojer's skink (*Gongylomorphus bojerii*) (O'Shea et al. 2018). Finally,
298 while not an island example, the introduction of Burmese pythons (*Python molurus bivittatus*) to the Everglades
299 National Park in Southern Florida led to a trophic cascade in only 15 years due to significant mammal declines
300 (Willson 2017), with a marked spatial decline in mammal abundance from areas where Burmese pythons became
301 established. These examples highlight that invasive snakes are capable of being the primary cause of fauna
302 declines, and that declines often spread from a predator's point of introduction or establishment. Hence, it is
303 possible that common wolf snakes were the primary cause of the reptile decline on Christmas Island. We note that
304 this consequence was predicted: within six years of their detection, three authors warned that should the common
305 wolf snake become established on Christmas Island it could pose a significant threat to the island's fauna (Smith
306 1988; Rumpff 1992; Fritts 1993). Regrettably, those predictions appear to have been borne out. It is likely that
307 the impact of the introduced common wolf snake on Christmas Island fauna was not restricted to the island's
308 native reptile fauna, as a comparable retrospective assessment for the extinction of the island's only endemic
309 insectivorous bat over the same time period as the loss of lizards also concluded that the wolf snake was the most
310 likely causal agent (Woinarski 2018).

311
312 Introduced giant centipedes were the only other threat considered by some experts to have been an important
313 contributor to decline. Centipedes from the family Scolopendridae are large (to 25 cm) voracious predators
314 capable of killing and consuming vertebrates as large as microbats and snakes (Molinari et al. 2005; Smart et al.
315 2010; Arsovski et al. 2014; Lindley et al. 2017). Estimated to have arrived on Christmas Island around 1900 as
316 shipping stowaways, they quickly established and were considered common in the Settlement by 1909 (Andrews
317 1909), and occurred island-wide by 1940 (Gibson-Hill 1949). There is some anecdotal evidence that giant
318 centipedes increased in abundance from the 1990s, likely due to the formation of YCA supercolonies reducing
319 red crab abundance and creating more suitable habitat (Peter Green *pers. obs.*). However, within the Settlement,
320 giant centipedes and blue-tailed skinks coexisted without an apparent population-level impact of the former on

321 the latter for some 60 years. It is likely that giant centipedes killed and preyed upon native reptile species, but
322 such predation levels were unlikely to have been substantial enough to cause declines (Emery et al. ; Donellan et
323 al. 2011). As such, there is no compelling evidence that giant centipedes acting alone caused the population
324 decline.

325

326 The remaining candidate factors were not considered major contributors to the population declines, despite some
327 being key drivers of reptile declines and implicated in reptile extinctions elsewhere. For instance, Medina et al.
328 (2011) considered feral cats to be the primary cause of extinction of at least two island reptiles in the West Indies
329 (Navassa Island) and on San Stephano in Italy. In the Caribbean archipelago and Cape Verde, black rats (*Rattus*
330 *rattus*) and Indian mongooses (*Herpestes auro punctatus*) were implicated in widespread declines in reptile
331 populations and several extinctions of small lizard species (Corke 1992; Powell & Henderson 2005; Vasconcelos
332 et al. 2013). On Christmas Island, feral cats were known to be predators of all native skinks and the Christmas
333 Island giant gecko (Tidemann et al. 1994). Additionally, competition with introduced lizards has long been
334 considered a strong force in shaping island reptile communities (Case & Bolger 1991). Invasive reptiles likely
335 interacted with the native lizards on Christmas Island, however, native lizard populations disappeared from
336 locations where introduced competitors were absent (James 2007; Smith et al. 2012). It is likely that common
337 house geckos actively excluded and preyed upon the smaller Lister's geckos and juvenile blue-tailed skinks in
338 areas where they co-occurred, as they do with mourning geckos (*Lepidodactylus lugubris*) on islands in the Pacific
339 (Case & Bolger 1991). However, feral cats, black rats and introduced competitors were all common and
340 widespread long before the decline of Christmas Island's reptiles, suggesting that any additional predation
341 pressure or competition they exerted could be tolerated by the native reptile community.

342

343 The rapid pace and direction of decline of the island's lizards suggest a single threat arising and spreading across
344 the island. However, focussing on single threats acting independently, without considering potential synergetic
345 effects between threats, may oversimplify the mechanisms behind the declines. The most striking of these
346 interactive impacts involved the formation of YCA supercolonies that led to ecosystem-wide changes during the
347 period of reptile declines. YCA supercolonies were first detected in 1989, became progressively more widespread
348 by the mid-1990s, and by 2001 covered more than 25% of forested areas. The expansion of YCA supercolonies
349 caused the loss of millions of red crabs – the key consumer and regulator of seedling recruitment and organic
350 matter on the island – resulting in an ecosystem 'meltdown' (O'Dowd et al. 2003; Green et al. 2011). Green et al.

351 (2011) found that supercolonies facilitated the secondary invasion of the introduced giant African land snail
352 (*Achatina (Lissachatina) fulica*) through the removal of predation pressure by red crabs. By extension, YCA
353 supercolonies may have facilitated the rate of spread and abundance of other invasive species, including common
354 wolf snakes and giant centipedes, and indeed, giant centipedes have increased in abundance from the 1980s (Peter
355 Green, *pers. obs.*).

356

357 It is unlikely that common wolf snakes and giant centipedes persisted in areas with YCA supercolonies. In
358 ‘ghosted areas’ (areas where YCA supercolonies have never formed but where red crabs were lost as a
359 consequence of their attempted migration through supercolonies), forested habitat would have become
360 increasingly suitable for these invasive predators as a result of increased ground organic matter and more complex
361 understorey. However, while there is a temporal overlap between the formation of YCA supercolonies, the
362 lingering effects of ghosted areas, and lizard declines, any spatial concordance is low to absent. Most notably,
363 lizard declines were marked in the settlement in the mid-1990s where no YCA supercolonies were detected, and
364 lizards were subsequently lost from many other areas that never had YCA supercolonies. Hence, any synergistic
365 effects from YCA were likely secondary to the primary threat (i.e. predation by common wolf snakes), but YCA
366 supercolonies may have enhanced the rate of declines in parts of the island where the greatest proportion of the
367 forest was affected by YCA formation.

