Copyright by the Ecological Society of America.

Lindenmayer, D.B., Bowd, E., and McBurney, L. (2021). Long-term empirical studies highlight multiple drivers of temporal change in bird fauna in the wet forests of Victoria, south-eastern Australia. *Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution*.

https://doi.org/10.3389/fevo.2021.610147

1	Frontiers in Ecology and Evolution
2	
3	
4 5	Long-term empirical studies highlight multiple drivers of temporal change in bird fauna in the wet forests of Victoria, south-eastern Australia
6	
7	
8	David Lindenmayer ¹ , Elle Bowd ¹ and Lachlan McBurney ¹
9	
10	¹ Fenner School of Environment and Society
11	The Australian National University
12	Canberra, ACT, 2601
13	
14	Address for Correspondence: david.lindenmayer@anu.edu.au
15	Word Count: 8988 words
16	
17	
18 19	Running Head: Bird declines in Australian montane ash forests

20 ABSTRACT

- 21 Birds are high profile elements of the vertebrate biota in almost all terrestrial ecosystems
- 22 worldwide. Many studies have uncovered evidence of a decline in bird biodiversity, but
- 23 temporal patterns of change vary among ecosystems and among bird species with different
- 24 life history traits. Ecosystem-specific, long-term studies are critical for identifying patterns of
- temporal change in bird biodiversity and the drivers of that change. Here we present a casestudy of drivers of temporal change in the bird fauna of the Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash
- 26 study of drivers of temporal change in the ond fauna of the Mountain Ash and Appile Ash
 27 eucalypt forests of south-eastern Australia. Using insights from observational studies and
- experiments conducted over the past 18 years, we discuss the direct and interactive effects of
- fire and logging on birds. The extent and severity of wildfires have major negative effects on
- 30 almost all bird species, and have persisted for more than a decade after the last major
- conflagration (in 2009). Logging has markedly different effects on birds than those quantified
- 32 for fire, and may have resulted in elevated levels of site occupancy in remaining uncut areas
- in the landscape. Both fire and logging have led to marked losses in the extent of old growth
- 34 forest in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash ecosystems. This is a concern given the strong
- association of most species of birds with old forest relative to younger age cohorts.
- 36 Based on an understanding of the effects of fire and logging as drivers of change, we propose
- a series of inter-related management actions designed to enhance the conservation of avifauna
- in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash ecosystems. A particular focus of management must be on
- increasing the interval between fires and limiting spatial extent of wildfires and, in turn,
- 40 significantly expanding the extent of old growth forest. This is because old growth forest is
- 41 where most bird species are most likely to occur, and in the event of future wildfires, where
- 42 fire severity will be lowest. Expansion of the old growth estate will require commercial
- 43 logging operations to be excluded from large parts of Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests.
- 44
- 45 KEYWORDS: Mountain Ash forest, logging, fire, landscape change, interacting drivers, old
 46 growth forest, birds
- 47

48 INTRODUCTION

49 Birds are a high profile component of almost all terrestrial ecosystems worldwide (Gill, 1995). Numerous studies have highlighted the extent to which avifauna are declining in 50 many parts of the world (Sanderson et al., 2006; Ceballos et al., 2017; Intergovernmental Science-51 policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES), 2019). However, patterns of 52 temporal change are not consistent across regions, nor between groups of birds (e.g. large 53 54 versus small-bodied species, common versus rare species (Inger et al., 2014; Lindenmayer et al., 55 2018c)). Detailed long-term ecosystem-level studies are therefore essential for quantifying patterns of temporal change in populations of birds and identifying the drivers of those 56 changes. Indeed, such an approach is critical for developing informed conservation programs 57 58 that are both ecologically effective and cost effective (Sodhi and Ehrlich, 2010; Wintle et al., 2019; Lindenmayer et al., 2020b). 59

60 Here we provide a general synthesis of the change in avifauna over the past 18 years in the iconic wet eucalypt Mountain Ash (Eucalyptus regnans) and Alpine Ash (Eucalyptus 61 delegatensis) forest ecosystems in mainland south-eastern Australia. A particular focus of this 62 63 article is on temporal responses of birds to the drivers of change in these ecosystems, especially high-severity wildfire, clearcut logging, and their interaction. Climate change is 64 also emerging as both a direct and indirect driver of change in the bird fauna of Mountain 65 Ash and Alpine Ash forest ecosystems, especially through its impacts on altered fire regimes 66 (sensu Keeley, 2009; Boer et al., 2020). Given the challenges facing the conservation of bird 67 biodiversity in these ecosystems, the final part of this paper is dedicated to a discussion of 68 management policies and strategies that should be implemented to enhance the protection of 69 70 the avifauna in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests.

71 STUDY AREA AND BACKGROUND DATASETS

72 This case study is focused on the Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests of the Central Highlands of Victoria, south-eastern Australia (Figure 1a). Mountain Ash forests are 73 74 dominated by Mountain Ash (Eucalyptus regnans) trees, the tallest flowering plants on earth, which can exceed 90 metres in height (Ashton, 1981b). Alpine Ash (Eucalyptus delegatensis) 75 forests are also spectacular with trees approaching 50-60 m in height (Boland et al., 2006). 76 These forests support a diverse understorey consisting of midstorey Acacia species, broad 77 leaved shrubs including Olearia agrophylla, Bedfordia arborescens, tree-ferns and a mesic 78 79 ground layer rich in fern and herb species (Blair et al., 2016; Bowd et al., 2018; Bowd et al., 2020). 80

81 Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash ecosystems support a wide range of bird species (Loyn, 1985) that comprise many functional groups from large nocturnal predators to small diurnal 82 83 leaf-gleaning species. We have recorded a total of 79 species of birds in repeated field surveys since 2004. The bird assemblages in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests include a 84 85 number of taxa of conservation concern (Taylor and Lindenmayer, 2019). For example, they are 86 strongholds for species such as the Eastern Yellow Robin (Eopsaltria australis), Flame Robin (Petroica phoenicea) and Crested Shrike-tit (Falcunculus frontatus) which are declining 87 markedly elsewhere in their respective distributions (Montague-Drake et al., 2009; Lindenmayer 88 et al., 2018c). These forests are also important for species such as the Sooty Owl (Tyto 89 90 tenebricosa) and Masked Owl (Tyto novaehollandiae) which are of conservation concern throughout much of their range in eastern Australia (Debus et al., 2009). 91

Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash ecosystems sometimes support areas of cool temperate
 rainforest within, or adjacent to them (Lindenmayer et al., 2000b). However, there are few
 differences in bird assemblages between ash-type forests and cool temperate rainforest

95 (Lindenmayer et al., 2010) and we do not consider the avifauna of rainforest further in the
 96 remainder of this paper.

