Uptake of "Eradicat" feral cat baits by non-target species on Kangaroo Island

Authors: Rosemary Hohnen[1*], Brett P. Murphy[1], Sarah M. Legge [2,3], Chris R. Dickman [4], John C.Z. Woinarski[1]

1 National Environmental Science Program Threatened Species Recovery Hub, Research Institute for the Environment and Livelihoods, Charles Darwin University, Darwin, NT 0909, Australia

2 National Environmental Science Program Threatened Species Recovery Hub, Centre for Biodiversity and Conservation Science, University of Queensland, St Lucia, QLD 4075, Australia

3 National Environmental Science Program Threatened Species Recovery Hub, Fenner School, The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia

4 National Environmental Science Program Threatened Species Recovery Hub, Desert Ecology Research Group, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia

Email addresses: Rosemary Hohnen (rosemary.hohnen@cdu.edu.au), Brett Murphy (brett.murphy@cdu.edu.au), Sarah Legge (sarah.legge@anu.edu.au), Chris Dickman (chris.dickman@sydney.edu.au), John Woinarski (john.woinarski@cdu.edu.au)

Running title: Non-target feral cat bait uptake

Key words: cat control, management, eradication, 1080, threatened species

* Corresponding author: Rosemary Hohnen

Phone: +61473110302

Email: rosemary.hohnen@cdu.edu.au

Address: Charles Darwin University, Casuarina Campus, Darwin, Australia 0909

TABLE OF CONTENTS SHORT SUMMARY

To protect biodiversity, feral cat baiting programs are being increasingly implemented across Australia. We examined the impacts of 'Eradicat' feral cat baiting on non-target species native to Kangaroo Island, using a non-toxic bait trail. We found non-target uptake was high particularly by common brushtail possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*) and bush rats (*Rattus fuscipes*)), and therefore potentially other feral cat baits may be more appropriate for this area.

ABSTRACT

Context

Predation by feral cats (*Felis catus*) threatens a range of vertebrate species across Australia, and cat-free islands increasingly act as safe havens for biodiversity. A feral cat eradication program has begun on Kangaroo Island (4,405 km^2) in South Australia, and poison baiting is likely to be one of the main methods used.

Aims

Here we trial a non-toxic version of a cat bait, "Eradicat", on western Kangaroo Island to examine its potential impact on non-target species.

Methods

Non-toxic baits containing the biomarker Rhodamine B were deployed across four sites in early August and late November in 2018, with bait take and consumption assessed both by remote cameras and by the presence of Rhodamine B in mammalian whisker samples taken post-baiting.

Key results

Cats encountered baits on very few occasions and took a bait on only one occasion in August (<1% of 576 baits deployed). Non-target species accounted for over 99% of identifiable bait takes. In both seasons >60% of all baits laid, were taken by either the common brushtail possum (*Trichosurus vulpecula*), bush rat (*Rattus fuscipes*) or Australian raven (*Corvus coronoides*). In November, Rosenberg's goanna (*Varanus rosenbergi*) and southern brown bandicoot (southeastern subspecies; *Isoodon obesulus obesulus*), listed nationally as Endangered, also took baits (3% and 1%, respectively). The Kangaroo Island dunnart (*Sminthopsis fuliginosus aitkeni*), listed nationally as endangered, approached a bait on only one occasion but did not consume it. Evidence of bait consumption was visible in the whiskers of captured common brushtail possums (100% of post-baiting captured individuals in August, 80% in November), bush rats (59% in August and 50% in November), house mice (*Mus musculus*) (45% in November) and western pygmy-possums (*Cercartetus concinnus*) (33% in November).

Conclusions

While feral cat baiting has the potential to significantly benefit wildlife on Kangaroo Island, impacts on non-target species (particularly the bush rat and common brushtail possum) may be high.

Implications

Alternative cat baits, such as those containing a toxin to which native species have a higher tolerance or are less readily consumed by native wildlife, will be more appropriate.

INTRODUCTION

Introduced vertebrate predators are one of the top threats to Australian wildlife (Evans *et al.* 2011). Since European colonisation of Australia, the feral cat (*Felis catus*) and European red fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) have contributed to the extinction of at least 22 of the 30+ mammal species that have disappeared from Australia (Woinarski *et al.* 2014). Some Australian mammals now persist only in areas without introduced predators, such as offshore islands or within fenced predator-free enclosures (Dickman 1996; Legge *et al.* 2018).

Kangaroo Island is one of Australia's largest offshore islands (4405 km^2) and is considered a stronghold for some vertebrate species that are now rare or threatened on the adjacent mainland. These include Rosenberg's goanna (*Varanus rosenbergi*), pygmy copperhead (*Austrelaps labialis*), bush stone-curlew (*Burhinus grallarius*), glossy black-cockatoo (*Calyptorhynchus lathami*) and southern brown bandicoot (*Isoodon obesulus obesulus*) (Pepper 1996; Gates and Paton 2005; Rismiller *et al.* 2010). However, surveys over the last 15 years indicate that some of the island's fauna are now rare, such as the Kangaroo Island dunnart (*Sminthopsis fuliginosus aitkeni*), and heath mouse (*Pseudomys shortridgei*), or locally extinct, such as the spotted-tailed quoll (*Dasyurus maculatus*) (Kemper *et al.* 2010; Gates 2011; Haouchar *et al.* 2014). The island is free of the red fox and European rabbit (*Oryctolagus cuniculus*), but does have high densities of feral cats (Bengsen *et al.* 2011), which are likely to be a key threat to the persistence of a number of the island's animal species, especially mammals.

Both for economic and environmental reasons, Kangaroo Island is one of five offshore Australian islands for which eradication of feral cats is proposed within the next decade (Australian Federal Government 2015). Poison baiting of feral cats is one of the main methods likely to be used in this process, as baits can be dropped aerially, allowing cats to be controlled in locations inaccessible by road (Algar and Burrows 2004). Cat baiting trials have occurred across Australia with their success varying with factors such as bait palatability and the abundance of food resources in the landscape at the time of baiting (Algar and Burrows 2004; Algar *et al.* 2007; Moseby *et al.* 2009; Buckmaster 2012). Recent studies suggest that if cat baiting can be done effectively, strong positive benefits are immediately experienced by resident wildlife populations (Robinson *et al.* 2015; Macdonald *et al.* 2017). "Eradicat®" is the only feral cat bait that can be purchased in Australia, under strict conditions managed by the Western Australian Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions. Each sausage-shaped bait consists of 15 g of kangaroo meat and chicken fat and contains 4.5 mg of sodium fluoroacetate, a poison widely known as "1080".