368

369 Surprisingly, we found few ecological or other differences between species that were extirpated and those that
370 have survived (Table 2, Table 3). The most marked contrast was that three introduced lizards and Christmas island
371 giant gecko have recent ecological/evolutionary exposure to south-east Asian lizard predators, providing them
372 with the opportunity to evolve effective avoidance behaviours. The common wolf snake is a native predator of
373 the common house gecko and four-clawed gecko in south-eastern Asia (O’Shea et al. 2018), and likely also preys
374 upon small lizards including the Bowring’s supple skink and geckos from the *Cyrtodactylus* species complex. An
375 apparent inconsistency with this explanation is that the coastal skink is common throughout southern south-east
376 Asia where it co-occurs with the common wolf snake. However, isolated populations are known to lose predator
377 vigilance in the absence of predators. For example, in only 13 generations, introduced populations of northern
378 quolls (*Dasyurus hallucatus*) lost their ability to recognise key mammalian predators (Jolly et al. 2018). Hence,
379 the Christmas Island population of coastal skink arrived on the island when it was free of specialist lizard
380 predators, with this population subsequently relaxing selection on anti-predator traits.

381

382 There was some evidence that a nocturnal and arboreal life history provided species with greater resilience to
383 predation, as two of the four extirpated species were diurnal and terrestrial and one diurnal and semi-arboreal. The
384 Bowring's supple skink is semi-fossorial and diurnal but may have retained anti-predator behaviours due to its
385 co-evolution with the common wolf snake. However, this association is weak, probably because the common wolf
386 snake hunts effectively on the ground and in trees.

387

388 We found no suggestion that life-history traits (e.g. reproductive output, body size), habitat specialisation or prior
389 abundance played a role in the extirpation of Christmas Island lizards. Such factors are thought to be important
390 contributors to extinction risk across many taxonomic groups including birds (Bennett & Owens 1997), reptiles
391 (Foufopoulos & Ives 1999; Allen et al. 2017), desert fish (Olden et al. 2008) and declining species in general
392 (Purvis et al. 2000). However, the extirpated native lizards possessed similar traits to the remaining lizards,
393 providing some support that threatened and invasive reptiles do not necessarily lie at opposite ends of a biological
394 spectrum (Tingley et al. 2016). Regardless, close attention needs to be paid to ongoing monitoring of the Christmas
395 Island giant gecko, as the decline and extirpation of all other native Christmas Island lizards in only 20 years
396 highlights the vulnerability of island species to novel threats.

397

398 Some clear lessons can be learnt from the events on Christmas Island for the conservation of reptile communities
399 on islands elsewhere. Species loss can be rapid, and species can slip from presumed security to extinction before
400 a management response can be devised. Stricter biosecurity, including tighter quarantine and effective
401 surveillance to allow for early detection of newly arrived species, is an obvious priority management response
402 (Paolucci et al. 2013). Christmas Island is heavily reliant on shipping freight (e.g. exporting phosphate to south-
403 east Asia and receiving supplies from Perth and south-east Asia for the resident human population) so there is a
404 constant risk of accidental introductions of invasive species. Introductions also include novel pathogens. On
405 Christmas Island, despite a health assessment being undertaken over the period of the lizard decline, where no
406 pathogens of concern were identified (Hall et al. 2011), captive populations of blue-tailed skinks and Lister's
407 geckos have since experienced substantial mortality as a result of a novel bacterial pathogen, *Enterococcus*
408 *lacertideformus* (Rose et al. 2017), and two new papillomaviruses have also been discovered (Agius et al. 2019).
409 Hence regular population and health monitoring of the remaining endemic and invasive reptiles on Christmas
410 Island will be important to allow for timely management responses to novel threats.

411

412 Our assessment of causality relied on the pooled knowledge and opinions of 20 people with the most expertise in
413 the island's ecology and management, contributed independently through structured elicitation, and with experts
414 selected to incorporate a diversity of experience and knowledge. We acknowledge that there may be some
415 subjectivity in these assessments. In conservation, expert knowledge is especially valuable when empirical
416 evidence is scarce (as is the case here), however assessments can be influenced by perceptions of risk, personal
417 judgements and systematic biases (Regan et al. 2004). One bias that was difficult to control, and subsequently
418 tease out, was the extent to which experts were anchoring on the small set of available knowledge. This may have
419 manifested itself in two ways; because experts are experts because of their involvement on the island and due to
420 the limited available literature. This may in part explain the consensus in the high level of concordance amongst
421 experts that the common wolf snake was the primary target. Such biases are difficult to tease out, however it could
422 have potentially been reduced by undertaking a second round of the elicitation process (e.g., Geyle et al. 2018),
423 however, we consider that there was merit in reporting the independent perspectives of experts, rather than
424 constraining responses to seek more consensus.

425

426 **4.1 | Conclusions and future management**

427 This study highlights the constraints of conducting a retrospective assessment of extinction. It is always more
428 effective to identify and hence manage the key threat/s during the decline, but in this case, the pace of species loss
429 and the range of possible threats made this impractical. On the available evidence and as judged by experts,
430 predation by the common wolf snake, a niche lizard predator, fits most closely with the temporal and spatial
431 decline of the Christmas Island native lizard fauna, and is the most plausible mechanism. As the experts consulted
432 could not rule out other factors being involved in the declines, further investigation is required to determine if
433 these threats operated independently or synergistically with the predation pressure exerted by the common wolf
434 snake.

435

436 Our conclusion that the common wolf snake was the major contributor to the loss of most of the native reptile
437 fauna on Christmas Island has important implications for future management of the two Extinct in the Wild
438 species, and for the conservation of endemic island reptile assemblages elsewhere. The success of conservation
439 breeding programs for the two Extinct in the Wild species is enabling managers and researchers to undertake
440 controlled trials to assess survivorship and behavioural responses of the native reptiles to giant centipedes (Emery
441 et al., 2020). On Christmas Island it is unlikely that the common wolf snake (and to a lesser extent giant centipedes)

442 can be controlled at the landscape scale, at least using currently available mechanisms. Indeed, this recognition of
443 an insuperable management challenge, at least in the short term, is probably the reason that elicitors rated very
444 low the likelihood of reintroduction of blue-tailed skinks and Lister's gecko to the wild on Christmas Island.
445 However, recently, Christmas Island National Park managers have constructed a 2600 m² habitat to exclude the
446 common wolf snake and, to a lesser extent, giant centipedes, and reintroduction trials for blue-tailed skinks and
447 Lister's geckos are in progress at this site with some short-term success observed (e.g. population stability and
448 reproduction). Furthermore, consistent with the opinion of our elicitors of the more likely success of an assisted
449 colonisation, conservation introductions of blue-tailed skinks to two small islets (each < 3 ha) in the Cocos
450 (Keeling) island group, situated 1000 km² to the south-west of Christmas Island are now being trialled. These
451 trials follow careful risk assessments, consistent with established national and international protocols. In the case
452 of these translocation trials considerable pre-release monitoring was undertaken prior to the blue-tailed skink
453 introduction and continues to be undertaken afterwards. Overall, the combination of reintroductions (in small
454 areas at which threats can be excluded) and assisted colonisations will hopefully lead to the long-term recovery
455 of two of Christmas Island's endemic reptiles outside of captivity.