Fire is the primary form of natural disturbance in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash 97 forests (Figure 1b). These obligate seeder eucalypts are typically killed by fire, although they 98 require fire to stimulate natural regeneration from canopy stored seed (Smith et al., 2016). 99 While these ecosystems have coevolved with fire, they are vulnerable to decline under short 100 101 fire return intervals (< every 30 years) that prevent tree species from maturing to an age where they produce viable numbers of seeds (Ashton, 1981a; Bowman et al., 2014; Enright et al., 102 2015). The natural fire regime in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests is high-intensity and 103 high-severity stand-replacing wildfire that occurs every 75-150 years (McCarthy et al., 1999) 104 (Figure 2a). However, there appears to have been an increase in the frequency of fires (and a 105 reduction in the interval between fires) in the past century (Lindenmayer and Taylor, 2020; Cary 106 et al., 2021) with major conflagrations in 1926, 1932, 1939, 1983, and 2009. There have also 107 been less extensive wildfires in 1908, 1918-1919, 1948 and 2019. 108

109 Clearcut logging is the primary form of human disturbance in Mountain Ash and 110 Alpine Ash forests (Figure 1b, Figure 2b) and extensive areas of forest have been harvested in the past 50 years (Taylor and Lindenmayer, 2020). Under such operations, all merchantable 111 trees within stands of approximately 15-40 ha in area are cut with the remaining non-112 merchantable trees and understorey vegetation then left to dry before being burnt in a high-113 114 intensity fire lit to promote the regeneration of a new stand (Flint and Fagg, 2007). In more recent times, there have been attempts to reduce the environmental impacts of logging 115 operations by moving to alternative forms of harvesting to clearcutting, such as by using 116 variable retention harvest systems (sensu Fedrowitz et al., 2014). Under such silvicultural 117 systems, parts of the original stand are retained during logging operations (Lindenmayer et al., 118 2019a). However, they have remained high-intensity logging operations and continue to 119 resemble conventional clearcuts (see Figure 3). 120

A second form of logging in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests is post-fire 121 "salvage" logging (Noble, 1977; Lindenmayer and Ough, 2006) (Figure 2c). The harvest method 122 for salvage logging is similar to that of clearcutting, except that the sequence of "treatments" 123 is reversed. That is, following a wildfire, all potentially merchantable burnt trees are 124 removed. Sometimes a second (deliberate) burn is applied to remove logging slash (such as 125 tree heads, lateral branches and disturbed understorey vegetation) and, in turn, promote the 126 regeneration of a new stand of young regrowth trees after salvage logging operations have 127 been completed (Lindenmayer et al., 2018d). 128

129 **BIRD SURVEYS**

Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests have been targeted for extensive, repeated surveys of birds on an almost annual basis since 2004. The work on birds has entailed both observational studies and true experiments (<u>sensu Cunningham and Lindenmayer, 2016</u>). Details of these investigations are summarized in Table 1. Results from these respective studies have provided the basis for this synthesis article.

135

Table 1. Summary of the range of studies of birds conducted in the Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests of the Central Highlands of Victoria.

Study Description	Number of Citations sites and
-------------------	-------------------------------

		survey period	
Long-term monitoring (observational study)	Repeated counts conducted on a predominantly annual basis at permanent, long-term 1 ha sites first established in 2004. Sites range in disturbance history, stand attributes (e.g. age), and environmental characteristics (e.g. slope, aspect, time since fire)	88 sites 2004- ongoing	Lindenmayer et al. (2009) Lindenmayer et al. (2014) Lindenmayer et al. (2019c)
Snapshot study of eucalypt forests versus rainforests (observational study)	Repeated counts of birds at 1 ha sites in Mountain Ash forest and cool temperate rainforest sites	60 sites in Mountain Ash forest and 24 sites in cool temperate rainforest 2006-2007	<u>Lindenmayer et al.</u> (2010)
Variable retention harvesting experiment (true experiment)	Repeated counts conducted on a predominantly annual basis at sites subject to different timber harvesting treatments – clearcutting, variable retention (with retained islands of either 0.5 ha or 1.5 ha), and uncut controls	28 sites 2003- ongoing	<u>Lindenmayer et al.</u> (2015)
Salvage logging experiment (combination of true experiment and observational studies)	Repeated counts conducted on a predominantly annual basis at sites subject to different timber harvesting treatments – post- fire (salvage) clearcutting, post-fire variable retention harvesting (small [0.5 ha] and large [1.5 ha] retained islands), and uncut but burned areas	28 sites 2010- ongoing	<u>Lindenmayer et al.</u> (2018d)

138

139 The datasets in the studies outlined in Table 1 have been gathered in broadly the same 140 way, using repeated point interval counts (Pyke and Recher, 1983) along a permanent transect established at a given site. All surveys have been conducted in late November of a given year, 141 which is the time when spring migrants have arrived and are actively calling. Birds are 142 surveyed at least twice by different observers and on different days in an attempt to limit the 143 effects of observer heterogeneity and day effects on datasets (see Cunningham et al., 1999). 144 Broad consistency in bird counting protocols has enabled the integration of datasets to answer 145 particular questions, such as bird responses across a disturbance intensity gradient 146 (Lindenmayer et al., 2018d) and comparisons of bird assemblages between ash-type forests and 147

148 cool temperate rainforest (<u>Lindenmayer et al., 2010</u>).

- disturbance history and stand age at each survey site. Such surveys include measurements of
- the abundance and condition of large old trees (Lindenmayer et al., 2018a; Lindenmayer et al.,
- 153 <u>2018b</u>) and the abundance and richness of vascular plant species and plant life forms (<u>Blair et</u>
- al., 2016; <u>Bowd et al., 2020</u>). We also have gathered data on spatio-temporal changes in
- 155 landscape-level forest cover (including the age of the forest) surrounding each site that has
- resulted from wildfire (at varying levels of severity) and clearcut logging (<u>Lindenmayer et al.</u>
- 157 <u>2019c; Taylor and Lindenmayer, 2020</u>). These data have been used to develop sets of covariates
 158 for use in analyses of the factors influencing the occurrence of birds (including patterns of
- temporal change) (e.g. Lindenmayer et al., 2019c). They have also been used to assist in the
- 160 interpretation of the results of experimental studies (Lindenmayer et al., 2018d).

161 TEMPORAL PATTERNS OF CHANGE IN SITE OCCUPANCY

Data gathered from repeated surveys over the past ~ 20 years indicate that 79 bird species inhabit Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests. Of these, approximately half are detected sufficiently frequently (> 5% of detections from site x year surveys combined over the duration of studies) to enable detailed statistical analyses. Overall, 24 species have exhibited strong evidence of a decline, with temporal patterns for eight example taxa shown in Figure 4. Examples of other species exhibiting temporal declines (in addition to those in Figure 4) include the Superb Lyrebird (*Menura novaehollandiae*) and the Pied Currawong

169 (*Strepera graculina*) (Lindenmayer et al., 2019c). One species, the Flame Robin, exhibited a

170 trend for a strong temporal increase over the duration of our studies.

Many bird species are responding to factors that are changing through time. For 171 example, as outlined in the following section of this article, statistical analyses have revealed 172 173 complex interactions between the occurrence of bird species, time and the amount of fire in the landscape (Lindenmayer et al., 2019c). In addition, birds respond to the amount of logging 174 in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash landscapes (Lindenmayer et al., 2019c), a variable that 175 changes over time with increasing numbers of cutblocks associated with annual harvesting 176 operations. These associations with spatio-temporal disturbance patterns, coupled with data 177 on temporal changes in vegetation and forest age, enable an assessment of the factors 178 influencing temporal changes in bird biodiversity. We present commentary of these drivers in 179 180 the following section.