While 1080 baiting may benefit native wildlife populations by decreasing the rate of predation by feral cats, there is also potential for the baiting to have direct negative impacts on wildlife if they consume the baits, as many native species are readily killed if they ingest the poison. 1080 occurs naturally in some Australian

plant genera, particularly *Gastrolobium* (Twigg *et al.* 2003), and native mammals tend to have higher tolerance of 1080 in areas where *Gastrolobium* spp. are common, such as southwestern Australia (Twigg and King 1991; Twigg *et al.* 2003). Tolerance can vary even within a species, for example bush rats (*Rattus fuscipes*) from southwestern Australia have a much higher 1080 tolerance (LD50 of 30.1 mg kg^{-1}) than individuals from South Australia (LD50 of <1.8 mg kg^{-1}) (Twigg *et al.* 2003). The term 'LD50' is often used in toxicology to describe the amount of a substance an animal needs to consume for it to be lethal 50% of the time.

The southern brown bandicoot and Kangaroo Island dunnart are two nationally-listed threatened taxa found on Kangaroo Island that would likely benefit significantly from cat control; however, neither is likely to have a high tolerance of 1080. While no studies have directly examined the 1080-tolerance of Kangaroo Island dunnarts, a congeneric species of similar size, the fat-tailed dunnart (*Sminthopsis crassicaudata*), has a low tolerance (LD50 = 2.06 mg kg^{-1}) (Calver *et al.* 1989) and needs to consume only 0.09 g (0.6 %) of a 15 g Eradicat bait to reach LD50 (Sinclair and Bird 1984). The southern brown bandicoot has a slightly higher tolerance of 1080 (LD50 = 7 mg kg^{-1}) (Twigg *et al.* 1990) and would need to consume 21 g of Eradicat bait (1.2 baits) to reach LD50. The bush rat and common brushtail possum from southeastern Australia also have a reasonably low tolerance of 1080 and would reach their LD50 with consumption of 0.4 and 5.8 g (respectively) of an Eradicat bait (LD50 = 1.8 and 0.9 mg kg^{-1}, respectively) (Twigg and King 1991; Twigg *et al.* 2003). If significant proportions of the local populations of these species consumed Eradicat baits, post-baiting population declines would be likely. On Christmas Island bait suspension devices successfully decreased bait consumption by non-target species such as land crabs (*Cardisoma carnifex*), hermit crabs (*Coenobita perlata*), black rats (*Rattus rattus*) and feral chickens (*Gallus domesticus*) (Algar and Brazell 2008), however such devices are unlikely to be effective on Kangaroo Island due to the abundance (and proclivity for taking bait) of common brush tailed possums which are likely to be able to reach anything accessible to a cat.

Eradicating cats on islands can support long-term native wildlife conservation (Campbell *et al.* 2011; Robinson *et al.* 2015), but before eradication occurs it is important that the methods used are effectively evaluated. A low tolerance of 1080 is only a problem if non-target animals eat the baits, but no field based studies have successfully examined the propensity of these species in South Australia to do so. A desktop analysis of potential bait uptake by Australian mammals by Buckmaster *et al.* (2014), estimated that both southern brown bandicoots and Kangaroo Island dunnarts could potentially eat Eradicat baits, but this is yet to be confirmed in the field. One small-scale trial of Eradicat was run on eastern Kangaroo Island, but at sites with no known records of the southern brown bandicoot or Kangaroo Island dunnart, and bait consumption was examined only using camera traps and with no use of non-toxic biomarker to examine bait ingestion (P. Hodgens 2017, unpublished data). A second non-toxic trial of a cat bait called "Curiosity®" was run on eastern Kangaroo Island, but was unsuccessful as bait uptake was determined by animal tracks which could not be

identified on over 50% of occasions (Denny 2009). Therefore, the broadscale impacts and feasibility of Eradicat baiting on Kangaroo Island remain unknown.

To examine the non-target impacts of Eradicat baiting we ran an uptake trial of non-toxic baits on western Kangaroo Island at sites with recent records of the Kangaroo Island dunnart and southern brown bandicoot. We aimed to determine: 1) what proportion of non-toxic Eradicat baits is taken by feral cats compared to non-target species, 2) what proportion of non-target species populations will consume non-toxic Eradicat baits, and 3) how uptake by non-target species varies between sites that are baited once (representative of aerial baiting), or multiple times (representative of long-term intensive baiting). The results of this study should indicate the extent to which both cats and non-target species will be impacted by Eradicat baiting on Kangaroo Island, and hence whether this method has utility for cat eradication there.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The non-toxic Eradicat bait uptake trial was conducted at four sites within the Flinders Chase National Park and Ravine des Casoars Wilderness Protected Area on western Kangaroo Island (Figure 1). This part of the island receives 600–800 mm of rain annually, mostly between May and September (Bureau of Meteorology 2017). Sites had an overstory of Kangaroo Island mallee-ash (*Eucalyptus remota*), brown stringybark (*E. baxteri*) and coastal white mallee (*E. diversifolia*). All sites were baited and subsequently trapped in both winter/spring (August 2018) and spring/summer (November), to examine how bait uptake varies with temperature and rainfall. Previous trapping at these sites in 2017 and 2018 had identified that the Kangaroo Island dunnart (listed nationally as Endangered) was present at all sites, and the southern brown bandicoot (listed nationally as Endangered) was known from two of the sites (Hohnen *et al.* 2019). Other terrestrial vertebrates native to Kangaroo Island (and likely to take baits), such as the bush rat, western pygmy-possum (*Cercartetus concinnus*), little pygmy-possum (*C. lepidus*), common brushtail possum, tammar wallaby (*Notamacropus eugenii*), western grey kangaroo (*Macropus fuliginosus*) and Rosenberg's goanna, were present at all sites.

(Figure 1)

Non-toxic baiting

Each non-toxic Eradicat bait (15 g when dried, 20 g when wet) contained 20 mg of Rhodamine B, a non-toxic substance used to trace bait uptake by mammals (Fisher *et al.* 1999; Fairbridge *et al.* 2003). The baits contained 70% kangaroo meat, 20% chicken fat, 10% digest and flavour enhancers (Patent No. AU13682/01) (Algar and Burrows 2004). Prior to deployment, baits were "sweated" by defrosting in the sun so that oils

formed on the surface of the sausages to make them smell more strongly. All sausages were dusted with Coopex® insecticide to prevent attack by ants and other insects. This insecticide has previously been reported to not reduce bait acceptability to feral cats (Algar and Burrows 2004). At each site there was a 3 × 4 grid of 12 baits spaced equidistant on a 100 m grid which (including a buffered area of 100m around the grid) results in 0.2 km^{-2} area (0.4 km x 0.5 km). The resulting baiting density of 60 baits km^{-2} (12 baits 0.2 km^{-2}), was based on densities used in successful bait trials set in similar eucalypt woodland habitat on French Island (Johnston *et al.* 2011). Previous baiting programs have successfully achieved cat population declines using a wide range of bait densities from 10-25 baits km^{-2} in open desert habitat (Burrows *et al.* 2003; Moseby and Hill 2011) to 100 baits km^{-2} in coastal Western Australia (Algar and Burrows 2004). The manufacturers suggest the densities of 10 baits km^{-2} for ground baiting and from 25-50 baits km^{-2} for aerial bait deployments (Algar and Burrows 2004; Algar *et al.* 2013).