456

457 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

458 This research received support from the Australian Government's National Environmental Science Program
459 through the Threatened Species Recovery Hub, and the Holsworth Wildlife Research Endowment. We would like
460 to extend our thanks to David James and Dion Maple who participated in the expert elicitation exercise. We also
461 thankful to the anonymous reviewers for their insightful suggestions which greatly improved the manuscript.
462 Tragically, Paul Andrew passed away in early 2020 and through this paper we remember his significant
463 contributions to the ongoing conservation management of the two Extinct in the Wild lizards on Christmas Island.

464 **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

465 John Woinarski and Jon-Paul Emery conceived and coordinated the expert elicitation exercise, participated in the
466 elicitation process, undertook data analysis, and wrote and reviewed the manuscript. Nicola Mitchell participated
467 in the elicitation exercise process, designed figures, and co-wrote and reviewed the manuscript. All other authors
468 participated in the elicitation process and provided editorial comments, except for Leonie Valentine, who provided
469 editorial comments. Paul Andrew was involved in the elicitation process but passed away early in 2020 before he
470 was able to contribute further.

471 **ETHICS STATEMENT**

472 All participants in the expert elicitation process were invited to be co-authors of this study and therefore no ethics
473 was sought.

474 **DATA AVAILABILITY**

475 The raw data from participant answers are available upon request.

476 **CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

477 The authors declare no conflict of interest

478

479 **REFERENCES**

- 480 Abbott KL. 2006. Spatial dynamics of supercolonies of the invasive yellow crazy ant, *Anoplolepis gracilipes*, on
481 Christmas Island, Indian Ocean. *Diversity and Distributions* **12**:101-110.
- 482 Agius JE, Phalen DN, Rose K, Eden J-S. 2019. New insights into Sauropsid Papillomaviridae evolution and
483 epizootiology: discovery of two novel papillomaviruses in native and invasive Island geckos. *Virus*
484 *Evolution* **5**:vez051.
- 485 Ali JR, Aitchison JC. 2020. Time of re-emergence of Christmas Island and its biogeographical significance.
486 *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* **537**:109396.
- 487 Allen WL, Street SE, Capellini I. 2017. Fast life history traits promote invasion success in amphibians and reptiles.
488 *Ecology letters* **20**:222-230.
- 489 Andrew P, Cogger H, Driscoll D, Flakus S, Harlow P, Maple D, Misso M, Pink C, Retallick K, Rose K. 2018.
490 Somewhat saved: a captive breeding programme for two endemic Christmas Island lizard species, now
491 extinct in the wild. *Oryx* **52**:171-174.
- 492 Andrews C. 1909. On the fauna of Christmas Island. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* **79**:101-
493 103.
- 494 Arsovski D, Ajtić R, Golubović A, Trajčeska I, Đorđević S, Anđelković M, Bonnet X, Tomović L. 2014. Two
495 fangs good, a hundred legs better: juvenile viper devoured by an adult centipede it had ingested.
496 *Ecologica Montenegrina* **1**:6-8.
- 497 Bennett PM, Owens IP. 1997. Variation in extinction risk among birds: chance or evolutionary predisposition?
498 *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **264**:401-408.
- 499 Blackburn TM, Cassey P, Duncan RP, Evans KL, Gaston KJ. 2004. Avian extinction and mammalian
500 introductions on oceanic islands. *Science* **305**:1955-1958.
- 501 Brook BW, Alroy J. 2017. Pattern, process, inference and prediction in extinction biology. **13**.
- 502 Bureau-of-Meteorology. 2020. Latest Weather Observations for Christmas Island, Available from
503 <http://www.bom.gov.au/products/IDW60801/IDW60801.96995.shtml>.
- 504 Burgman M, Carr A, Godden L, Gregory R, McBride M, Flander L, Maguire L. 2011. Redefining expertise and
505 improving ecological judgment. *Conservation Letters* **4**:81-87.
- 506 Case TJ, Bolger DT. 1991. The role of introduced species in shaping the distribution and abundance of island
507 reptiles. *Evolutionary Ecology* **5**:272-290.
- 508 Ceballos G, Ehrlich PR, Barnosky AD, García A, Pringle RM, Palmer TM. 2015. Accelerated modern human-
509 induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. *Science advances* **1**:e1400253.
- 510 Cogger HG, Sadlier R. 1998. *The terrestrial reptiles of Christmas Island: a reappraisal of their status*. The
511 Australian Museum, Sydney, Australia.
- 512 Cogger HG, Sadlier R, Cameron EE 1983. *The terrestrial reptiles of Australia's island territories*. Australian
513 National Parks and Wildlife Service.
- 514 Corke D. 1992. The status and conservation needs of the terrestrial herpetofauna of the Windward Islands (West
515 Indies). *Biological Conservation* **62**:47-58.

516 Dalibard M, Buisson L, Riberon A, Laffaille P. 2020. Identifying threats to Pyrenean brook newt (*Calotriton*
517 *asper*) to improve decision making in conservation management: A literature review complemented by
518 expert-driven knowledge. *Journal for Nature Conservation* **54**:125801.

519 Davis NE, O'Dowd DJ, Green PT, Nally RM. 2008. Effects of an alien ant invasion on abundance, behavior, and
520 reproductive success of endemic island birds. *Conservation biology* **22**:1165-1176.

521 Díaz S, Settele J, Brondízio E, Ngo H, Guèze M, Agard J, Arneth A, Balvanera P, Brauman K, Butchart S. 2020.
522 Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services of the
523 Intergovernmental Science-Policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services, Bonn, Germany.
524 Available from <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3553579>

525 Donellan S, Armstrong K, Potter S. 2011. Christmas Island Centipede genetics. Report to Parks Australia,
526 Canberra (South Australian Museum, Adelaide).

527 Donisthorpe H. 1935. The ants of Christmas Island. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* **15**:629-635.

528 Emery JP, Valentine LE, Hitchen Y, Mitchell NJ. Survival of an Extinct in the Wild skink from Christmas Island
529 is reduced by an invasive centipede: implications for future reintroductions. *Biological Invasions* (***In***
530 ***Press***).