181 DRIVERS OF CHANGE IN THE BIRD FAUNA OF MOUNTAIN ASH AND ALPINE 182 ASH FOREST

183 Loss of old growth forest

Our analyses of the habitat requirements of birds in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash 184 forests indicate a strong positive association between the occurrence of most bird species and 185 the presence of old growth forest (Lindenmayer et al., 2019c). That is, almost all bird taxa are 186 more likely to be detected in sites dominated by old growth forest, relative to stands of 187 younger age. Old growth forests appear to be particularly important for small-bodied bird 188 189 species (Lindenmayer et al., 2019c). Recent studies have documented a significant decline in the amount of old growth Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forest over the past 25 years 190 (Lindenmayer and Taylor, 2020). Indeed, the extent of old growth Mountain Ash forest is now 191 currently just 1.16% of the ecosystem (Burns et al., 2015). This is 1/30th to 1/60th of what the 192 extent of old growth forests was thought to have been historically (Lindenmayer and McCarthy, 193 2002). In the case of Alpine Ash forest, 0.47% of the ecosystem is currently old growth, 194

although there are no estimates of historical levels of old growth cover.

7

196 As the extent of old growth forest has been declining, populations of key elements of old growth forest such as large old trees have also been in rapid decline (Lindenmayer et al., 197 2018a). These trees occur both in old growth stands and also as single trees and small clusters 198 of trees embedded within much younger regrowth forest (Lindenmayer et al., 1991). However, 199 200 rates of loss of such trees are significantly slower in old growth stands than they are in regrowth forest (Lindenmayer et al., 2018a). Losses of individual large old trees are important 201 because they are critical nest sites for a range of species, including iconic birds like the 202 Yellow-tailed Black Cockatoo (Calyptorhynchus funereus) (Nelson and Morris, 1994). Large 203 old living trees also support extensive bark streamers (Lindenmayer et al., 2000a) which can be 204 important foraging substrates for a range of forest birds (Loyn, 1985) such as the Crested 205 Shrike-tit, a species which is declining in many parts of its range (Lindenmayer et al., 2018c), 206 including in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forest (see Figure 4). Other forest attributes such 207 as the presence of mistletoe are lost when large old trees are lost from Mountain Ash and 208 Alpine Ash ecosystems. This, in turn, may result in the decline of taxa strongly associated 209 with mistletoe, like the Mistletoebird (Dicaeum hirundinaceum). 210

211 **Fire**

212 The impacts of wildfire on the bird fauna of Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests have been profound. The last major wildfire in the Central Highlands region occurred in 213 2009, and resulted in depressed levels of bird species richness (Figure 5). Site-level 214 occurrence of the vast majority of species (84%) was negatively associated with the amount 215 of burnt forest in the surrounding landscape (Lindenmayer et al., 2019c). Such declines may be 216 explained by associated habitat losses and limited resources (Whelan, 1995), but also the many 217 individual birds that were likely killed directly by fire (Keith et al., 2002). Just one species, the 218 Flame Robin, has benefitted from the effects of fire and was more likely to occur in 219 landscapes with large amounts of burnt area (Lindenmayer et al., 2019c). Indeed, the Flame 220 Robin is the only early successional specialist that inhabits Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash 221 forests (Lindenmayer et al., 2019c). Notably, 76% of bird species are not exhibiting signs of 222 recovery following the fire in 2009, suggesting that it may require years or even decades for 223 bird biodiversity to return to pre-fire levels. Indeed, data from other obligate seeder 224 vegetation types (Franklin et al., 2002; Gosper et al., 2019a) suggest that a return to pre-fire bird 225 assemblages may take centuries. Nevertheless, some species in Mountain Ash and Alpine 226 Ash forests are responding better to the effects of fire than others. For example, large-bodied 227 birds and migratory taxa are more prevalent in landscapes subject to large amounts of fire 228 229 relative to species with other life history attributes (Lindenmayer et al., 2019c).

An important outcome of studies of fire effects on birds is that current levels of bird species richness are greatest in areas where richness was highest prior to fire (<u>Lindenmayer et</u> <u>al., 2014</u>). We suggest that this effect is occurring because of inherent environmental factors (possibly site productivity) that result in some areas remaining suitable for more bird species, even in the event of major disturbances such as wildfire (<u>Lindenmayer et al., 2014</u>).

235 Logging

None of our long-term sites have been logged between 2004 and 2019 when surveys
were conducted. However, the landscapes surrounding many of our sites have been subject to
widespread clearcutting. We have found evidence of relationships between patterns of
temporal change for some individual species and the amount of logging in the landscape.
Most of these relationships are positive, with birds more likely to be detected in long-term
sites with increasing amounts of logging in the surrounding landscape (Lindenmayer et al.,
2019c). This is possibly because of a "concentration effect" (sensu Darveau et al., 1995) with

animals moving to unlogged areas with increasing amounts of logging in the broader
surrounding landscape (<u>Lindenmayer et al., 2019c</u>).

In contrast to work conducted at our long-term sites where timber harvesting is 245 excluded, sites surveyed for birds in the variable retention harvesting experiment and the 246 salvage logging experiment (see Table 1) have been logged. Results from the variable 247 retention harvesting experiment show that logging has negative effects on bird biodiversity 248 (Lindenmayer et al., 2015) (Figure 6). Bird species richness was depressed most markedly in 249 areas subject to clearcutting, relative to unlogged control sites. Clearcutting also significantly 250 altered the composition of bird assemblages with some of the species most negatively 251 affected including the Eastern Spinebill (Acanthorhynchus tenuirostris) and the Grey Fantail 252 (Rhipidura albiscapa) (Lindenmayer et al., 2015). Areas subject to variable retention 253 harvesting supported intermediate levels of bird species richness, relative to clearcutting and 254 unlogged controls (Lindenmayer et al., 2015) (Figure 6). Thus, some of the negative effects of 255 clearcutting can be partially offset by the retention of islands of uncut forest within the 256 boundaries of cutblocks (Lindenmayer et al., 2015). 257

258 Logging has other impacts on forest structure that may, in turn, influence patterns of occurrence of birds. For example, clearcutting results in a major reduction in mesic elements 259 of forests such as tree ferns (Blair et al., 2016) and rainforest trees (Lindenmayer et al., 2000a), 260 with some of these key components of stand structure known to be important in the habitat 261 requirements of bird species such as the Eastern Yellow Robin (Eopsaltria australis) 262 (Lindenmayer et al., 2009) and the Pink Robin (*Petroica rodinogaster*) (Loyn, 1985; 263 Lindenmayer et al., 2010). Logging also can also reduce soil fertility and moisture (Bowd et al., 264 2019), potentially affecting species that forage extensively in the leaf litter layer such as the 265 Superb Lyrebird (Maisey et al., 2020). Finally, increased logging in the landscape can elevate 266 rates of loss of large old trees in areas that remain uncut areas (Lindenmayer et al., 1997; 267 Lindenmayer et al., 2018b) with potentially negative effects on bird species that require access 268 to these trees for nesting sites, for foraging resources, or both. It is likely that changes in the 269 spatial patterns of forest cover as a result of logging lead to greater wind fetch and 270 windspeeds (see Gratkowski, 1956; Miller, 1981) that promote the collapse of living and dead 271 large old trees in uncut areas within wood production landscapes (Lindenmayer et al., 2018b). 272