At each bait location, a motion-activated Reconyx® Rapidfire PC 800 or HC 600 camera trap (Holmen, Wisconsin, USA) (used to identify species visiting and removing bait) was attached to a stake positioned 0.5 m off the ground. The camera was angled downwards at 45˚ to face a bait positioned on the ground 0.5 m away, in the centre of a flat area where the vegetation had been removed. Cameras were programmed to take three images per trigger, one second apart, with no minimum time delay between triggers. Camera traps were revisited on six occasions over the two-week baiting period. On each revisit, the presence/absence of a bait at a given station was recorded and all missing or old baits were replaced, resulting in a total of 72 baits deployed at each site in each trapping period. Rebaiting was conducted for two reasons, firstly to test for differences in bait uptake between the first round of baiting (representing of aerial baiting at a density of 60 baits/km^{-2}) and all six rounds (representing intensive baiting), and secondly to maximise the chances of a Kangaroo Island dunnart encountering a bait as this species occurs at very few sites and very low densities (Hohnen *et al.* 2019). It should be noted that in some areas baiting densities differ from those trialed in this study. For example in Western Australia, when 50 baits are aerially deployed they are distributed over an area of 200 x 40 m within each 1 km^{-2} cell. To evaluate how uptake by non-target species varied between sites baited once (representative of aerial baiting) or multiple times (representative of long-term intensive baiting), we ran a chi-squared test of independence in R (R Development Core Team 2018).

Trapping

Two weeks after the baiting period, all sites were trapped for six nights. We set eight pitfall traps, 12 cage traps and 24 Elliott traps in a grid formation across each trial site. The pitfall traplines consisted of two pitfall traps (buckets 70 cm deep, 28 cm diameter) located ~5 m from the distal ends of a 30 m drift fence line (Figure 2). This fence consisted of impermeable black plastic, 60 cm high, held up by metal stakes, forming a solid barrier that guided animals moving through the site towards the pitfall traps. Pits were left open and

checked at dawn and dusk. Cage and Elliott traps were only opened in the evening and checked and closed at dawn, and were baited with a mixture of peanut butter and oats.

(Figure 2)

All captured animals including mammals, reptiles and amphibians were identified to species. Captured mammals were placed into calico bags, weighed and their sex determined. To identify recaptures of individuals, a tuft of hair was removed from the rump. To determine the extent of Rhodamine B ingestion (as an indication of bait consumption) by the captured mammals, eight mystacial vibrissae (whiskers) were plucked from each individual using forceps. The whisker was gripped close to the skin so that the entire whisker was removed from the follicle. If bait was ingested, Rhodamine B would be detectable in the whiskers within four days of consumption (Fisher 1999). While ingestion of bait can be detected, this method does not determine how much bait was consumed. Following processing, animals were released at the point of capture. The whiskers were stored in sealed envelopes for later mounting and examination under a fluorescence microscope to check for traces of Rhodamine B.

Whisker analysis

Whiskers were analysed following the protocol outlined in Fisher (1998). Whiskers from each sample were washed in 70% ethanol and then dried and mounted onto a slide using Fluoroshield mounting fluid (Sigma, St Louis, Montana, USA), and sealed using clear nailpolish. The samples were viewed under a Zeiss Axiosop 2 fluorescence microscope (Zeiss, Oberkochen, Germany), using a green filter (Filter 21) so that light emission from the Rhodamine B could be seen. We noted the banding characteristics on each whisker, including both the number and position. The number of banded whiskers per sample was also recorded.

Tolerance of 1080

We assessed the likely 1080-tolerance (LD50) of wildlife on western Kangaroo Island through a literature review. Where possible, we used studies that sourced animals from places that did not have plants that naturally contain 1080 (such as *Gastrolobium* spp.) (Eason *et al.* 1992), therefore using animals that were similar in their 1080 exposure to those on Kangaroo Island. This is because across Australia the 1080-tolerance of a species can differ, depending on whether or not it has evolved in an area where 1080 naturally occurs in local plants (Twigg *et al.* 2003). We calculated the amount of an Eradicat bait an individual could consume for all non-target species that took baits during the trial, using the literature to find estimates of both the LD50 and average weight of adult individuals in the non-target species. Calculations for the amount of 1080, the weight of bait, and the total amount of a bait an animal needs to consume to reach LD50 are provided in the supplementary material (Table S1). Note that animals may also show significant but non-lethal responses to bait uptake, so our assessment of LD50 does not encompass all possible detrimental impacts of bait consumption. The species with the lowest tolerance to 1080 were the house mouse and bush rat followed by

the tammar wallaby, feral cat, common brushtail possum, southern brown bandicoot and western grey kangaroo (Full details in Table 1.)

(Table 1.)

RESULTS

Baiting

In each baiting period (early August and late November), 12 baits were deployed across each of the four sites on six baiting occasions (288 per season, and 576 in total). When the bait stations were checked 2-3 days after deployment, almost 100% of baits (287/288) had been removed in August, and 89% of baits (257/288) had been removed in November. In August, cameras recorded vertebrate animals removing the baits on 71% (204/287) of the occasions when a bait was taken but missed photographing the bait-taking animal on 29% of occasions. Of the 71% of identifiable bait takes, the majority involved the bush rat, common brushtail possum, Australian raven (*Corvus coronoides*), with a few by the house mouse (*Mus musculus*) (Table 2,3). In the November baiting episode, cameras recorded vertebrates removing baits on 80% (207/257) of occasions when a bait was taken but failed to photograph the species that took baits on 20% of occasions. Of the 80% of bait takes the cameras recorded, most involved the common brushtail possum, bush rat, Australian raven and with a low percentage taken by Rosenberg's goanna, the southern brown bandicoot and the house mouse. Some species, including the tammar wallaby, western grey kangaroo and Kangaroo Island dunnart triggered the cameras when a bait was present, but were not recorded taking the bait (Table 2). In August, feral cats were photographed encountering a bait on only two occasions and were recorded consuming the bait once. In November, feral cats were recorded encountering baits on four occasions but were not recorded consuming any.

(Table 2 and 3)

Species that took baits most frequently upon encounter (across both seasons) were the Australian raven (100% or 77/77), Rosenberg's goanna (100% or 7/7), bush rat (94% or 159/169), common brushtail possum (90% or 154/171) and house mouse (77% or 10/13). Species with low uptake rates included the southern brown bandicoot (25% or 3/12) and feral cat (17% or 1/6) (Table 2). Although the tammar wallaby, western grey kangaroo and short-beaked echidna encountered baits reasonably frequently (61, 13 and 12 encounters, respectively), none took baits on these occasions.