531 Feare C. 1999. Ants take over from rats on Bird Island, Seychelles. *Bird Conservation International* **9**:95-96.

532 Foufopoulos J, Ives AR. 1999. Reptile extinctions on land-bridge islands: life-history attributes and vulnerability
533 to extinction. *The American Naturalist* **153**:1-25.

534 Fritts TH. 1993. The common wolf snake, *Lycodon aulicus capucinus*, a recent colonists of Christmas Island in
535 the Indian Ocean. *Wildlife Research* **20**:261-265.

536 Fritts TH, Rodda GH. 1998. The role of introduced species in the degradation of island ecosystems: a case history
537 of Guam. *Annual review of Ecology and Systematics* **29**:113-140.

538 Geyle HM, Tingley R, Amey AP, Cogger H, Couper PJ, Cowan M, Craig MD, Doughty P, Driscoll DA, Ellis RJ.
539 2020. Reptiles on the brink: identifying the Australian terrestrial snake and lizard species most at risk of
540 extinction. *Pacific Conservation Biology*.

541 Geyle HM, Woinarski JC, Baker GB, Dickman CR, Dutton G, Fisher DO, Ford H, Holdsworth M, Jones ME,
542 Kutt A. 2018. Quantifying extinction risk and forecasting the number of impending Australian bird and
543 mammal extinctions. *Pacific Conservation Biology* **24**:157-167.

544 Gibbons JW, Scott DE, Ryan TJ, Buhlmann KA, Tuberville TD, Metts BS, Greene JL, Mills T, Leiden Y, Poppy
545 S. 2000. The Global Decline of Reptiles, Déjà Vu Amphibians: Reptile species are declining on a global
546 scale. Six significant threats to reptile populations are habitat loss and degradation, introduced invasive
547 species, environmental pollution, disease, unsustainable use, and global climate change. *BioScience*
548 **50**:653-666.

549 Gibson-Hill CA. 1949. The early history of Christmas Island, in the Indian Ocean. *Journal of the Malayan Branch*
550 *of the Royal Asiatic Society* **22**:67-93.

551 Government-of-Australia. 2019. National pest and disease outbreaks- Macao paper wasp, Available from
552 <https://www.outbreak.gov.au/current-responses-to-outbreaks/macao-paper-wasp> (accessed 10/07/2020).

553 Gray SA, Gray S, De Kok JL, Helfgott AE, O'Dwyer B, Jordan R, Nyaki A. 2015. Using fuzzy cognitive mapping
554 as a participatory approach to analyze change, preferred states, and perceived resilience of social-
555 ecological systems. *Ecology and Society* **20**.

556 Green PT, O'Dowd DJ, Abbott KL, Jeffery M, Retallick K, Mac Nally R. 2011. Invasional meltdown: invader–
557 invader mutualism facilitates a secondary invasion. *Ecology* **92**:1758-1768.

558 Hall J, Rose K, Spratt D, Harlow P, Donahoe S, Andrew P, Field H, DeJong C, Smith C, Hyatt A. 2011.
559 Assessment of reptile and mammal disease prevalence on Christmas Island. Report to Parks Australia.
560 Australian Registry of Wildlife Health, Taronga Conservation Society Australia, Sydney, Australia.

561 Harper GA, Bunbury N. 2015. Invasive rats on tropical islands: their population biology and impacts on native
562 species. *Global Ecology and Conservation* **3**:607-627.

563 Hemming V, Burgman MA, Hanea AM, McBride MF, Wintle BC. 2018. A practical guide to structured expert
564 elicitation using the IDEA protocol. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **9**:169-180.

565 Huang W-S. 2011. Ecology and reproductive patterns of the littoral skink *Emoia atrocostata* on an East Asian
566 tropical rainforest island. *Zoological Studies* **50**:506-512.

567 IUCN. 2020. The IUCN Red List of threatened Species, Available from <https://www.iucnredlist.org>.

568 James D. 2007. Christmas Island biodiversity monitoring programme: summary report, December 2003 to April
569 2006. Report to Department of Finance & Administration and Department of the Environment & Water
570 Resources, Canberra.

571 James D, Green P, Humphreys W, Woinarski J. 2019. Endemic species of Christmas Island, Indian Ocean.
572 *Records of the Western Australian Museum* **35**:55-114.

573 Jolly CJ, Webb JK, Phillips BL. 2018. The perils of paradise: an endangered species conserved on an island loses
574 antipredator behaviours within 13 generations. *Biology letters* **14**:20180222.

575 Lindenmayer DB, Wood J, MacGregor C, Foster C, Scheele B, Tulloch A, Barton P, Banks S, Robinson N, Dexter
576 N. 2018. Conservation conundrums and the challenges of managing unexplained declines of multiple
577 species. *Biological Conservation* **221**:279-292.

578 Lindley TT, Molinari J, Shelley RM, Steger BN. 2017. A fourth account of centipede (Chilopoda) predation on
579 bats. *Insecta Mundi* **573**:1-4.

580 Lintermans M, Geyle HM, Beatty S, Brown C, Ebner BC, Freeman R, Hammer MP, Humphreys WF, Kennard
581 MJ, Kern P. 2020. Big trouble for little fish: identifying Australian freshwater fishes in imminent risk of
582 extinction. *Pacific Conservation Biology*.

583 Maple DJ, Barr R, Smith MJ. 2012. A new record of the Christmas Island blind snake, *Ramphotyphlops exocoeti*
584 (Reptilia: Squamata: Typhlopidae). *Records of the Western Australian Museum* **27**:156-160.

585 Martin TG, Burgman MA, Fidler F, Kuhnert PM, Low-Choy S, McBride M, Mengersen K. 2012. Eliciting expert
586 knowledge in conservation science. *Conservation Biology* **26**:29-38.

587 Maxwell SL, Fuller RA, Brooks TM, Watson JE. 2016. Biodiversity: The ravages of guns, nets and bulldozers.
588 *Nature News* **536**:143.

589 McBride MF, Garnett ST, Szabo JK, Burbidge AH, Butchart SH, Christidis L, Dutson G, Ford HA, Loyn RH,
590 Watson DM. 2012. Structured elicitation of expert judgments for threatened species assessment: a case
591 study on a continental scale using email. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* **3**:906-920.

592 Medina FM, Bonnaud E, Vidal E, Tershy BR, Zavaleta ES, Josh Donlan C, Keitt BS, Le Corre M, Horwath SV,
593 Nogales M. 2011. A global review of the impacts of invasive cats on island endangered vertebrates.
594 *Global Change Biology* **17**:3503-3510.