273 Fire and logging

274 Post-fire (salvage) logging has been practiced following major conflagrations in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests since the 1940s (Noble, 1977) including after wildfires 275 in 1939, 1983, and 2009 (Lindenmayer et al., 2018d). An experimental study has found more 276 pronounced impacts of salvage logging on bird species than those from conventional (green 277 forest) clearcutting (Lindenmayer et al., 2018d). For example, bird species richness is more 278 severely depressed in areas subject to post-fire salvage logging than in areas subject to 279 wildfire or clearcutting. The impacts of salvage logging on birds can be mitigated to a limited 280 extent by the retention of islands of burnt forest within the perimeter of cutblocks 281 (Lindenmayer et al., 2018d). Nevertheless, negative impacts are more pronounced in salvage 282 283 logged areas, relative to those of other disturbances in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests, especially wildfire (Lindenmayer et al., 2018d). 284

285 Interactions among drivers

Salvage logging is an interaction chain (sensu Foster et al., 2016) between fire and
subsequent logging that can effect bird biodiversity. However, there are other important
interactions between the key drivers of decline in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests. For
example, areas that are logged and then regenerated are at elevated risk of burning at higher

severity in the event of a subsequent fire (Taylor et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 2020). Increased fire 290 severity matters for birds. This is because of established relationships between severity and 291 bird responses (Lindenmayer et al., 2014). High severity fire also results in the rapid loss of 292 large old trees (Lindenmayer et al., 2012), which as outlined above, are important components 293 294 of habitat for a range of bird species. High severity fire retards the recruitment of new cohorts of old growth, which is detrimental to bird populations given the importance of such age 295 classes of forest for bird biodiversity in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests (Lindenmayer et 296 297 al., 2019c).

Other stand-age fire severity relationships may have implications for birds. For example, forests that are young when they are burned have fewer biological legacies (<u>sensu</u> Franklin et al., 2000) such as large old trees, relative to when old growth stands are burned (<u>Lindenmayer et al., 2019d</u>). In addition, the regeneration of mesic understorey elements (where birds often nest (<u>Beruldsen, 2003</u>)) can be impaired when young versus old forests are burned (<u>Bowd et al., 2020</u>).

304 GENERAL DISCUSSION

305 Based on a brief synthesis of key outcomes from several empirical studies over almost 306 two decades, we have presented a case study of the responses of bird fauna to key drivers of change in the Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forest ecosystems in mainland south-eastern 307 308 Australia. The work has highlighted the impacts of wildfire, logging, and the interactions between these drivers (e.g. post-fire salvage logging) on bird biodiversity. Such drivers of 309 change are seen in numerous forests globally (Hutto et al., 2016; Sommerfeld et al., 2018) and it 310 is possible that the kinds of responses described in this article may be replicated (at least in 311 part) in some of these ecosystems. The paucity of early successional specialists in Mountain 312 Ash and Alpine Ash forests is consistent with some other obligate seeder vegetation types 313 where such types of species are rare (Gosper et al., 2019b). However, it is in marked contrast 314 to yet other forest types globally where high-intensity and high-severity stand-replacing 315 disturbances are a part of natural fire regimes and early successional species can be prevalent 316 (Burton et al., 2003; Swanson et al., 2011; Hutto et al., 2016). 317

The empirical studies to date in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests suggest that 318 temporal changes in birds are strongly influenced, not only by the direct effects of key drivers 319 such as fire and logging which can kill animals or trigger concentration effects in undisturbed 320 areas, but also interactions among disturbances which can have compounding effects. These 321 include: (a) wildfire reducing the amount of old growth forest (Lindenmayer and Taylor, 2020), 322 (b) wildfire triggering salvage logging, (c) wildfire and logging driving in losses in key 323 biological legacies such as large old trees (Lindenmayer et al., 2012; Lindenmayer et al., 2018b), 324 and (d) logging resulting in subsequently elevated fire severity (Taylor et al., 2014). These 325 direct and interacting effects of drivers of change are summarized in Figure 7. An 326 understanding of such relationships, in turn, highlights. some of the strategies that might be 327 employed to best tackle drivers of change as part of efforts to better conserve forests and the 328 avifauna that it supports (see Table 2). 329

330 Strategies for mitigating the drivers of temporal change in birds

Fire is a key driver of change in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash ecosystems. Extensive fires have had direct effects on birds (presumably by killing them (Keith et al., 2002; Shine, 2020)), but also indirectly by reducing areas of old growth forest (Lindenmayer and Taylor, 2020), elevating the rate of decline of large old trees (Lindenmayer et al., 2012) and altering the structure and composition of the understorey (Blair et al., 2016; Bowd et al., 2018); Figure 7). Reducing the extent of wildfire in Australian forests, including those dominated by Mountain 337 Ash and Alpine Ash, is a major challenge. This is, in part, because extreme wildfire is driven by climate and weather (Boer et al., 2020; Jones et al., 2020) and addressing the effects of 338 climate change will require global action. Practices like hazard reduction burning are not a 339 management option to reduce the severity of wildfire in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash 340 ecosystems. This is because, as obligate-seeding species, Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash are 341 typically killed by fire which would not satisfy the objective of using prescribed fire to 342 reduce fire severity..Indeed, relative to old growth forests, young regrowth stands are highly 343 flammable and at risk of elevated fire severity (Taylor et al., 2014; Zylstra, 2018). Moreover, the 344 environments occupied by Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash are either too wet to enable low-345 severity burns to be applied or when fires are actually ignited then such forests are at risk of 346 347 suffering high severity crown burns. In addition, repeated fires at short intervals can eliminate Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash ecosystems altogether (Bowman et al., 2014; Enright et al., 348 2015). This is because trees fail to reach maturity before they are killed, leading to them being 349 replaced by other ecosystems such as those dominated by Acacia woodland (Lindenmayer et 350 al., 2011). However, there may be a role for hazard reduction burning in drier forest types to 351 occur in areas adjacent to Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash ecosystems, although recurrent fire 352 in these environments can trigger resprout failure (Fairman et al., 2019) and hence elevated 353 tree death. There are also risks associated with a loss of control of prescribed burning 354 355 operations and them becoming wildfires.

Efforts to reduce the risks of fire in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash ecosystems will 356 357 require expanding the currently very limited areas of old growth forest (as this is where fire severity is lowest) (Lindenmayer and Taylor, 2020). This will take considerable time as old 358 growth stands require a prolonged period to develop the key attributes that define them 359 (Lindenmayer et al., 2000a) – in the order of 40-100 years at least. Notably, old growth stands 360 are currently not subject to logging in Victoria, although relatively recent changes in 361 definitions of old growth have made it harder for areas of advanced growth forest to actually 362 be classified as old growth. This is because the age criterion for old growth has been lifted 363 from 120 to 250 years old (Lindenmayer and Taylor, 2020). Given the current scarcity of old 364 365 growth Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash, coupled with its critical importance for the vast majority of bird species (Lindenmayer et al., 2019c), we strongly suggest that the Victoria 366 Government must revert to the previous definition of old growth (of any stands greater than 367 120 years old) and ensure that they remain unlogged. An advantage of growing more old 368 growth forest is that fire severity is lowest in these forests (Taylor et al., 2014; Taylor et al., 369 2020) and hence this may reduce the risk of widespread wildfires in the landscape 370 (Lindenmayer et al., 2011). The reasons why fire severity is reduced in old growth forest 371 remain unclear. However, they may be related to: (1) the greater abundance of mesic 372 elements such as rainforest trees and tree ferns in older forests (Lindenmayer et al., 2000a) 373 (Blair et al., 2016), (2) high levels of soil moisture in long undisturbed forests (Bowd et al., 374 2019), and (3) reduced ladder fuels (that characterize flammable young regenerating forests) 375 (Zylstra et al., 2016). Notably, other forest types around the world, including those dominated 376 by obligate seeding species and where wildfires are typically stand replacing events, are 377 characterized by lower levels of flammability in old growth stands (e.g. Zald and Dunn, 2017; 378 379 Tiribelli et al., 2018).