When considering the first round (i.e. the 2-3 days after initial deployment) of baiting only, 48 baits were deployed across four sites in both August and November. After 2-3 days, 98% (47/48) of baits had been taken

in August, and 60% (29/48) in November. In August, cameras detected the species that took the baits on 29 of the 47 occasions a bait was taken (62%), and of those most were taken by the bush rat, common brushtail possum, and Australian raven (Table 3). In November, cameras detected the species that took the bait on 93% of occasions, and again the majority were taken by the common brushtail possum, Australian raven, bush rat, but with 10% taken by Rosenberg's goanna. For all seasons, the bush rat, common brushtail possum and Australian raven took baits on > 80% of instances they encountered them (Table 2). When considering the November and August data together, the frequency of bait uptake by different species did not vary between the first round of baiting and all six rounds (χ^2 (5) = 6.8, p = 0.23). Similarly, there was no difference between the first round and all six rounds in November (χ^2 (5) = 8.4, p = 0.13), however in August there was a significant difference (χ^2 (3) = 13.4, p = 0.003), potentially driven by the higher proportion of bait takes by the bush rat in the first round.

(Table 2, Table 3)

Whisker analysis

Whisker samples were collected principally from the common brushtail possum, bush rat and house mouse, as well as the southern brown bandicoot, little pygmy-possum and western pygmy-possum. All 18 brushtail possums (100%) caught in August exhibited banding in their whiskers indicative of having eaten a bait. In November 83% of brushtail possums caught (10 total) exhibited evidence of having eaten a bait (Table 4). At least half the bush rat population exhibited evidence of bait consumption in both August (59%) and November (50%). For the house mouse, 59% of captured individuals (5 total) showed evidence of bait consumption in August, but none showed evidence of bait consumption in November. While captures of other species were too few to make robust conclusions, no captured little pygmy-possum or southern brown bandicoot showed evidence of having consumed a bait. In contrast, evidence of bait consumption was detected in whiskers of two western pygmy-possums (33%) caught in November. It is important to note that while bait consumption can be detected, the amount of bait consumed by these individual animals cannot.

(Table 4)

DISCUSSION

Our results indicate that baiting of feral cats on western Kangaroo Island using Eradicat baits could have a large negative impact on non-target native species. Non-target species accounted for over 99% of identifiable bait takes, with only one bait taken by a feral cat. In both August and November the common brushtail possum, bush rat and Australian raven – all locally abundant – accounted for over 60% of all bait takes, and all three of these species have a reasonably low tolerance of 1080, needing to consume less than a single bait for it to be

lethal in most cases. However, Rosenberg's goanna (listed as vulnerable in South Australia) was not reported at bait stations in August and took baits only in November, suggesting that impacts of baiting on this species could be minimised by baiting in August when the species is inactive. Impacts on the Kangaroo Island dunnart and southern brown bandicoot were difficult to determine due to the low number of detections. Feral cats remain a significant threat to native fauna on the island, and feral cat baiting is still the most cost-effective method of controlling cats in wilderness areas (Algar and Burrows 2004). However, it appears broadscale Eradicat baiting could have large negative impacts on common native fauna, and the investigation or other baits or avenues of cat control may be required.

Both the common brushtail possum and bush rat took over 50% of the all baits laid in both November and August, and both species took the baits on over 80% of occasions that they encountered them. Over 50% of bush rats, and 80% of possums captured at the sites in both November and August showed evidence that they had consumed baits. Both species have low tolerance of 1080, with the possum needing to consume only half of a 15 g Eradicat bait to reach its LD50, and the bush rat just 1% of a 15 g bait. Few studies have previously documented impacts of feral cat baiting on these common species. In a study by Fenner *et al.* (2009), bush rat populations were not impacted by baiting for foxes, but the raw meat baits used in that study had a lower concentration of 1080 (0.024 mg g^{-1} bait, compared to 0.3 mg g^{-1} Eradicat bait), and were deployed at a lower density (40 baits km^{-2}) than in both the current study (60 baits km^{-2}), aerial feral cat baiting operations in Western Australia (Algar *et al.* 2013), and the Northern Territory (Macdonald *et al.* 2017). The common brushtail possum has been recorded taking raw meat (fox) baits (Martin *et al.* 2002; Mallick *et al.* 2016), grain (Gillies and Pierce 1999; Veltman and Pinder 2001), and carrot baits (Murphy *et al.* 1999; Spurr and Drew 1999) but no studies have examined the uptake of feral cat baits (which differ from the above in their form and composition). The results from our study suggest that baiting could have a large negative impact on both the bush rat and common brushtail possum.

The Australian raven could also be negatively affected by Eradicat baiting (taking 11% and 17% of baits in both August and November, respectively) as baits were taken on 100% of occasions that they were encountered. This species also has an LD50 low enough that a single bait could be lethal (McIlroy 1984; Powlesland *et al.* 1999). In a separate Eradicat trial on eastern Kangaroo Island, uptake by corvids varied with season, but was sometimes as high as 40% (P. Hodgens pers. comm.). In central Western Australia, corvids also took over 40% of Eradicat baits (Doherty and Algar 2015) and in South Australia 11% (Moseby and Hill 2011). Corvids are highly visual predators, and in systems were they are a serious nest predators, predation rates are highest at the most obvious nests (Ekanayake *et al.* 2015). High rate of bait uptake by corvids in our study may have been influenced by us hand-baiting, with corvids watching our behaviour. Aerial baiting would not provide such visual cues to corvids, so their rate of take may be lower in such situations.

In contrast to the aforementioned species, continuous baiting may have a low impact on Rosenberg's goanna (listed in South Australia as Vulnerable). In this trial, Rosenberg's goanna was very likely to take the baits in November when the ambient temperature was warmer and they were active (seven bait takes from seven encounters). Previous bait trials across Australia have recorded high bait uptake by varanids both in captivity (De Tores *et al.* 2011) and in the wild (Doherty and Algar 2015). However, this species has a reasonable tolerance of 1080 and needs to consume more than six baits to reach its LD50. Also, this species is inactive during the colder months there were no sightings on the cameras in August, and therefore baiting impacts could be mitigated by restricting baiting to this time of year. A similar pattern was noticed for the southern brown bandicoot, with bait uptake for this species occurring only in November (three bait takes from 12 encounters); however, this encounter rate was too low for robust conclusions to be made. In previous trials a mixture of wild and captive bandicoots were found to consume on average 8.5 g of a 20 g bait, and on some occasions consume the whole bait (Hetherington *et al.* 2007), suggesting that in some circumstances they will eat a sufficient amount of bait to approach their LD50 threshold.