595 Molinari J, Gutiérrez EE, Ascensão A, Nassar JM, Arends A, Márquez RJ. 2005. Predation by giant centipedes,
596 *Scolopendra gigantea*, on three species of bats in a Venezuelan cave. *Caribbean Journal of Science*
597 **41**:340-346.

598 O'Dowd DJ, Green PT, Lake PS. 2003. Invasional 'meltdown' on an oceanic island. *Ecology Letters* **6**:812-817.

599 O'Shea M, Kusuma KI, Kaiser H. 2018. First record of the Island Wolfsnake, *Lycodon capucinus*, from New
600 Guinea, with comments on its widespread distribution and confused taxonomy, and a new record for the
601 Common Sun Skink, *Eutropis multifasciata*. *IRCF Reptiles & Amphibians* **25**:70-84.

602 Olden JD, Poff NL, Bestgen KR. 2008. Trait synergisms and the rarity, extirpation, and extinction risk of desert
603 fishes. *Ecology* **89**:847-856.

604 Oliver PM, Blom MP, Cogger HG, Fisher RN, Richmond JQ, Woinarski JC. 2018. Insular biogeographic origins
605 and high phylogenetic distinctiveness for a recently depleted lizard fauna from Christmas Island,
606 Australia. *Biology letters* **14**:20170696.

607 Paolucci EM, MacIsaac HJ, Ricciardi A. 2013. Origin matters: alien consumers inflict greater damage on prey
608 populations than do native consumers. *Diversity and Distributions* **19**:988-995.

609 Pauly D. 1995. Anecdotes and the shifting baseline syndrome of fisheries. *Trends in ecology & evolution* **10**:430.

610 Powell R, Henderson RW. 2005. Conservation status of Lesser Antillean reptiles. *Iguana* **12**:63-77.

611 Purvis A, Gittleman JL, Cowlshaw G, Mace GM. 2000. Predicting extinction risk in declining species.
612 *Proceedings of the royal society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences* **267**:1947-1952.

613 R Core Team. 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing, Vienna, Austria. Available from
614 URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.

615 Rodda GH, Savidge JA. 2007. Biology and Impacts of Pacific Island Invasive Species. 2. *Boiga irregularis*, the
616 Brown Tree Snake (Reptilia: Colubridae) 1. *Pacific Science* **61**:307-324.

617 Rose K, Agius J, Hall J, Thompson P, Eden J-S, Srivastava M, Tiernan B, Jenkins C, Phalen D. 2017. Emergent
618 multisystemic Enterococcus infection threatens endangered Christmas Island reptile populations. *PLoS*
619 *one* **12**:e0181240.

620 Roy HE, Peyton JM, Booy O. 2020. Guiding principles for utilizing social influence within expert-elicitation to
621 inform conservation decision-making. *Global Change Biology* **26**:3181-3184.

622 Rumpff HJ. 1992. Distribution, Population, Structure and Ecological Behaviour of the Introduced South-east
623 Asian Wolf Snake, *Lycodon aulicus capucinus* on Christmas Island, Indian Ocean. Australian National
624 Parks and Wildlife Service. Christmas Island, Australia.

625 Sax DF, Gaines SD. 2008. Species invasions and extinction: The future of native biodiversity on islands.
626 *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **105**:11490-11497.

627 Slavenko A, Tallowin OJ, Itescu Y, Raia P, Meiri S. 2016. Late Quaternary reptile extinctions: size matters,
628 insularity dominates. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **25**:1308-1320.

629 Sleeth M. 2017. Home range ecology and microhabitat use of the invasive wolf snake (*Lycodon capucinus*) of
630 Christmas Island. Deakin University.

631 Smart U, Patel P, Pattanayak P. 2010. 14 *Scolopendra hardwickei* (Newport, 1844) feeding on *Oligodon*
632 *taeniolatus* (Jerdon, 1853) in the scrub jungles of Pondicherry, southern India. *Journal of the Bombay*
633 *Natural History Society* **107**:68.

- 634 Smith L. 1988. *Lycodon aulicus capucinus* a colubrid snake introduced to Christmas Island, Indian Ocean.
635 *Records of the Western Australian Museum* **14**:251-252.
- 636 Smith MJ, Cogger H, Tiernan B, Maple D, Boland C, Napier F, Detto T, Smith P. 2012. An oceanic island reptile
637 community under threat: the decline of reptiles on Christmas Island, Indian Ocean. *Herpetological*
638 *Conservation and Biology* **7**:206-218.
- 639 Sutherland AL, Kuin A, Kuiper B, van Gool T, Leboyer M, Fond G, de Haan L. 2019. Driving us mad: the
640 association of *Toxoplasma gondii* with suicide attempts and traffic accidents—a systematic review and
641 meta-analysis. *Psychological medicine* **49**:1608-1623.
- 642 Szabo JK, Khwaja N, Garnett ST, Butchart SH. 2012. Global patterns and drivers of avian extinctions at the
643 species and subspecies level. *PloS one* **7**:e47080.
- 644 Tidemann C, Yorkston H, Russack A. 1994. The diet of cats, *Felis catus*, on Christmas Island, Indian ocean.
645 *Wildlife Research* **21**:279-285.
- 646 Tingley R, Mahoney PJ, Durso AM, Tallian AG, Morán-Ordóñez A, Beard KH. 2016. Threatened and invasive
647 reptiles are not two sides of the same coin. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* **25**:1050-1060.
- 648 Vasconcelos R, Brito JC, Carranza S, Harris DJ. 2013. Review of the distribution and conservation status of the
649 terrestrial reptiles of the Cape Verde Islands. *Oryx* **47**:77-87.
- 650 Weeks A, McColl S. 2011. Monitoring of the 2009 aerial baiting of yellow crazy ants (*Anoplolepis gracilipes*) on
651 non-target invertebrate fauna on Christmas Island. A report to The Director of National Parks, Australia.
652 *CESAR Consultants* **30**.
- 653 Wiles GJ, Bart J, Beck JR. RE, Aguon CF. 2003. Impacts of the Brown Tree Snake: Patterns of Decline and
654 Species Persistence in Guam's Avifauna. *Conservation Biology* **17**:1350-1360.
- 655 Willson JD. 2017. Indirect effects of invasive Burmese pythons on ecosystems in southern Florida. *Journal of*
656 *Applied Ecology* **54**:1251-1258.
- 657 Woinarski JC, Garnett ST, Legge SM, Lindenmayer DB. 2017. The contribution of policy, law, management,
658 research, and advocacy failings to the recent extinctions of three Australian vertebrate species.
659 *Conservation Biology* **31**:13-23.

