Reside, A.E., Briscoe, N.J., Dickman, C.R., Greenville, A.G., Hradsky, B.A., Kark, S., Kearney, M., Kutt, A.S., Nimmo, D., Pavey, C.R., Read, J., Ritchie, E.G., Roshier, D.A., Skroblin, A., Stone, Z., West, M., Fisher, D.O., (2019) Persistence through tough times: fixed and shifting refuges in threatened species conservation. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, Vol. 28, Iss. 6, pp. 1303-1330.

The final publication is available at Springer via https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-019-01734-7

- **1** Persistence through tough times: fixed and shifting refuges in threatened species
- 2 conservation
- 3 April E. Reside^{a,b} <u>april.reside@gmail.com</u> Phone: + 61 7 336 52527 *corresponding author
- 4 <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0760-9527</u>
- 5 Natalie J. Briscoe^c; <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0049-8956</u>
- 6 Chris R. Dickman^d; <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-1067-3730</u>
- 7 Aaron C. Greenville^d; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0113-4778
- 8 Bronwyn A. Hradsky^c; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0141-020X
- 9 Salit Kark^e; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7183-3988
- 10 Michael R. Kearney^c; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3349-8744
- 11 Alex S. Kutt^{c,b,f}; https://orcid.org/0000-0001-9679-2206
- 12 Dale G. Nimmo^g; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9814-1009
- 13 Chris Pavey^h; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2162-8019
- 14 John L. Readⁱ;
- 15 Euan G. Ritchie^j; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4410-8868
- 16 David Roshier^{k,1}; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8151-8447
- 17 Anja Skroblin^c; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5735-9335
- 18 Zoe Stone^m; https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2974-0371
- 19 Matt West^c; https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3151-2987
- 20 Diana O. Fisher^a; <u>https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4017-3710</u>
- 21
- 22 ^aSchool of Biological Sciences, The University of Queensland, St Lucia 4072, Queensland, Australia
- ^bGreen Fire Science, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Queensland 4072, AUSTRALIA

24	^c School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Vic 3010, Australia
25	^d Desert Ecology Research Group, School of Life and Environmental Sciences, University of Sydney,
26	Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia
27	^e The Biodiversity Research Group, The School of Biological Sciences, ARC Centre of Excellence for
28	Environmental Decisions, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, 4072, QLD, Australia
29	^f Bush Heritage Australia, Level 1 395 Collins St, Melbourne Victoria 3000, AUSTRALIA
30	^g Institute for Land, Water and Society, Charles Sturt University, Albury, NSW
31	^h CSIRO Land and Water, PMB 44, Winnellie, Northern Territory 0822, Australia
32	ⁱ School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Adelaide, Australia
33	^j Centre for Integrative Ecology and School of Life and Environmental Sciences, Deakin University,
34	Burwood, Victoria 3125, Australia
35	^k Australian Wildlife Conservancy, PO Box 6621 Halifax St Adelaide SA 5000
36	¹ Centre for Ecosystem Science, University of New South Wales, Sydney NSW 2052
37	^m School of Earth and Environmental Sciences, The University of Queensland, St Lucia 4072,
38	Queensland, Australia

40 ABSTRACT

It may be possible to avert threatened species declines by protecting refuges that promote 41 species persistence during times of stress. To do this, we need to know where refuges are 42 located, and when and which management actions are required to preserve, enhance or 43 44 replicate them. Here we use a niche-based perspective to characterise refuges that are either fixed or shifting in location over ecological time scales (hours to centuries). We synthesise 45 current knowledge of the role of fixed and shifting refuges, using threatened species 46 47 examples where possible, and examine their relationships with stressors including drought, fire, introduced species, disease, and their interactions. Refuges often provide greater cover, 48 water, food availability or protection from predators than other areas within the same 49 landscapes. In many cases, landscape features provide refuge, but refuges can also arise 50 through dynamic and shifting species interactions (e.g., mesopredator suppression). 51 52 Elucidating the mechanisms by which species benefit from refuges can help guide the 53 creation of new or artificial refuges. Importantly, we also need to recognise when refuges alone are insufficient to halt the decline of species, and where more intensive conservation 54 intervention may be required. We argue that understanding the role of ecological refuges is 55 an important part of strategies to stem further global biodiversity loss. 56