The Kangaroo Island dunnart has largely disappeared from eastern Kangaroo Island, and is rarely encountered in the west (Hohnen *et al.* 2019). It is likely to benefit significantly from control of Kangaroo Island's feral cat population; however, the impact of feral cat baiting on this species is uncertain. There is evidence that other species of dunnart have a low tolerance of 1080, particularly those from areas outside the distribution of *Gastrolobium* spp., including *Sminthopsis crassicaudata* (LD50 2.06 mg kg^{-1}, or 1% of an Eradicat bait) and *S. macroura* (LD50 = 1 mg kg^{-1}, or 7% of an Eradicat bait) (Calver *et al.* 1989). However, Sinclair and Bird (1984) suggested that *S. crassicaudata* may be able to detect the presence of 1080, as captive individuals conditioned to eating meat significantly decreased their intake (and in some instances vomited) when consuming meat dosed with 2.83 mg kg^{-1} of 1080. Field-based studies elsewhere, including in the Gibson Desert and the MacDonnell Ranges in central Australia, found no evidence of Eradicat bait consumption or a post-baiting population decline of *Sminthopsis* species (Angus *et al.* 2002; Macdonald *et al.* 2017). In the current study, unfortunately only one Kangaroo Island dunnart approached a camera when a bait was available, and while it did not eat the bait, little can be inferred from a single such encounter. Furthermore, population-scale impacts of bait consumption need to be contextualised with reference to the relative population size of different species. Although our study reported few instances of Kangaroo Island dunnarts and southern brown bandicoots encountering and consuming baits relative to the numbers of common brushtail possums and bush rats doing so, it may be that a higher proportion of the total population of bandicoots took bait than was the case for brushtail possums and bush rats. However, the total population sizes of these species in the study area are not known.

In our study, rates of bait encounter and uptake by feral cats were very low. Cats were recorded encountering baits on only two occasions in August and four occasions in November (out of the total 576 baits laid). Of the six baits encountered, a cat took only one bait (in August). Uptake of baits by cats in previous studies appears

very variable, with some high (e.g. 89% and 75%) (Johnston *et al.* 2011; Robinson *et al.* 2015) and some low (e.g. 20% to > 5%) (Algar *et al.* 2011; Moseby and Hill 2011). The likelihood of a bait being encountered by a cat varies with the density of cats, the density of baits, and the level of competition with other species for the baits (Algar *et al.* 2007; Moseby and Hill 2011). The density of baits used in this study (60 baits km^{-2}) was based on successful bait trials in similar eucalypt habitat on French Island in south eastern Australia (Johnston *et al.* 2011). Given that the average home range of a cat on eastern Kangaroo Island is about 3.7 km^2 (P. Hodgens unpublished data), a cat at a given site would likely have had access to all 12 stations within its home range. As the size of each the four sites could fit within one individual cat's home range, potentially the trial sites were too small for many cats to have had access to them, so our results may reflect behavioural responses of very few individual cats. If the baits were deployed on a landscape scale, this would cease to be an issue. Previous baiting programs have successfully achieved cat population declines using a wide range of bait densities from 10-25 baits km^{-2} in open desert habitat (Burrows *et al.* 2003; Moseby and Hill 2011) to 100 baits km^{-2} in coastal Western Australia (Algar and Burrows 2004). Potentially low bait encounter rates, as observed in our study, may also reflect a low density of cats. On western Kangaroo Island there are 0.3-0.5 cats km^{-2}, a higher density than the average for mainland Australia (R. Hohnen unpublished data), but lower than on eastern Kangaroo Island where densities are 0.5-0.8 cats km^{-2} (P. Hodgens unpublished data). Higher bait encounter and uptake rates observed by a similar study on eastern Kangaroo Island might reflect higher densities of cats on that side of the island (P. Hodgens, unpublished data). Also, we observed high competition for baits, with non-target species involved in 99% of identifiable bait takes August and November, decreasing bait availability for the target species.

Low bait uptake may also reflect a disinterest in scavenging. This has been observed in feral cats in other parts of Australia (Catling 1988; Short *et al.* 2002). On eastern Kangaroo Island, however, this does not seem to apply, as cats are readily caught in cage traps using chicken as bait (P. Hodgens, unpublished data). This discrepancy may reflect the large number of roads and therefore roadkill on eastern Kangaroo Island, making cats in the east more accustomed to scavenging than those in the west (where there are few tracks and relatively little traffic), and therefore also more likely to eat baits.

In this trial we compared bait uptake between an initial single round of baiting, and multiple rounds of baiting. This is because, potentially, uptake during the first round of baiting is more reflective of uptake during broadscale aerial baiting, where individuals have no previous experience with the baits. In contrast, after multiple rounds of baiting at a given site, animals might learn where the bait stations are and, if they survive the first round, target them. However, there were relatively few differences in uptake when comparing the first round of baiting to the whole trial. In August, total uptake in the first round was very similar to the whole trial (98% compared to 99%), but in November, uptake during the first round was lower than the whole trial (60% compared to 98%). The results of the chi-squared tests suggest that proportional uptake by the various non-target species did not differ between the first round and all rounds in November, or when considering both

seasons together, but did vary in August potentially driven by higher uptake of bush rats during the first round. Despite this in both the first round of baiting and in all rounds, the common brushtail possum, bush rat and Australian raven took over 60% of the baits. Overall, these results suggest that irrespective of how baits are deployed (once, or continually in a targeted manner), uptake by non-target species will be high.

While Eradicat is the only feral cat bait currently available for commercial use in Australia, two others are in development: "Curiosity®" and "Hisstory®". Both baits have a sausage-shaped meat exterior similar to Eradicat but use a hard-shelled delivery vehicle (HSDV), where poison is encapsulated in a hard, though digestible, pellet that sits in the centre of the sausage (Algar and Burrows 2004; Marks *et al.* 2006). The idea is that native Australian mammals generally chew their food such that they would likely eat around the hard capsule, whereas cats, that eat their prey without chewing, would swallow it whole, with the toxin released when the HSDV dissolves in the cat's digestive tract (Marks *et al.* 2006). The baits use different toxins: "Hisstory" uses 1080, "Curiosity" uses para-aminopropiophenone, to which some Australian mammals have a higher tolerance (Fisher *et al.* 2008). Buckmaster *et al.* (2014) suggest that the use of a HSDV may help decrease potential impacts on the common brushtail possum, but potentially not the bush rat (that may be able to chew into them) or the Australian raven (which may be able to swallow baits whole).

Ultimately, the results of this trial suggest that Eradicat may be an inappropriate choice of bait for broadscale feral cat control on western Kangaroo Island. Impacts on common species are of particular concern with populations of the bush rat, common brushtail possum and Australian raven likely to be severely negatively affected by the use of this bait. However, impacts on species such as Rosenberg's goanna may be avoided by baiting in August. Unfortunately, encounter rates by the threatened southern brown bandicoot and Kangaroo Island dunnart were too low to draw robust conclusions, except to confirm that bandicoots will consume baits in some circumstances. Other feral cat baits that are currently in development such as "Curiosity" or "Hisstory" may have lower impacts on Kangaroo Island wildlife and could therefore be more appropriate to deploy at both local and landscape scales. As uptake of baits by cats was low in this study, we suggest that further investigation into the home range size and movements of feral cats on western KI could inform and optimise the placement of alternative baits and increase cat encounter rates.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was funded by the National Environment Science Program's Threatened Species Recovery Hub (Project 1.1.10), and supported by the South Australian Department of Environment and Water. Study methods were approved by Charles Darwin University's Animal Ethics Committee (Permit Number A18008), and the South Australian Department for Environment, Water and Natural Resources (Scientific research permit E26739-1). Big thanks to contributing volunteers and Natural Resources Kangaroo Island staff who

helped make this research possible, including Áine Nicholson, Alex Hartshorne, Hannah Vassiliades, Laura O'Connor, Megan Barnes, Pat Hodgens, Robyn Molsher, Martine Kinloch and Caroline Patterson.