660

TABLE 1. A summary of the 13 potential factors involved in the decline and extinction of four Christmas Island reptiles.

Factor	Date threat first identified	Mechanism for driving decline	Evidence for (on Christmas Island)	Evidence for (global or other case studies)	Evidence against
1. Habitat loss and fragmentation	~1888	Loss of habitat	25% of the island has been cleared for phosphate mining and civic purposes since 1888.	Land clearing and habitat loss have been major contributors to four modern reptile extinctions and a major contributor to worldwide reptile population declines. ^{2,3}	Most clearing on the island took place in the 1960s and 1970s before declines were observed. There has been little clearance since the 1980s. All species except for the coastal skink used rehabilitated mining areas. Additionally, coastal skink habitat (littoral areas) was not cleared or modified. The blue-tailed skink was most abundant in the settlement where the most disturbance has occurred. ¹
2. A decline in habitat quality facilitated by Yellow crazy Ant (YCA) supercolonies	YCA detected as early as the 1930s, however, the first supercolony was detected in 1989, and patchy but widespread by mid-1990s. ^{4,5}	Decline in habitat suitability	YCA's increased substantially in the 1990s in spatial extent, approximately coinciding with the first reptile declines. ⁵ Some evidence that YCA supercolonies excluded the blue-tailed skink and Christmas island forest skink from areas where they co-occurred. ⁶	YCA's were linked to the disappearance of an endemic skink in the Seychelles. ⁷	There is no spatial correspondence of the decline of reptiles matching patterns of outbreaks of YCA supercolonies. The largest supercolonies were located in the western portion of the island where these reptiles remained until 2010-12. Much of the island remained without YCA supercolonies.
3. Predation by giant centipedes (<i>Scolopendra subspinipes</i>)	Early 1900s ⁸	Predation	Circumstantial evidence suggests giant centipedes became more abundant in the 1980s (in some areas) and into the 2000s, possibly via YCA suppressing red crabs. This resulted in better habitat for giant centipedes.	<i>Scolopendra</i> species prey upon vertebrates larger than themselves including microbats, snakes, amphibians and lizards. ^{9,10,11,12}	The giant centipede was widespread by 1940.

			Centipedes are voracious predators and been observed eating the Christmas Island giant gecko, Common wolf snake, blue-tailed skink and Lister's gecko on Christmas Island		
4. Predation by wolf snake (<i>Lycodon capucinus</i>)	First detected in 1987, but likely early to mid 1980s ¹³	Predation	<p>Temporal expansion of the common wolf snake fits well with the decline of all four reptile species.</p> <p>Early wolf snake specimens collected in the settlement had blue-tailed skinks, common house geckos and four-clawed geckos in their stomachs. Snakes reached densities in the settlement area between 45-500 snakes per hectare.¹⁴</p> <p>In the mid-2000s and 2017 over 200 common wolf snakes have been dissected, and many had reptiles in their stomachs.^{6,15}</p>	<p>In the Mascarenes, the Indian wolf snake (<i>Lycodon aulicus</i>) is believed to have been instrumental in the decline and extinction of an island population of Bojers skink (<i>Gongylomorphus bojerii</i>).¹⁶</p> <p>Brown tree snakes (<i>Boiga irregularis</i>) in Guam are responsible for large scale declines, extirpations and extinctions of birds, mammals and reptiles. Decline in species on Guam resembles those on Christmas Island with respects to a spatial spread of decline from a point of origin.^{17,18}</p>	<p>Other reptiles (Christmas Island giant gecko, Common house gecko, four-clawed gecko, Bowring's supple skink) persist on Christmas Island.</p> <p>There is limited evidence on the spatial spread of the common wolf snake; likely due to it being cryptic, semi-arboreal and limited targeted monitoring.</p>
5. Predation by black rats (<i>R. rattus</i>)	September 1900 ¹⁹	Predation		<p>Black rats have been involved in extinctions of other island reptiles in the Caribbean and Pacific.³</p> <p>A review in 2015 found that black rats have caused notable impacts on tropical island herpetofauna through predation.²⁰</p>	<p>Little temporal and spatial evidence. Black rats were most abundant in the settlement where blue-tailed skinks were most common.</p>
6. Predation by feral cats (<i>F. catus</i>)	~1900 ⁸	Predation	<p>Stomach analyses in the late 1980s revealed cats consumed blue-tailed skinks, Christmas Island forest skink and the coastal skink.²¹</p>	<p>Cats have been the major contributor to at least two modern reptile extinctions.²²</p>	<p>Little temporal and spatial evidence. Feral cats were likely more abundant in the settlement. Cats also consume the Christmas Island giant gecko, common house gecko and Bowring's</p>

7. Competition with invasive lizards	Common house gecko~ 1930s Four-clawed gecko~ 1950s Bowring's supple skink~ first detected in 1979, but likely earlier. ¹	Competition for resources (refuge and food) and predation	Recent stomach analysis of ~400 common house geckos on Christmas Island found that nearly 15% of individuals contained reptiles in their stomachs. ²³	Common house geckos have been implicated in declines of other geckos where it has been introduced (e.g. Mourning geckos, <i>Lepidodactylus lugubris</i>). ²⁴	supple skink, but these did not decline. ²¹ All three invasive lizards were common in the settlement well before the decline.
8. Yellow crazy ant disturbance	~1989 but more widespread by mid 1990s ⁵	Predation and behavioural change.	Supercolonies consume a significant amount of invertebrate biomass. YCA increased substantially in the 1990s in spatial extent, approximately coinciding with the first reptile declines. Some evidence that YCA supercolonies excluded blue-tailed skinks and the Christmas island forest skink from areas where they co-occurred. ^{5,6}		No spatial correspondence of the decline of reptiles matching patterns of outbreaks of YCA supercolonies. Much of the island remained without YCA supercolonies. The largest supercolonies were located in the western portion of the island where these reptiles remained until 2010-12. ⁶
9. Fipronil use	~2001 widespread Fipronil use occurred until about 2009 ⁵	Bioaccumulation, food reduction and direct ingestion	From 2001 large scale Fipronil poisoning occurred across the island (to control YCA supercolonies).	Variable evidence on the effects of fipronil poisoning on reptiles. Under lab conditions, lizards exposed to food contaminated with fipronil had a mortality rate of 62.5%. However, unknown under field conditions. ²⁵	Reptile declines preceded the use of fipronil. Large scale fipronil application was undertaken in the western portion of the island in 2001 where lizards persisted until 2010-2012. A study found a minimal impact of fipronil on blue-tailed skinks and Christmas island forest skink populations, but sample sizes were low. Some evidence that blue-tailed skinks recovered after YCAs were controlled with fipronil. ⁶ Post baiting assessments in 2012 found no evidence of bioaccumulation of fipronil. ²⁶