Beyond greater protection of stands of old growth forest, there also will be a need to protect some areas of younger forest that have the potential of growing through to become old growth (in the absence of further disturbance). For example, the oldest forests in the Central Highlands region (other than the limited areas of old growth forest), are stands that regenerated following wildfires in 1939. These 80+ year stands are the next nearest old growth and we argue that they should be exempt from logging as part of an old growth restoration strategy in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests. Notably, population viability
analyses have suggested that greater levels of protection to eventually expand the extent of
the old growth estate will be critical for the long-term conservation of birds of conservation
concern such as the Sooty Owl in the Central Highlands region (Taylor et al., 2017).

In the event of future fires, we suggest that the forest policies that result in salvage 390 logging should be abandoned. This is because of the highly detrimental impacts that these 391 forestry operations have on bird biodiversity both directly, and indirectly through impacts on 392 other forest elements including the composition and structure of vegetation communities 393 (Blair et al., 2016; Lindenmayer et al., 2018d). Areas of old growth forest that have been burnt 394 should be a particular target for conservation (and hence the exclusion of salvage logging). 395 This is because the key biological legacies in such areas (like large old fire-scarred trees and 396 dead trees) can be critical habitat elements for post-fire biotic recovery (Lindenmayer et al., 397 2019d). This may be one of the reasons explaining why sites with high values for birds prior 398 to wildfires remain relatively species rich for birds after fire in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash 399 forests (Lindenmayer et al., 2014). Therefore, areas of burnt forest should not automatically be 400 placed into areas available for logging under planned harvesting schedules. 401

Given that old growth Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests are rare, and it will take a prolonged period to recruit new cohorts of such age classes, remaining large old trees in regrowth forests are likely to be important for some elements of bird biodiversity. However, they are at risk of collapse when surrounding areas are logged (Lindenmayer et al., 2016; Lindenmayer et al., 2018b). On this basis, we suggest a key management strategy should be to promote the protection of these individual large old trees with buffers of unlogged forest to reduce their susceptibility to edge effects such as windthrow.

Recommended action	Description	Citations
Conserve all existing stands of old growth forest	All areas of old growth Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forest should be exempt from logging because of their importance for bird fauna in these forests. The definition of old growth should revert to being stands dating from before 1900 to ensure that late stage mature forest (that is close to developing into old growth) is not logged.	<u>Lindenmayer and</u> <u>Taylor (2020)</u>
Significantly expand the extent of the old growth estate	Areas that are presently advanced regrowth forest (e.g. stands that are ~80 years old and which regenerated after the 1939 wildfires) should be exempt from logging and protected so they can mature and become old growth forest	
Protect all existing large old trees	Large living and dead old hollow-bearing trees are critical habitat elements for many species of birds, but populations are declining rapidly. They need to be protected by buffers of unlogged forest to reduce the rate at which they are collapsing	<u>Lindenmayer</u> (2017)

409 Table 2. Summary of possible management actions to promote the conservation of birds 410 in the Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests of the Central Highlands of Victoria.

Ensure that islands of intact forest are retained within areas subject to logging operations	The impacts of logging on bird fauna in Mountain Ash forests can be mitigated by employing Variable Retention Harvesting systems as an alternative to conventional clearcutting	<u>Lindenmayer et al.</u> (2015) Lindenmayer et al. (2019b)
Ban post-fire (salvage) logging operations	Post-fire (salvage) logging is the most detrimental form of logging in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests. It can set back the recovery of habitats for fauna by up to 200 years, especially for cavity-dependent taxa. Post-fire logging should not occur in old growth forests that have been burnt given the prevalence of key biological legacies (such as large fire-scarred trees) in these areas.	<u>Lindenmayer et al.</u> (2018d) <u>Lindenmayer et al.</u> (2019d)

411

412 Caveats and limitations

413 The collective set of studies in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash has focused largely on birds for which sufficient data can be gathered from standardized, routine, repeated surveys 414 to facilitate subsequent statistical analyses. However, some key species of conservation 415 concern like large forest owls (e.g. the Sooty Owl) are not detected by conventional diurnal 416 counting protocols; fit-for-purpose studies are needed to understand both temporal changes in 417 populations and the drivers underpinning those changes (e.g. Milledge et al., 1991). We do note 418 that species such as the Sooty Owl and other large forest owls such as the Masked Owl are 419 420 sometimes detected in night-time counts of arboreal marsupials and annual records of them are now far less prevalent than 20 years ago (Lindenmayer et al. unpublished data). This 421 is possibly as a result of the steep decline in prey items such as possums and gliders in 422 423 Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests during this time (Lindenmayer et al., 2020a).

The focus of this paper has been on key drivers of change in Mountain Ash and 424 425 Alpine Ash forests and their implications for bird biodiversity. One major driver that has not been explicitly considered to date is climate change. The direct impacts on birds resulting 426 from likely reduced rainfall and increased temperatures that are likely to manifest in the 427 428 Central Highlands region have, to date, not been modelled. However, the impacts are likely to be substantial. For example, elevated temperatures and marked rainfall deficits preceding the 429 2009 wildfires appeared to contribute to widespread death of large old trees in the Central 430 Highlands region (Lindenmayer et al., 2012). Climate and weather are critical drivers of fire 431 regimes in south-eastern Australia (Bradstock, 2010) and climate change has a high likelihood 432 of resulting in more frequent, more extensive, and more severe wildfires in Mountain Ash 433 and Alpine Ash forests (Cary et al., 2012). This will, in turn, drive further losses of old growth 434 forest (Lindenmayer and Taylor, 2020), increase the rate of decline in populations of large old 435 436 trees (Lindenmayer et al., 2012) (see Figure 7), and alter the suitability of sites and landscapes for birds (Lindenmayer et al., 2019c). Additional fires may also trigger further salvage logging, 437 with corresponding negative impacts on birds (Lindenmayer et al., 2018d). A major current 438 knowledge gap is the extent to which climate change and weather influence the ability of bird 439 assemblages to recover following wildfire and this area of work will be a focus for future 440 441 research.

442 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

- 443 Many of the insights summarized in this paper could not have been generated without the
- significant input of three outstanding statisticians; Professor Ross Cunningham, the late
- 445 Professor Jeff Wood, and Associate-Professor Wade Blanchard. Tabitha Boyer assisted with
- 446 many aspects of manuscript preparation. Dr. Chris Taylor assisted with the development of
- 447 maps in Figure 1. The late Dr Dave Blair assisted with of research and monitoring co-
- 448 ordination over the past 10 years.