CONFLICTS OF INEREST

The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

Algar, D., Angus, G., Williams, M., and Mellican, A. (2007). Influence of bait type, weather and prey abundance on bait uptake by feral cats (*Felis catus*) on Peron Peninsula, Western Australia. *Conservation Science Western Australia* **6**, 109-149.

Algar, D. and Brazell, R. (2008). A bait-suspension device for the control of feral cats. *Wildlife Research* **35**, 471-476.

Algar, D. and Burrows, N. (2004). Feral cat control research: Western Shield review-February 2003. *Conservation Science Western Australia* **5**, 131-163.

Algar, D., Hamilton, N., Onus, M., Hilmer, S., Comer, S., Tiller, C., Bell, L., Pinder, J., Adams, E., and Butler, S. (2011). Field trial to compare baiting efficacy of Eradicat® and Curiosity® baits. *Unpublished report. Department of Environment and Conservation, Woodvale*.

Algar, D., Onus, M., and Hamilton, N. (2013). Feral cat control as part of rangelands restoration at Lorna Glen (Matuwa), Western Australia: the first seven years. *Conservation Science Western Australia* **8**, 367-381.

Angus, G., Onus, M., Fuller, P., Liddelow, G., and Ward, B. (2002). Comparison of two aerial baiting regimes with respect to bait acceptance by introduced predators and nontarget native fauna, at the Gibson Desert Nature Reserve, Western Australia. *Report to the Wind Over Water Foundation. Department of Conservation and Land Management, Western Australia*.

Australian Federal Government (2015). Threatened Species Strategy. Department of Environment and Energy. (Canberra, Australia.)

Bengsen, A., Butler, J., and Masters, P. (2011). Estimating and indexing feral cat population abundances using camera traps. *Wildlife Research* **38**, 732-739.

Buckmaster, A. J. (2012) Ecology of the feral cat (*Felis catus*) in the tall forests of far east Gippsland. (University of Sydney: Sydney.)

Buckmaster, T., Dickman, C. R., and Johnston, M. J. (2014). Assessing risks to non-target species during poison baiting programs for feral cats. *PLoS one* **9**, e107788.

Bureau of Meteorology (2017). Climate data online. In 'http://www.bom.gov.au/climate/data/?ref=ftr'. (Commonwealth of Australia, Bureau of Meteorology.)

Burrows, N., Algar, D., Robinson, A., Sinagra, J., Ward, B., and Liddelow, G. (2003). Controlling introduced predators in the Gibson Desert of Western Australia. *Journal of Arid Environments* **55**, 691-713.

Calver, M., McIlroy, J., King, D., Bradley, J., and Gardner, J. (1989). Assessment of an approximate lethal dose technique for determining the relative susceptibility of non-target species to 1080 toxin. *Wildlife Research* **16**, 33-40.

Campbell, K., Harper, G., Algar, D., Hanson, C., Keitt, B., and Robinson, S. (2011). Review of feral cat eradications on islands. In 'Island Invasives: Eradication and Management'. (Eds C. Veitch, M. Clout, and D. Towns) pp. 37-46. (IUCN: Gland, Switzerland.)

Catling, P. (1988). Similarities and contrasts in the diets of foxes, *Vulpes vulpes*, and cats, *Felis catus*, relative to fluctuating prey populations and drought. *Wildlife Research* **15**, 307-317.

De Tores, P. J., Sutherland, D. R., Clarke, J. R., Hill, R. F., Garretson, S. W., Bloomfield, L., Strümpher, L., Glen, A. S., and Cruz, J. (2011). Assessment of risks to non-target species from an encapsulated toxin in a bait proposed for control of feral cats. *Wildlife Research* **38**, 38-50.

Denny, E. (2009). Feral cat bait uptake trails 2. Kangaroo Island. Invasive Animals Cooperative Research Centre. (School of Biological Sciences, University of Sydney.)

Dickman, C. R. (1996). Impact of exotic generalist predators on the native fauna of Australia. *Wildlife Biology* **2**, 185-195.

Doherty, T. S. and Algar, D. (2015). Response of feral cats to a track-based baiting programme using Eradicat® baits. *Ecological Management & Restoration* **16**, 124-130.

Eason, C. T., Morgan, D. R., and Clapperton, B. K. (1992). Toxic bait and baiting strategies for feral cats. *Proceedings of the Fifteenth Vertebrate Pest Conference* **25**, 371-376.

Ekanayake, K. B., Sutherland, D. R., Dann, P., and Weston, M. A. (2015). Out of sight but not out of mind: corvids prey extensively on eggs of burrow-nesting penguins. *Wildlife Research* **42**, 509-517.

Evans, M. C., Watson, J. E., Fuller, R. A., Venter, O., Bennett, S. C., Marsack, P. R., and Possingham, H. P. (2011). The spatial distribution of threats to species in Australia. *BioScience* **61**, 281-289.

Fairbridge, D., Anderson, R., Wilkes, T., and Pell, G. (2003). Bait uptake by free living brush-tailed phascogales *Phascogale tapoatafa* and other non-target mammals during simulated buried fox baiting. *Australian Mammalogy* **25**, 31-45.

Fenner, S., Kortner, G., and Vernes, K. (2009). Aerial baiting with 1080 to control wild dogs does not affect the populations of two common small mammal species. *Wildlife Research* **36**, 528-532. doi: 10.1071/wr08134.

Fisher, P. (1998) 'Rhodamine B as a marker for the assessment of non-toxic bait uptake by animals: Bait marker project (1995-1997).' (Department of Natural Resources and Environment: Melbourne.)

Fisher, P. (1999). Review of using Rhodamine B as a marker for wildlife studies. *Wildlife Society Bulletin (1973-2006)* **27**, 318-329.

Fisher, P., Algar, D., and Sinagra, J. (1999). Use of Rhodamine B as a systemic bait marker for feral cats (*Felis catus*). *Wildlife Research* **26**, 281-285.

Fisher, P., O'Connor, C., and Morriss, G. (2008). Oral toxicity of p-aminopropiophenone to brushtail possums (*Trichosurus vulpecula*), Tamar wallabies (*Macropus eugenii*), and mallards (*Anas platyrhynchos*). *Journal of Wildlife Diseases* **44**, 655-663.

Gates, J. A. (2011). Recovery plan for the Kangaroo Island dunnart *Sminthopsis aitkeni*. (Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Adelaide, South Australia.)