10. Disease	~N/A	Increased mortality	In 2014 (post extirpation) a novel enterococcus bacterium (<i>Enterococcus lacertideformus</i>) was discovered on Christmas Island affecting Lister's geckos, blue-tailed skinks, common house geckos, four-clawed geckos with a 100% mortality rate. ²⁷	Disease is well-known to drive rapid species declines. Two endemic rodents on Christmas Island were driven to extinction by disease ¹⁹ and the incremental spatial spread of declines loosely resembles how a disease outbreak would occur.	Disease and pathogen tests were undertaken in 2010 and found no evidence of significant disease occurrence in the reptile fauna. ²⁸
11. Climate change	Decline in habitat suitability; changes in prey availability; physiological stress	~N/A	Some very dry years at the beginning of the decline in the late 1980s and early 1990s.	Climate change is a primary threat to reptiles globally. ²	Drier years did not continue throughout the period of reptile decline.
12. Loss of prey	Reduced food availability	Mid-1990s	Fipronil and the outbreak of YCA's.	There is evidence of reduced invertebrate (ant) abundance on and near YCA supercolonies. ²⁹	No declines in other reptile species that consume similar prey items.

662 1. Cogger et al. 1983, 2. Gibbons et al. 2000, 3. IUCN 2020, 4. Donisthorpe 1935, 5. O'Dowd et al. 2003, 6. James 2007, 7. Fear 1999, 8. Andrews 1909. 9. Molinari et al.
663 2005, 10. Smart et al. 2010, 11. Arsovski et al. 2014, 12. Lindley et al. 2017, 13. Smith 1988, 14. Rumpff 1992, 15. Sleeth 2017, 16. O'Shea et al. 2018, 17. Fritts & Rodda
664 1998, 18. Wiles et al. 2003, 19. Green 2014, 20. Harper & Bunbury 2015, 21. Tidemann et al. 1994, 22. Medina et al. 2011, 23. J. Agius, unpublished data 2017, 24. Case
665 & Bolger 1991, 25. Peveling & Demba 2003, 26. Weeks & McColl 2011, 27. Rose et al. 2017, 28. Hall et al. 2011, 29. Abbott 2006.

Table 2: Summary of ecological, life history and other characteristics for five native and three introduced lizard species that co-occurred on Christmas Island (CI).

	Lister's gecko (<i>Lepidodactylus listeri</i>)	Blue-tailed skink (<i>Cryptoblepharus egeriae</i>)	Christmas Island forest skink (<i>Emoia nativitatis</i>)	Coastal skink (<i>Emoia atrocostata</i>)	Christmas Island giant gecko (<i>Cryptodactylus sadleiri</i>)	Common house gecko (<i>Hemidactylus frenatus</i>)	Four-Clawed gecko (<i>Geyhra mutilata</i>)	Bowring's supple skink (<i>Subdolops bowringii</i>)
Natural distribution	Endemic to Christmas Island (CI) (origins >25 mya) ^{1,2}	Endemic to CI (origins >5 mya) ^{1,2}	Endemic to CI (origins >10 mya) ^{1,2}	Native to CI. Occurs also from Taiwan, through South East Asia, New Guinea and to Vanuatu) ²	Endemic to CI (origins >1 mya) ^{1,2}	Introduced to CI (~ca. 1930s); native range South East Asia ^{1,2}	Introduced to CI (~ca. 1950s) native range South East Asia ^{1,2}	Introduced to CI (~ca. 1970s); native range South East Asia ^{1,2}
Conservation status	Extinct in the Wild (last wild observation in 2012) ³	Extinct in the Wild (last wild observation in 2010) ³	Extinct (last wild observation in 2010) ³	Extirpated (last wild observation in 2010) ³	Critically Endangered ³	Least Concern ³	Least Concern ³	Least Concern ³
Former abundance and occurrence	Common and reasonably widespread, particularly on the plateau ^{2,4,5}	Abundant and hyper-abundant in the settlement ^{2,4,5}	The most abundant skink on Christmas Island in 1979, occupying all habitats ^{2,4,5}	Formerly patchy distribution; not abundant ^{2,4,5}	Extremely abundant and widespread in 1979; remains common in most areas ^{2,4,5}	Abundant, especially in disturbed areas ^{2,4,5}	Abundant, but less so than <i>H. frenatus</i> . Mostly restricted to disturbed areas ^{2,4,5}	Widespread, but not abundant ^{2,4,5}
Size (SVL*; mass)	46 (38-51.5) mm; ~2g ²	43 (30-52) mm; 2.2-2.5g ²	63 (40-78) mm; 9 g ²	67 (48-88) mm; 14 g ²	70 (44-88) mm; 14 g ²	51 (47-59) mm; 4.5 g ²	49 (38-55.5) mm; ~4 g ²	36 (25-47) mm; 2 g ²
Microhabitat ²	Arboreal ²	Arboreal and terrestrial ²	Terrestrial ²	Terrestrial ²	Arboreal ²	Arboreal ²	Arboreal ²	Fossorial ²
Activity pattern	Nocturnal ²	Diurnal ²	Diurnal ²	Diurnal ²	Nocturnal ²	Nocturnal ²	Nocturnal ²	Diurnal ²