57 Key words

58 Endangered species; biodiversity conservation; fire; niche; predators; press, pulse and ramp stressors

59 Acknowledgements

This project was supported by the Australian Government's National Environmental Science
Programme through the Threatened Species Recovery Hub. B Hradsky was also supported by the
Victorian Government Department of Environment, Land, Water and Planning, and Parks Victoria,
and C Dickman by the Australian Research Council (DP140104621). Jack Tatler, John Wright and
Peter McDonald contributed to the initial discussions.

65

66

1. Introduction

67 Species extinction rates are currently estimated to be a thousand times above 'normal' background rates (De Vos et al. 2014; Woinarski et al. 2015) due to pervasive anthropogenic 68 69 threats including land-use change and impacts from introduced species (Sala et al. 2000). In 70 the presence of such threats, species may retreat to ecologically-determined refuges, which 71 are areas that reduce the intensity of stressors or provide advantages in biotic interactions 72 (Berryman and Hawkins 2006). When stressors ease, these species may then recolonise 73 surrounding areas. Most research has focussed on refuges that are fixed in space (e.g. Selwood et al. 2015b), but there is increasing recognition of the importance of refuges that 74 shift throughout the landscape (Pavey et al. 2017). It is crucial to locate and protect refuges, 75 because unidentified refuges are at risk of being lost through habitat modification (e.g., 76 77 development) or inappropriate management (e.g., severe fire). By identifying refuges, they 78 can also be enhanced or created through management.

79 The aims of this review are to advance the conceptual basis of the ecological refuge by defining and describing fixed and shifting refuges that are relevant to biodiversity 80 conservation, and to clarify the role of ecological refuges in protecting threatened species 81 82 from stressors. We build upon Keppel et al. (2012) and Pavey et al. (2017) and use the term 'refuge' to refer to ecological places that relieve individuals or populations from stressors 83 over ecologically-relevant temporal and spatial scales (Table 1). By contrast, the term 84 'refugium' (plural: refugia) refers to a place that has enabled species to persist at a location 85 over geological time scales (Keppel et al. 2012), such as when climate change has made 86 87 much of their former distribution unsuitable (Table 1). For example, sites free of glaciation during ice ages, from which species expanded their ranges when the climate warmed, would 88 89 be considered refugia (Rull 2009). Where populations use such refugia temporarily over

90 ecological time scales, the same place can serve dual functions as both refuge and refugium.
91 By focussing on the ecologically-defined refuge, our definition does not include places such
92 as 'remnants' or protected areas such as reserves that are sometimes referred to as 'refuges'
93 (e.g. Wildlife Refuge reserves; Keppel and Wardell-Johnson 2012), unless they also fit our
94 definition of an ecological refuge.

We consider refuges in terms of a species' niche: a hyper-volume in environmental space 95 within which a species' population can persist (Hutchinson 1957). Holt's refinement of this 96 97 concept recognises that organisms may need to move between favourable locations to remain within their niche (Holt 2009). We suggest further that a refuge is a physical place that 98 permits a species to remain within its niche during times of stress, when formerly occupied 99 areas fall outside the species' tolerances. A niche can be categorised into fundamental and 100 realised volumes (Table 1). The fundamental niche is a larger volume that could be occupied 101 102 in the absence of deleterious interactions with other species, while the realised niche is the smaller volume occupied in the presence of interspecific interactions such as competition, 103 predation and disease. A population may also contract to refuges if the abiotic environment 104 105 changes, taking previously habitable areas outside the species' fundamental niche (e.g., because of a heat wave), or because of a contraction of the realised niche due to change in 106 biotic interactions (e.g., with the introduction of a new predator or superior competitor). 107 Therefore, the refuge is the place where an individual's or a population's realised niche 108 109 persists, despite other parts of the range becoming inhospitable. In some cases, the niche 110 requirements for reproduction may be a subset of the available habitat or refuges (Fig. 1). Species' susceptibility to stressors and reliance on refuges can also vary across niche space 111 (Scheele et al. 2017). For example, waterfall frogs Litoria lorica (listed as Critically 112 113 Endangered under Australia's Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act