Gates, J. A. and Paton, D. C. (2005). The distribution of bush stone-curlews (*Burhinus grallarius*) in South Australia, with particular reference to Kangaroo Island. *Emu* **105**, 241-247.

Gillies, C. and Pierce, R. (1999). Secondary poisoning of mammalian predators during possum and rodent control operations at Trounson Kauri Park, Northland, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* **23**, 183-192.

Haouchar, D., Haile, J., McDowell, M. C., Murray, D. C., White, N. E., Allcock, R. J., Phillips, M. J., Prideaux, G. J., and Bunce, M. (2014). Thorough assessment of DNA preservation from fossil bone and sediments excavated from a late Pleistocene–Holocene cave deposit on Kangaroo Island, South Australia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **84**, 56-64.

Hetherington, C. A., Algar, D., Mills, H., and Bencini, R. (2007). Increasing the target-specificity of ERADICAT® for feral cat (*Felis catus*) control by encapsulating a toxicant. *Wildlife Research* **34**, 467-471.

Hohnen, R., Murphy, B. P., Gates, J. A., Legge, S., Dickman, C. R., and Woinarski, J. C. Z. (2019). Detecting and protecting the threatened Kangaroo Island dunnart (*Sminthopsis fuliginosus aitkeni*). *Conservation Science and Practice* **1**, e4.

Johnston, M., Algar, D., O'Donoghue, M., and Morris, J. (2011). Field efficacy of the Curiosity feral cat bait on three Australian islands. In 'Island invasives: eradication and management'. (Eds C. Veitch, M. Clout, and D. Towns) pp. 182-187. (IUCN: Gland, Swizerland.)

Kemper, C., Medlin, G., and Bachmann, M. (2010). The discovery and history of the heath mouse *Pseudomys shortridgei* (Thomas, 1907) in South Australia. *Transactions of the Royal Society of South Australia* **134**, 125-138.

Legge, S., Robinson, N., Lindenmayer, D., Scheele, B., Southwell, D., and Wintle, B. (2018) 'Monitoring Threatened Species and Ecological Communities.' (CSIRO Publishing: Clayton South, Australia.)

Macdonald, P., Stewart, A., and Tyne, J. (2017). Experimental control using Eradicat bait in the MacDonnell Ranges. Department of Environment and Natural Resources Northern Territory. (Darwin.)

Mallick, S., Pauza, M., Eason, C., Mooney, N., Gaffney, R., and Harris, S. (2016). Assessment of non-target risks from sodium fluoroacetate (1080), para-aminopropiophenone (PAPP) and sodium cyanide (NaCN) for fox-incursion response in Tasmania. *Wildlife Research* **43**, 140-152.

Marks, C. A., Johnston, M. J., Fisher, P. M., Pontin, K., and Shaw, M. J. (2006). Differential particle size ingestion: promoting target-specific baiting of feral cats. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* **70**, 1119-1124.

Martin, G., Twigg, L., Marlow, N., Kirkpatrick, W., and Gaikhorst, G. (2002). The acceptability of three types of predator baits to captive non-target animals. *Wildlife Research* **29**, 489-502.

McIlroy, J. (1982). The sensitivity of Australian animals to 1080 poison. III. Marsupial and eutherian herbivores. *Wildlife Research* **9**, 487-503.

McIlroy, J. (1984). The sensitivity of Australian animals to 1080 poison. VII. Native and introduced birds. *Wildlife Research* **11**, 373-385.

Moseby, K. and Hill, B. (2011). The use of poison baits to control feral cats and red foxes in arid South Australia I. Aerial baiting trials. *Wildlife Research* **38**, 338-349.

Moseby, K., Stott, J., and Crisp, H. (2009). Improving the effectiveness of poison baiting for the feral cat and European fox in northern South Australia: the influence of movement, habitat use and activity. *Wildlife Research* **36**, 1-14.

Murphy, E., Robbins, L., Young, J., and Dowding, J. (1999). Secondary poisoning of stoats after an aerial 1080 poison operation in Pureora Forest, New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* **23**, 175-182.

Oliver, A., King, D., and Mead, R. (1979). Fluoroacetate tolerance, a genetic marker in some Australian mammals. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **27**, 363-372.

Pepper, J. W. (1996) The behavioral ecology of the glossy black-cockatoo *Calyptorhynchus lathami halmaturinus*. (Doctor of Philosophy Thesis, Department of Biology, University of Michigan: Detroit, Michigan.)

Powlesland, R., Knegtmans, J., and Marshall, I. (1999). Costs and benefits of aerial 1080 possum control operations using carrot baits to North Island robins (*Petroica australis longipes*), Pureora Forest Park. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* **23**, 149-159.

R Development Core Team (2018). R (version 3.5.3). In 'R: A Language and Environment'. (R Foundation for Statistical Computing: Vienna, Austria.)

Rismiller, P. D., McKelvey, M. W., and Green, B. (2010). Breeding phenology and behavior of Rosenberg's goanna (*Varanus rosenbergi*) on Kangaroo Island, South Australia. *Journal of Herpetology* **44**, 399-408.

Robinson, S., Gadd, L., Johnston, M., and Pauza, M. (2015). Long-term protection of important seabird breeding colonies on Tasman Island through eradication of cats. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* **39**, 316.

Short, J., Turner, B., and Risbey, D. (2002). Control of feral cats for nature conservation. III. Trapping. *Wildlife Research* **29**, 475-487.

Sinclair, R. and Bird, P. (1984). The reaction of *Sminthopsis crassicaudta* to meat baits containing 1080: Implications for assessing risk to non-target species. *Wildlife Research* **11**, 501-507.

Spurr, E. and Drew, K. (1999). Invertebrates feeding on baits used for vertebrate pest control in New Zealand. *New Zealand Journal of Ecology* **23**, 167-173.

Twigg, L. E., King, D., and Mead, R. (1990). Tolerance to flouroacetate for populations of Isoodon and Macrotis and its implications for fauna management. In 'Bandicoots and bilbies'. (Eds J. Seebeck, P. Brown, R. Wallis, and C. Kemper) pp. 185-192. (Surrey Beatty and Sons: Sydney.)

Twigg, L. E. and King, D. R. (1991). The impact of fluoroacetate bearing vegetation on native Australian fauna: a review. *Oikos* **61**, 412-430.

Twigg, L. E., Martin, G. R., Eastman, A. F., King, t. l. D. R., and Kirkpatrick, W. E. (2003). Sensitivity of some Australian animals to sodium fluoroacetate (1080): additional species and populations, and some ecological considerations. *Australian Journal of Zoology* **51**, 515-531.

Veltman, C. J. and Pinder, D. N. (2001). Brushtail possum mortality and ambient temperatures following aerial poisoning using 1080. *The Journal of Wildlife Management* **65**, 476-481.

Woinarski, J., Burbidge, A., and Harrison, P. (2014) 'The action plan for Australian mammals 2012.' (CSIRO Publishing: Collingwood, Australia.)