449 **REFERENCES**

- Ashton, D.H. (1981a). "Fire in tall open forests (wet sclerophyll forests)," in *Fire and the Australian Biota*, eds. A.M. Gill, R.H. Groves & I.R. Noble. (Canberra: Australian Academy of Science),
 339-366.
- Ashton, D.H. (1981b). "Tall Open Forests," in *Australian Vegetation*, ed. R.H. Groves. (Cambridge:
 Cambridge University Press), 121-151.
- 455
- 456 Beruldsen, G.R. (2003). *Australian Birds: Their Nests and Eggs.* Kenmore Hills: G & E Beruldsen.
- Blair, D., McBurney, L., W., B., Banks, S., and Lindenmayer, D.B. (2016). Disturbance gradient shows
 logging affects plant functional groups more than fire. *Ecological Applications* 26, 2280-2301.
 doi: doi:10.1002/eap.1369.
- Boer, M.M., Resco de Dios, V., and Bradstock, R.A. (2020). Unprecedented burn area of Australian
 mega forest fires. *Nature Climate Change* 10, 171-172. doi: 10.1038/s41558-020-0716-1.
- Boland, D.J., Brooker, M.I., Chippendale, G.M., Hall, N., Hyland, B.P., Johnson, R.D., et al. (2006).
 Forest Trees of Australia. Melbourne: CSIRO Publishing.
- Bowd, E., Blair, D.P., and Lindenmayer, D.B. (2020). Prior disturbance legacy effects on plant
 recovery post-high severity wildfire. *Ecosphere* in re-review.
- Bowd, E., Blair, D.P., and Lindenmayer, D.B. (2021). Prior disturbance legacy effects on plant
 recovery post-high severity wildfire. *Ecosphere* in press.
- Bowd, E.J., Banks, S.C., Strong, C.L., and Lindenmayer, D.B. (2019). Long-term impacts of wildfire and
 logging on forest soils. *Nature Geoscience* 12, 113-118. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1038/s41561-</u>
 018-0294-2.
- Bowd, E.J., Lindenmayer, D.B., Banks, S.C., and Blair, D.P. (2018). Logging and fire regimes alter plant
 communities. *Ecological Applications* 28, 826-841.
- Bowman, D.M.J.S., Murphy, B.P., Neyland, D.L.J., Williamson, G.J., and Prior, L.D. (2014). Abrupt fire
 regime change may cause landscape-wide loss of mature obligate seeder forests. *Global Change Biology* 20, 1008-1015.
- Bradstock, R.A. (2010). A biogeographic model of fire regimes in Australia: current and future
 implications. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 19, 145-158.
- Burns, E.L., Lindenmayer, D.B., Stein, J., Blanchard, W., McBurney, L., Blair, D., et al. (2015).
 Ecosystem assessment of mountain ash forest in the Central Highlands of Victoria, southeastern Australia. *Austral Ecology* 40(4), 386-399. doi: 10.1111/aec.12200.
- Burton, P.J., Messier, C., Smith, D.W., and Adamowicz, W.L. (2003). *Towards Sustainable Management of the Boreal Forest.* Ottawa, Canada: National Research Council of Canada.
- 483 Cary, G.J., Bradstock, R.A., Gill, A.M., and Williams, R.J. (2012). "Global change and fire regimes in
 484 Australia," in *Flammable Australia. Fire Regimes, Biodiversity and Ecosystems in a Changing*485 *World*, eds. R.A. Bradstock, A.M. Gill & R.J. Williams. (CSIRO Publishing: Melbourne), 149486 169.
- 487 Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P.R., and Dirzo, R. (2017). Biological annihilation via the ongoing sixth mass
 488 extinction signaled by vertebrate population losses and declines. *Proceedings of the National* 489 *Academy of Sciences* 114(30), E6089-E6096. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1704949114.
- 490 Cunningham, R., and Lindenmayer, D.B. (2016). Approaches to landscape scale inference and design
 491 issues. *Current Landscape Ecology Reports* 2, 42-50.

- 492 Cunningham, R.B., Lindenmayer, D.B., Nix, H.A., and Lindenmayer, B.D. (1999). Quantifying observer 493 heterogeneity in bird counts. Australian Journal of Ecology 24(3), 270-277. 494 Darveau, M., Beauchesne, P., Belanger, L., Hout, J., and Larue, P. (1995). Riparian forest strips as 495 habitat for breeding birds in boreal forest. Journal of Wildlife Management 59, 67-78. 496 Debus, S.J.S., Davies, J.N., and Hollands, D. (2009). The Owls of Australia: A Field Guide to Australian 497 *Night Birds.* Sydney, Australia: Envirobook in association with Birds Australia. 498 Enright, N.J., Fontaine, J.B., Bowman, D.M., Bradstock, R.A., and Williams, R.J. (2015). Interval 499 squeeze: altered fire regimes and demographic responses interact to threaten woody 500 species persistence as climate changes. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 13, 265-501 272. 502 Fairman, T.A., Bennett, L.T., and Nitschke, C.R. (2019). Short-interval wildfires increase likelihood of 503 resprouting failure in fire-tolerant trees. Journal of Environmental Management 231, 59-65. 504 Fedrowitz, K.F., Koricheva, J., Baker, S.C., Lindenmayer, D.B., Palik, B., Rosenvald, R., et al. (2014). 505 Can retention forestry help conserve biodiversity? A meta-analysis. Journal of Applied 506 *Ecology* 51, 1669-1679. doi: 10/1111/1365-2664.12289. 507 Flint, A., and Fagg, P. (2007). "Mountain Ash in Victoria's State Forests. Silviculture reference manual 508 No. 1". (Melbourne: Department of Sustainability and Environment). 509 Foster, C.N., Sato, C.F., Lindenmayer, D.B., and Barton, P.S. (2016). Integrating theory into empirical 510 studied of disturbance interactions to improve management outcomes. Global Change 511 *Biology* 22(4), 1325-1335. Franklin, J.F., Lindenmayer, D.B., MacMahon, J.A., McKee, A., Magnuson, J., Perry, D.A., et al. (2000). 512 513 Threads of continuity. *Conservation in Practice* 1(1), 8-17. 514 Franklin, J.F., Spies, T.A., van Pelt, R., Carey, A.B., Thornburgh, D.A., Berg, D.R., et al. (2002). 515 Disturbances and the structural development of natural forest ecosystems with silvicultural 516 implications, using Douglas-fir forests as an example. Forest Ecology and Management 517 155(1-3), 399-423. 518 Gill, F.B. (1995). Ornithology. New York: W.H. Freeman and Company. 519 Gosper, C.R., Fox, E., Burbidge, A.H., Craig, M.D., Douglas, T.K., Fitzsimons, J.A., et al. (2019a). Multi-520 century periods since fire in an intact woodland landscape favour bird species declining in an 521 adjacent agricultural region. Biological Conservation 230, 82-90. 522 Gosper, C.R., Watson, S.J., Fox, E., Burbidge, A.H., Craig, M.D., Douglas, T.K., et al. (2019b). Fire-523 mediated habitat change regulates woodland bird species and functional group occurrence. 524 Ecological Applications 29, e01997. 525 Gratkowski, H.J. (1956). Windthrow around staggered settings in old-growth Douglas-fir. Forest 526 *Science* 2, 60-74. 527 Hutto, R.L., Keane, R.E., Sherriff, R.L., Rota, C.T., Eby, L.A., and Saab, V.A. (2016). Toward a more 528 ecologically informed view of severe forest fires. Ecosphere 7(2), e01255. doi: 529 10.1002/ecs2.1255. Inger, R., Gregory, R., Duffy, J.P., Stott, I., Vorisek, P., and Gaston, K. (2014). Common European birds 530 531 are declining rapidly while less abundant species are rising. *Ecology Letters*. doi: 532 doi.10.1111/ele.12387. 533 Intergovernmental Science-policy Platform on Biodiversity and Ecosystem Services (IPBES) (2019). 534 "IPBES Global Assessment Summary for Policymakers". United Nations). 535 Jones, M.W., Smith, A., Betts, R., Canadell, J.G., Prentice, C.I., and Le Quéré, C. (2020). Climate 536 change increases risk of wildfires. ScienceBrief Review [Online]. 537 https://sciencebrief.org/briefs/wildfires. [Accessed 3 February 2020]. 538 Keeley, J.E. (2009). Fire intensity, fire severity and burn severity: a brief review and suggested usage. 539 International Journal of Wildland Fire 18, 116-126. 540 Keith, D., McCaw, W.L., and Whelan, R.J. (2002). "Fire regimes in Australian heathlands and their 541 effects on plants," in Flammable Australia. The Fire Regimes and Biodiversity of a Continent,
- 542 eds. R. Bradstock, J. Williams & A.M. Gill. (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press), 199-237.