Habitat/s	Rainforest including secondary forest (mining/rehabilitation sites) ^{2,4}	Rainforest and disturbed areas ^{2,4}	Rainforest including secondary forest (mining/rehabilitation sites) ^{2,4}	Coastal habitats; rocky intertidal shoreline ^{2,4}	Rainforest including secondary forest (mining/rehabilitation sites) ^{2,4}	Rainforest and disturbed areas. Hyper abundant around human dwellings ^{2,4}	Disturbed areas ^{2,4}	Mostly disturbed areas (grassy areas, rehabilitated mining fields) ^{2,4}
Reproduction	Seasonal breeding; two eggs laid behind bark, on the ground or under rocks, logs. Peak breeding in the dry season ² .	Seasonal breeding (September-February), but likely breed year-round. Clutch of two. Eggs buried on the ground under rocks, logs ² .	Clutch size of two, little else known ²	Clutch size of two. Unknown breeding season, but elsewhere the species complex breeds year-round ² .	Clutch size two; likely breeds year-round with a peak in the dry season ² .	Clutch size two; likely breeds year-round with a peak in the dry season ² .	Clutch size two; likely breeds year-round with a peak in the dry season ² .	Clutch size of two; little else known ² .
Foraging behaviour	Slow-moving; ambush predator of small invertebrates ²	Fast-moving; uses ambush positions to hunt prey (small invertebrates) ²	Fast-moving; predator of small ground dwelling invertebrates ²	Fast-moving; consumes invertebrates in intertidal rocky zone ² .	Slow-moving; ambush predator ²	Fast-moving; consumes invertebrates including flying insects ²	Fast-moving; consumes invertebrates including flying insects ²	Unknown, but likely uses leaf litter to avoid predators and catch prey ²
Predated by wolf snakes	Yes ^{4,6}	Yes ^{4,6}	Yes ^{4,6}	Yes ^{4,6}	Yes ^{4,6}	Yes ^{4,6}	Yes ^{4,6}	Yes ^{4,6}
Predated by other invasive species? (e.g. centipedes, feral cat)	Yes ⁷	Yes	Yes ⁷	Yes ⁷	Yes ⁷	Yes ⁷	Yes ⁷	Yes ⁷
Disease occurrence documented (Enterococcus sp.)	Yes ^{8,9}	Yes ^{8,9}	Unknown ^{8,9}	Unknown ^{8,9}	No - unaffected in areas with diseased common house geckos and four-clawed geckos ^{8,9}	Yes ^{8,9}	Yes ^{8,9}	Unknown ^{8,9}

668 1. Oliver et al. 2018, 2. Cogger et al. 1983, 3. IUCN 2020, 4. James 2007, 5. Smith et al. 2012, 6. Rumpff 1992, 7. Tidemann 1994, 8. Hall et al. 2011, 9. Rose et al. 2017

669 **TABLE 3.** The likelihood of establishing ex-situ populations of blue-tailed skinks and Lister’s gecko, depending
670 on the location, and including 95% confidence intervals (CI)

Location	Species	Estimate	Lower CI	Upper CI
Christmas Island	Lister’s gecko	0.09	0.04	0.20
Christmas Island	Blue-tailed skink	0.10	0.04	0.21
Elsewhere	Lister’s gecko	0.44	0.26	0.62
Elsewhere	Blue-tailed skink	0.73	0.55	0.85

671

672 **TABLE 4.** Eight candidate explanations for why four lizard species persist and four lizard species were lost on Christmas Island.

Hypothesis	Evidence for	Evidence against	Conclusion
1. Surviving species had long evolutionary exposure to introduced predators (notably the common wolf snake)	<p>Genetic analysis suggests the closest living relatives of the Christmas Island giant gecko occur in South East Asia (< 1 million years).¹ The common wolf snake and its species complex are native to South East Asia. Other introduced predators such as giant centipede also from South East Asia.²</p> <p>Common house and four-clawed geckos and the Bowring's supple skink are all native to South East Asia, and likely retained anti-predator skills associated with such predators.</p> <p>The remaining endemic reptiles evolved >5 Mya, and are likely to be evolutionary naive to novel predators. Blue-tailed skinks and the Christmas Island forest skink both have their closest relatives outside South East Asia.¹</p>	<p>The coastal skink is not considered endemic and is widespread through South East Asia, where the common wolf snake and other introduced predators occur.³</p> <p>Despite its closest relatives being from South East Asia, the Christmas Island giant gecko has 1 million years of evolutionary divergence. However, it is unknown when the species arrived on Christmas Island, and it may be a relatively recent arrival, with its close relatives either unsampled or extinct.</p>	<p>Most support</p> <p>The introduced lizard species are all native to South East Asia and co-occur with the common wolf snake</p>
2. Surviving species have different ecological traits.	<p>Common house, four-clawed and the Christmas island giant geckos are all nocturnal and arboreal, whereas the extirpated blue-tailed skink, Christmas island forest skink and coastal skink are diurnal and terrestrial.⁴</p> <p>Most introduced predators on Christmas Island are predominantly nocturnal and terrestrial, however, all are capable climbers (eg. Common wolf snake, giant centipede and black rat) (Table 2).</p>	<p>The bowring's supple skink is diurnal and fossorial. However, as it is a recent arrival from South East Asia, it perhaps recognises potential predators.</p> <p>Lister's geckos are nocturnal and arboreal and was extirpated.</p>	<p>Some support</p> <p>There is some alignment of ecological traits that support them being a factor in reptile declines.</p>
3. Surviving species are more resistant to yellow crazy ants (and the	<p>Some evidence of the Christmas Island giant gecko, and the Common house and four-clawed geckos</p>	<p>Losses of the now EX** reptile species occurred in areas without YCA.</p>	<p>No support</p>

habitat modification they cause).	occurring in areas with and near YCA* super-colonies. ⁵		
4. Surviving species use different microhabitats.	None.	<i>L. bowringii</i> and <i>E. nativitatis</i> had overlapping habitats, yet only <i>S. bowringii</i> persists. <i>G. mutilata</i> , <i>H. frenatus</i> and <i>L. listeri</i> had overlapping habitat use, but only <i>L. listeri</i> disappeared. ^{4,5}	No support
5. Surviving species are more resistant to disease.	None	<i>H. frenatus</i> and <i>G. mutilata</i> have been found with multiple diseases including a novel <i>Enterococcus</i> bacterium and papillomaviruses. ^{6,7,8} At the time of decline, a disease examination found no signs of disease and no differences in the disease/pathogen load between species. ¹³	No support
6. Surviving species are less affected by pesticides used to control crazy ants.	None	Losses of the now EX native reptile species occurred across the entire island (even in areas without fipronil application). ⁵	No support
7. Surviving species may have occurred in greater numbers, so may have persisted longer before extirpation.	None	<i>C. egeriae</i> and <i>E. nativitatis</i> were the most abundant skinks on Christmas Island and were more abundant than invasive species. ⁴	No support
8. Surviving species are less palatable to introduced predators.	None	All reptile species are preyed upon by introduced predators, notably by <i>L. capucinus</i> .	No support
9. Surviving species had greater reproductive capacity, so could better	Many extinct species had relatively low reproductive output	Clutch size is similar for surviving and lost lizard species	No support

withstand novel predation
pressure

-
- 673 1. Oliver et al. 2018, 2. Gibson-Hill 1949, 3. Huang et al. 2011, 4. Cogger et al. 1983, 5. James 2007, 6. Rose et al. 2017, 7. Agius et al. 2019, 8. Hall et al. 2011
674 *YCA (Yellow Crazy Ants)
675 **EX (Extinct)