1999) and L. nannotis (Endangered) can survive Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis infections 114 in sunny sites, but have been extirpated from cooler rainforest sites (Puschendorf et al. 2011). 115 While most research on the ecological refuge concept has focussed on refuges from a single 116 stressor, such as climate warming (Keppel et al. 2012) or fire (Robinson et al. 2013), many 117 118 species are affected by multiple, interacting stressors, that can accelerate population declines (Doherty et al. 2015) via additive, dominant, antagonistic or synergistic effects (Côté et al. 119 2016). In many cases, refuges are likely to protect species from multiple interacting stressors, 120 121 so understanding the relative contribution of each stressor to species declines can be difficult, and some effects could be masked (Kutt and Fisher 2011). For example, rocky gorges can 122 simultaneously provide animals with refuge from fire (Dobrowski 2011), and buffer them 123 against thermal and hydric stress (Reside et al. 2014) and predation pressure (McDonald et al. 124 2013). From a management perspective, it is therefore important to understand how 125 126 individual species use refuges (Magoulick and Kobza 2003). Identifying refuges solely from the absence of single stressors could inaccurately rank their importance. 127 Refuges have traditionally been viewed as fixed in space; as places with properties that 128 decouple the local conditions from the broader environment (Dobrowski 2011). However, 129 130 stressors are often spatially dynamic and, therefore, the factors that alleviate or accentuate them can also shift. Shifting refuges are likely to be particularly important in regions of high 131 natural climatic variability, such as arid zones, because these refuges depend on irregular 132 rainfall (Pavey et al. 2017). 133 We identify refuges as emerging through two mechanisms: patchiness and buffering of 134

stressors. Patchiness refers to the distribution and intensity of the stressor across space. This

is particularly apparent with press (persistent stressors, e.g., urbanisation) and pulse (sudden

137 stressors, e.g., floods and fire) stressors (Fig. 1c) (sensu Bender et al. 1984) that result in a

138 mosaic of patches that are affected by stressors to differing degrees. Less affected patches can

139 act as refuges until more severely affected areas recover. For instance, fire refuges can be areas that escape fire, either due to stochastic or deterministic factors (Leonard et al. 2014; 140 Robinson et al. 2013). Buffering refers to areas that are exposed to the disturbance, but have 141 properties that diminish the impact of the stressor on an individual or population. For 142 instance, Robinson et al. (2016) found that gullies in mesic forests maintained greater bird 143 species richness and abundance, and a distinct bird assemblage, compared to adjacent slopes, 144 145 even when burnt. Burnt gullies also provide refuge for bush rats (Rattus fuscipes) in both wet and dry forests (Banks et al. 2011). Buffering is also evident in response to ramp stressors 146 147 (Fig. 1b) (stressors that build gradually, such as drought; Lake 2000); for example, riparian sites can diminish the impact of severe drought on bird communities (Nimmo et al. 2015). 148 Where threatening processes have eliminated a species from most of its former distribution, 149 150 species can be limited to a reduced geographic range, or restricted to islands (Channell and 151 Lomolino 2000; Fisher 2011). In keeping with previous definitions, we focus here on refuges 152 as places from which a species could potentially expand when a stressor is alleviated; we do not consider the entire remaining extent of a species' reduced geographic range (i.e., all 153 154 remaining habitat) as a refuge. However, we acknowledge that this may not always be a clear distinction, and depends on the timescale in which stressors could be alleviated. 155