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Figure 1: Locations of four sites where non-toxic 'Eradicat' baits were deployed, on western Kangaroo Island.

Figure 2. Aerial view of the non-toxic baiting trial site design. Large squares indicate camera trap stations, small squares indicate Elliott traps, rectangles indicate cage traps and circles indicate pitfall traps.

TABLES

Table 1: The number of 15 g Eradicat baits that a species must consume before reaching its LD50. The origin of animals used in the study, relative to the distribution of plants that naturally contain 1080, is included (adapted from Twigg and King 1991), where OKR = outside know range, PE = potential exposure, IKR = inside known range.

Common name	Scientific name	Location	Average adult body weight (kg)	LD50 (mg kg^{-1})	Amount of 1080 for LD50	Weight of bait for LD50 (g)	Number of baits for LD50	Reference
Australian raven	*Corvus coronoides*	PE	0.6	5.1	3.0	9.9	0.7	McIlroy *et al*. (1984)
Common brushtail possum	*Trichosurus vulpecula*	OKR	2.6	0.9	2.2	7.5	0.5	McIlroy *et al.* (1982)
Bush rat	*Rattus fuscipes*	OKR	0.1	1.8	0.1	0.5	<0.1	Twigg *et al.* (2003)
Cat	*Felis catus*	PE	4.2	0.3	1.7	5.6	0.4	Eason *et al*. (1992)
House mouse	*Mus musculus*	PE	0.0	8.3	0.1	0.4	<0.1	Twigg and King (1991)
Rosenberg's goanna	*Varanus rosenbergi*	OKR	0.7	40	28	93	6.2	Twigg and King (1991)
Southern brown bandicoot	*Isoodon obesulus*	OKR	0.8	7.0	5.6	19	1.2	Twigg and King (1991)
Tammar wallaby	*Notamacropus eugenii*	OKR	6.0	0.2	0.9	3.0	0.2	Oliver *et al.* (1979)
Western grey kangaroo	*Macropus fuliginosus*	OKR	30	20	600	2000	133	Oliver *et al.* (1979)

765 Table 2: November and August bait uptake by wildlife species on western Kangaroo Island including data from a.) the first round of baiting, and b.) all six
766 rounds of baiting. The number of times the animals triggered the cameras when a bait was present, and the times they took the baits, are shown.

Common name	Scientific name	Total triggers	Bait taken	% uptake	Total triggers	Bait taken	% uptake
a.) First round of baiting							
Australian raven	*Corvus coronoides*	3	3	100	8	8	100
Feral cat	*Felis catus*	0	0	0	2	0	0
Southern brown bandicoot	*Isoodon obesulus*	2	0	0	1	0	0
Tammar wallaby	*Notamacropus eugenii*	15	0	0	6	0	0
Western grey kangaroo	*Macropus fuliginosus*	1	0	0	1	0	0
Bush rat	*Rattus fuscipes*	27	22	81	6	5	83
Short-beaked echidna	*Tachyglossus aculeatus*	1	0	0	0	0	0
Common brushtail possum	*Trichosurus vulpecula*	9	4	44	15	11	73
Rosenberg's goanna	*Varanus rosenbergi*	0	0	0	3	3	100
Total		58	29	50	42	28	67
b.) All six rounds of baiting							
Shy heathwren	*Calamanthus cauta*	0	0	0	3	0	0
Grey shrikethrush	*Colluricincla harmonica*	0	0	0	1	0	0
Australian raven	*Corvus coronoides*	32	32	100	45	45	100
Feral cat	*Felis catus*	2	1	50	4	0	0
Southern brown bandicoot	*Isoodon obesulus*	5	0	0	7	3	43
Tammar wallaby	*Notamacropus eugenii*	32	0	0	29	0	0
Western grey kangaroo	*Macropus fuliginosus*	4	0	0	9	0	0
House mouse	*Mus musculus*	7	7	100	6	3	50
Bush rat	*Rattus fuscipes*	113	108	96	56	51	91
Kangaroo Island dunnart	*Sminthopsis fuliginosus aitkeni*	0	0	0	1	0	0
Short-beaked echidna	*Tachyglossus aculeatus*	3	0	0	9	0	0
Common brushtail possum	*Trichosurus vulpecula*	63	56	89	108	98	91
Rosenberg's goanna	*Varanus rosenbergi*	0	0	0	7	7	100
Total		261	204	78	285	207	73

767

Table 3. The percentage of baits taken by all species that approached the bait stations in August and November for both the first round of baiting and all six rounds of baiting.

		First round		All rounds	
Common name	Scientific name	Winter	Summer	Winter	Summer
Shy heathwren	*Calamanthus cauta*	-	-	-	-
Grey shrikethrush	*Colluricincla harmonica*	-	-	-	-
Australian raven	*Corvus coronoides*	6	28	11	18
Feral cat	*Felis catus*	-	-	-	-
Southern brown bandicoot	*Isoodon obesulus*	0	0	0	1
Tammar wallaby	*Macropus eugenii*	-	-	-	-
Western grey kangaroo	*Macropus fuliginosus*	-	-	-	-
House mouse	*Mus musculus*	0	0	2	1
Bush rat	*Rattus fuscipes*	47	17	38	20
Kangaroo Island dunnart	*Sminthopsis fulginosus aitkeni*	-	-	-	-
Short-beaked echidna	*Tachyglossus aculeatus*	-	-	-	-
Common brushtail possum	*Trichosurus vulpecula*	9	38	20	38
Rosenberg's goanna	*Varanus rosenbergi*	0	10	0	3
Unknown	*Unknown*	38	7	29	19

779 Table 4: The number of individuals with whisker samples where Rhodamine B banding was, or was not, visible, split between the two trial periods (August
780 and November) pooled across all study four sites.

		August			November		
Common name	Species	Number of individuals without bands	Number of individuals with bands	Proportion of individuals with bands (%)	Number of individuals without bands	Number of individuals with bands	Proportion of individuals with bands (%)
Western pygmy-possum	*Cercartetus concinnus*	-	-	-	4	2	33
Little pygmy-possum	*Cercartetus lepidus*	1	-	0	2	-	0
Southern brown bandicoot	*Isoodon obesulus*	-	-	-	1	-	0
House mouse	*Mus musculus*	6	5	45	5	-	0
Bush rat	*Rattus fuscipes*	34	48	59	19	19	50
Common brushtail possum	*Trichosurus vulpecula*	-	18	100	2	10	83

781

782

783


Legend
Reserves
Bait deployment site
N
0 5 10 Kilometres


100 m

SUPPLIMENTARY MATERIAL

Table S1. Equations used to calculate how much bait a species could use before reaching its LD50

Description	Equation
Amount of 1080 for LD50	(Body weight)*(LD50 mg kg-1)
Weight of bait for LD50	((Amount of 1080 for LD50)/ 4.5 mg amount of 1080 in bait)*15g dry bait weight
Number of baits for LD50	Weight of bait for LD50/15g dry bait weight