- Lindenmayer, D., Blanchard, W., Blair, D., McBurney, L., Taylor, C., Scheele, B., et al. (2020a). The
 response of arboreal marsupials to long-term changes in forest disturbance. *Animal Conservation*. doi: 10.1111/acv.12634.
- Lindenmayer, D.B. (2017). Conserving large old trees as small natural features. *Biological Conservation* 211, 51-59.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Blair, D., and McBurney, L. (2019a). Variable retention harvesting in Victoria's
 Mountain Ash (Eucalyptus regnans) forests (southeastern Australia). *Ecological Processes* 8,
 Art. 2.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Blair, D., and McBurney, L. (2019b). Variable retention harvesting in Victoria's
 Mountain Ash (Eucalyptus regnans) forests (southeastern Australia) *Ecological Processes* 8,
 Art. 2.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Blanchard, W., Blair, D., and McBurney, L. (2018a). The road to oblivion –
 quantifying pathways in the decline of large old trees *Forest Ecology and Management* 430,
 259-264.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Blanchard, W., Blair, D., McBurney, L., and Banks, S.C. (2016). Environmental and
 human drivers of large old tree abundance in Australian wet forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 372, 266-235. doi: doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2016.04.017.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Blanchard, W., Blair, D., McBurney, L., Stein, J., and Banks, S.C. (2018b). Empirical
 relationships between tree fall and landscape-level amounts of logging and fire *PLOS One* 13(2), e0193132.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Blanchard, W., Blair, D., Westgate, M.J., and Scheele, B.C. (2019c). Spatio temporal effects of logging and fire on forest birds. *Ecological Applications* 29, e01999.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Blanchard, W., McBurney, L., Blair, D., Banks, S., Likens, G.E., et al. (2012).
 Interacting factors driving a major loss of large trees with cavities in a forest ecosystem.
 PLOS One 7, e41864.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Blanchard, W., McBurney, L., Blair, D., Banks, S.C., Driscoll, D.A., et al. (2014).
 Complex responses of birds to landscape-level fire extent, fire severity and environmental
 drivers. *Diversity and Distributions* 20, 467-477.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Cunningham, R.B., and Donnelly, C.F. (1997). Decay and collapse of trees with
 hollows in eastern Australian forests: impacts on arboreal marsupials. *Ecological Applications* 7(2), 625-641.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Cunningham, R.B., Donnelly, C.F., and Franklin, J.F. (2000a). Structural features of
 old growth Australian montane ash forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 134(1-3), 189204.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Cunningham, R.B., Nix, H.A., Tanton, M.T., and Smith, A.P. (1991). Predicting the
 abundance of hollow-bearing trees in montane ash forests of southeastern Australia. *Australian Journal of Ecology* 16, 91-98.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Hobbs, R.J., Likens, G.E., Krebs, C., and Banks, S. (2011). Newly discovered
 landscape traps produce regime shifts in wet forests *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 108, 15887-15891.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Lane, P., Westgate, M., Scheele, B., Foster, C., Sato, C., et al. (2018c). Tests of
 predictions associated with temporal changes in Australian bird populations. *Biological Conservation* 222, 212-221.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Mackey, B.G., Cunningham, R.B., Donnelly, C.F., Mullen, I.C., McCarthy, M.A., et
 al. (2000b). Factors affecting the presence of the cool temperate rain forest tree myrtle
 beech (Nothofagus cunninghamii) in southern Australia: integrating climatic, terrain and
 disturbance predictors of distribution patterns. *Journal of Biogeography* 27(4), 1001-1009.
 Lindenmayer, D.B., McBurney, L., Blair, D., Wood, J., and Banks, S.C. (2018d). From unburnt to
 salvage logged: quantifying bird responses to different levels of disturbance severity. *Journal*

of Applied Ecology 55, 1626-1636.

593

- Lindenmayer, D.B., and McCarthy, M.A. (2002). Congruence between natural and human forest
 disturbance: a case study from Australian montane ash forests. *Forest Ecology and Management* 155(1-3), 319-335.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., and Ough, K. (2006). Salvage logging in the montane ash eucalypt forests of the
 Central Highlands of Victoria and its potential impacts on biodiversity. *Conservation Biology* 20(4), 1005-1015.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., and Taylor, C. (2020). Extensive recent wildfires demand more stringent
 protection of critical old growth forest. *Pacific Conservation Biology*. doi:
 https://doi.org/10.1071/PC20037.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Westgate, M.J., Scheele, B.C., Foster, C.N., and Blair, D.P. (2019d). Key
 perspectives on early successional forests subject to stand-replacing disturbances. *Forest Ecology and Management* 454, 117656.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Woinarski, J., Legge, S., Southwell, D., Lavery, T., Robinson, N., et al. (2020b). A
 checklist of attributes for effective monitoring of threatened species and threatened
 ecosystems. *Journal of Environmental Management* 262, 110312.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Wood, J., McBurney, L., Blair, D., and Banks, S.C. (2015). Single large versus
 several small: The SLOSS debate in the context of bird responses to a variable retention
 logging experiment. *Forest Ecology and Management* 339, 1-10. doi:
 doi:10.1016/j.foreco.2014.11.027.
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Wood, J., McBurney, L., Michael, D., Crane, M., MacGregor, C., et al. (2010).
 Comparing bird species richness and assemblage composition between montane ash
 eucalypt forest and cool temperate rainforests an empirical study from Victoria, southeastern Australia. *Emu* 110, 109-117
- Lindenmayer, D.B., Wood, J., Michael, D., Crane, M., MacGregor, C., Montague-Drake, R., et al.
 (2009). Are gullies best for biodiversity? An empirical examination of Australian wet forest
 types. *Forest Ecology and Management* 258, 169-177.
- Loyn, R.H. (1985). Bird populations in successional forests of Mountain Ash *Eucalyptus regnans* in
 Central Victoria. *Emu* 85, 213-230.
- Maisey, A.C., Haslem, A., Leonard, S., and Bennett, A.F. (2020). Foraging by an avian ecosystem
 engineer extensively modifies the litter and soil layer in forest ecosystems. *Ecological Applications*. doi: <u>https://doi.org/10.1002/eap.2219</u>.
- McCarthy, M.A., Gill, A.M., and Lindenmayer, D.B. (1999). Fire regimes in mountain ash forest:
 evidence from forest age structure, extinction models and wildlife habitat. *Forest Ecology and Management* 124(2-3), 193-203.
- Milledge, D.R., Palmer, C.L., and Nelson, J.L. (1991). "Barometers of change': the distribution of large
 owls and gliders in Mountain Ash forests of the Victorian Central Highlands and their
 potential as management indicators," in *Conservation of Australia's forest fauna*, ed. D.
 Lunney. (Sydney: Royal Zoological Society of N.S.W.), 53-65.
- Miller, D.H. (1981). Energy at the Surface of the Earth: An Introduction to the Energetics of
 Ecosystems. New York: Academic Publishers.
- Montague-Drake, R.M., Lindenmayer, D.B., and Cunningham, R.B. (2009). Factors affecting site
 occupancy by woodland bird species of conservation concern. *Biological Conservation* 142,
 2896-2903.
- Nelson, J.L., and Morris, B.J. (1994). Nesting requirements of the Yellow-tailed Black Cockatoo
 Calyptorynchus funereus in Mountain Ash forest *Eucalyptus regnans* and implications for
 forest management. *Wildlife Research* 21, 257-268.
- 640 Noble, W.S. (1977). Ordeal by Fire. The Week a State Burned Up. Melbourne: Hawthorn Press.
- 641 Pyke, G.H., and Recher, H.F. (1983). "Censusing Australian birds: a summary of procedures and a
 642 scheme for standardisation of data presentation and storage," in *Methods of censusing birds*643 *in Australia*, ed. S.J. Davies. (Perth: Proceedings of a symposium organised by the Zoology