We focus on threatened vertebrates to illustrate the nature and functioning of the various 156 types of refuges in this context, incorporating examples from a diverse range of environments 157 (e.g., arid zone, woodlands, tropical rainforests) and stressors (drought, fire, introduced 158 predators, disease), that are globally relevant (Doherty et al. 2016). Many of our case studies 159 160 are of Australian species, which may be predisposed to refuge use as a result of exposure to highly variable rainfall regimes (Van Etten 2009) that lead to variable productivity, fire, and 161 pronounced population fluctuations (Greenville et al. 2014). Similar patterns of refuge use are 162 163 likely to be found in other locations with variable climates and conditions, for example in arid

and semi-arid rangelands across the globe (Holmgren et al. 2006; Labbe and Fausch 2000;
Milstead et al. 2007; Pavey et al. 2017), and hence conservation approaches developed by our
refuge concept are likely to have broad application. While not the main focus of our review,
refuges have also been noted as important for plants, particularly refuges that provide relief
from herbivory (Beschta 2005) and disease (Puno et al. 2015). Assessments of refuge use by
threatened species are rare; therefore, we include some non-threatened species examples that
inform hypotheses of refuge use by threatened species.

171

172 **2.** Fixed refuges

173 Fixed refuges are those that remain fixed in space over an individual's lifespan, or longer. Fixed refuges can arise through either patchiness or buffering, and are 'coarse-grained' 174 environments in the sense of Levins (1968). These places or structures may act as refuges 175 intermittently, depending on the longevity of the stressors. For instance, riparian corridors 176 might function as climate refuges for woodland birds during prolonged droughts, acting as 177 178 refuges in only 10 of every 100 years (Bennett et al. 2014a). Alternatively, fixed refuges may be more permanently used where stressors are persistent. For example, native mammals use 179 rocky outcrops in the presence of persistent 'press' stressors (such as introduced predators 180 181 and herbivores), at least until those stressors are ameliorated (McDonald et al. 2017). However, the permanence of the refuges themselves forms a spectrum from those that are 182 fixed in space over evolutionary or geological time scales (e.g. floodplain ecosystems; 183 Selwood et al. 2016), to those that last for decades (e.g. long unburned vegetation patches; 184 Berry et al. 2015a). 185

Fixed refuges can be products of topographic complexity, including mountain ranges, rocky
gorges, boulder piles, gullies or slopes (McDonald et al. 2015; Reside et al. 2014). They may

188 also include regions of reliable water such as riparian zones, persistent waterholes, and drainage lines with accessible groundwater (Nimmo et al. 2016; Selwood et al. 2015b). These 189 physical features can support population persistence by protecting individuals from death or 190 reproductive failure through mechanisms including: mediating local climate; buffering 191 against extreme weather events (Dobrowski 2011); creating patchiness in fire by reducing 192 fuel loads and risk (Berry et al. 2015b; Leonard et al. 2014), increasing food and water 193 194 availability (Dickman et al. 2011), and providing vegetation cover (McDonald et al. 2016). Physical features can also alter competition between species. For example, refuges for the 195 196 Critically Endangered red-fin blue-eye fish (Scaturiginichthys vermeilipinnis) occur where competition with the introduced mosquitofish Gambusia holbrooki is reduced. The red-fin 197 blue-eye is endemic to a single complex of Great Artesian Basin springs in the Lake Eyre 198 199 Basin of central Australia. It currently survives only in refuges where the springs are large 200 and deep enough for active avoidance, and in remote springs where mosquitofish have not yet invaded (Kerezsy and Fensham 2013). 201