644	section of the ANZAAS and the Western Australian Group of the Royal Australasian
645	Ornithologists Union. Department of Conservation and Environment), 55-63.
646	Sanderson, F.J., Donald, P.F., Pain, D.J., Burfield, I.J., and van Bommel, F.P.J. (2006). Long-term
647	population declines in Afro-Paleartic migrant birds. <i>Biological Conservation</i> 131, 93-105.
648	Shine, J. (2020). Statement regarding Australian bushfires [Online].
649	https://www.science.org.au/news-and-events/news-and-media-releases/australian-
650	bushfires-why-they-are-unprecedented: Australian Academy of Science, Canberra.
651	[Accessed 6 February 2020].
652	Smith, A.L., Blanchard, W., Blair, D., McBurney, L., Banks, S.C., Driscoll, D.A., et al. (2016). The
653	dynamic regeneration niche of a forest following a rare disturbance event. Diversity and
654	Distributions 22, 457-467. doi: doi:10.1111/ddi.12414.
655	Sodhi, N.S., and Ehrlich, P.R. (eds.). (2010). Conservation Biology for All. Oxford, England: Oxford
656	University Press.
657	Sommerfeld, A., Senf, C., Buma, B., D'Amato, A.W., Després, T., Díaz-Hormazábal, I., et al. (2018).
658	Patterns and drivers of recent disturbances across the temperate forest biome. Nature
659	Communications 9(1), 4355. doi: 10.1038/s41467-018-06788-9.
660	Swanson, M.E., Franklin, J.F., Beschta, R.L., Crisafulli, C.M., DellaSala, D.A., Hutto, R.L., et al. (2011).
661	The forgotten stage of forest succession: early-successional ecosystems on forest sites.
662	Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment 9(2), 117-125. doi: 10.1890/090157.
663	Taylor, C., Blanchard, W., and Lindenmayer, D.B. (2020). Does forest thinning reduce fire severity in
664	Australian eucalypt forests? Conservation letters in press.
665	Taylor, C., Cadenhead, N., Lindenmayer, D.B., and Wintle, B.A. (2017). Improving the design of a
666	conservation reserve for a critically endangered species. PLOS One 12(1), e0169629.
667	Taylor, C., and Lindenmayer, D.B. (2019). The adequacy of Victoria's protected areas for conserving
668	its forest-dependent fauna Austral Ecology 44 1076-1090.
669	Taylor, C., and Lindenmayer, D.B. (2020). Temporal fragmentation of a critically endangered
670	ecosystem. Austral Ecology, https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12863 . doi: doi:10.1111/aec.12863.
671	Taylor, C., McCarthy, M.A., and Lindenmayer, D.B. (2014). Non-linear effects of stand age on fire
672	severity. Conservation Letters 7, 355-370.
673	Tiribelli, F., Morales, J.M., Gowda, J.H., Mermoz, M., and Kitzberger, T. (2018). Non-additive effects
674	of alternative stable states on landscape flammability in NW Patagonia: Fire history and
675	simulation modelling evidence. International Journal of Wildland Fire 28, 149–159.
676	Whelan, R.J. (1995). The Ecology of Fire. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
677	Wintle, B.W., Cadenhead, N., Morgain, R.A., Legge, S.M., Bekessy, S.A., Possingham, H.P., et al.
678	(2019). Spending to save: what will it cost to halt Australia's extinction crisis. Conservation
679	Letters 12 e12682.
680	Zald, S.J., and Dunn, C. (2017). Severe fire weather and intensive forest management increase fire
681	severity in a multi-ownership landscape. <i>Ecosphere</i> 28, 1068-1080.
682	Zylstra, P. (2018). Flammability dynamics in the Australian Alps. <i>Austral Ecology</i> 43(5), 578-591.
683	Zylstra, P.J., Bradstock, R.A., Bedward, M., Penman, T.D., Doherty, M.D., Weber, R.O., et al. (2016).
684	Biophysical mechanistic modelling quantifies the effects of plant traits on fire severity:
685	species, not surface fuel loads determine flame dimensions in eucalypt forests. PLoS One 11,
686	e0160715.
687	

688 FIGURE CAPTIONS

- 689 Figure 1. A. The location of the montane ash (Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash) forests in the
- 690 Central Highlands of Victoria where a series of long-term studies of birds have been
- 691 conducted over the past 18 years. **B**. The extent of disturbance from wildfire and logging in692 the Central Highlands of Victoria.
- Figure 2. Key forms of disturbance in Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests: A. Wildfire. B.Clearcut logging. C. Post-fire salvage logging. (Photos by David Lindenmayer).
- Figure 3. Logged area subject to variable retention harvesting in Alpine Ash in the CentralHighlands of Victoria (Photo by Chris Taylor).
- Figure 4. Patterns of temporal change in eight example species of birds in the Mountain Ash
 forests of the Central Highlands of Victoria. Redrawn from Lindenmayer and Sato (2018) and
 Lindenmayer et al. (2009). The different measures on the respective axes for the top four
- versus bottom four species correspond to differences in the ways data were analyzed in the
 two different studies.
- Figure 5. Temporal changes in bird species richness and the amount of fire in 2009 in
- 103 landscape surrounding long-term field sites in the Mountain Ash and Alpine Ash forests of
- the Central Highlands of Victoria (modified from Lindenmayer et al., 2019b). The dashed
- 705 line is for species richness at sites where limited amounts (< 5%) of the forest were burnt and
- the solid line corresponds to sites where $\sim 20\%$ of the landscape surrounding sites was burnt
- in 2009. The orange vertical line shows the timing of the 2009 wildfires. In many cases, sites
- surrounded by forest that was burned also were burnt themselves.
- Figure 6. Plot-level mean bird species richness at unlogged control sites, cutblocks subject to
 variable retention harvesting, and conventional clearcut blocks (redrawn from Lindenmayer
 et al., 2015).
- Figure 7. Direct and interacting drivers of temporal change in bird fauna in Mountain Ash
- and Alpine Ash forests in south-eastern mainland Australia.
- 714
- 715