202

203 2.1 Fixed refuges from fire

Fixed refuges from fire have been documented in a wide range of ecosystems, including 204 205 forests, heathland and deserts (Berry et al. 2015b; Krawchuk et al. 2016; Leonard et al. 2014; Mackey et al. 2012; Pavey et al. 2017). Fixed fire refuges tend to result from physical barriers 206 that create burn patchiness, allowing species to survive the fire event, persist after the fire, 207 and eventually recolonise the broader landscape (Robinson et al. 2013). They include rocky 208 substrates, gullies, waterways, cliffs, clearings, and other places where vegetation is 209 210 discontinuous due to landscape heterogeneity (Robinson et al. 2013) (Fig. 1c). Frequently and extensively burnt areas lack structural shelter such as fallen timber, dense understorey 211 vegetation and standing tree hollows, leaving animals exposed and vulnerable to predators 212

(Murphy et al. 2018). Refuges from fire can therefore provide areas that remain within a
species' fundamental niche (e.g., for species that cannot thermoregulate in exposed, burnt
areas) and realised niche (e.g., by providing protection from predators).

Gullies often escape fire due to their low topographic position and higher moisture content 216 217 (Leonard et al. 2014). Unburned gullies within wet forests shelter small mammals during and immediately after fire, when survival declines in exposed burnt areas (Swan et al. 2016). 218 Swan et al. (2016) found that the abundance of agile antechinus (Antechinus agilis) increased 219 220 in gullies post-fire, and suggested that individuals shifted into the gullies from the burnt areas (Table 2). In contrast, bush rats (*Rattus fuscipes*) declined in burnt areas without a 221 concomitant population increase in gully areas, suggesting that only the pre-fire residents 222 occupied the gullies. Gullies also support higher densities of arboreal mammals such as 223 224 koalas (*Phascolarctos cinereus*) than adjacent slopes, with populations in gully refuges 225 supplying individuals for recolonization of nearby, more severely burnt areas (Chia et al. 2015). Finally, gullies can protect mature, hollow-bearing trees, such as mountain ash 226 (Eucalyptus regnans) that are essential for the Critically Endangered Leadbeater's possum 227 (Gymnobelideus leadbeateri) (Lindenmayer et al. 2013). 228

229 Similarly, rock outcrops act as barriers for fire, protecting patches of long-unburned heathland which provide fundamental and realised niche space for small populations of 230 threatened mammals and birds (Danks 1997; Stead-Richardson et al. 2010). Unburnt heath in 231 Two Peoples Bay in south-west Western Australia protects the Vulnerable quokka (Setonix 232 233 brachyurus), Critically Endangered Gilbert's potoroo (Potorous gilbertii), and threatened 234 birds including the Vulnerable noisy scrub-bird (Atrichornis clamosus) (Danks 1997), the Vulnerable western bristlebird (Dasyornis longirostris) and Critically Endangered western 235 236 ground parrot (Pezoporus flaviventris).

238 2.2 Fixed refuges of permanent water

239 Permanent waterways, land springs (such as the groundwater-dependent springs of the Great Artesian Basin) and riparian vegetation are important components of many species' niche 240 space and can provide fixed refuges in both arid and mesic regions (Davis et al. 2017). The 241 242 few deep, persistent waterholes in ephemeral rivers that become isolated during dry periods serve as refuges for dryland species during droughts. In the arid Cooper Creek system in 243 central Australia, 3% of waterholes are able to persist for more than two years without 244 245 additional flows, each supporting unique hydrology, physico-chemical profiles and biotic assemblages (Sheldon et al. 2010). The largest of these waterholes, such as Cullyamurra near 246 Innamincka, are several kilometres long and up to 26 m deep, and provide refuge through 247 extended droughts (Mancini 2013). Once stream flows resume, these waterholes are a source 248 population for many aquatic taxa, including fish (Kerezsy et al. 2013) and macroinvertebrates 249 250 (Marshall et al. 2006). Irregular flood-pulses enable aquatic species that are otherwise 251 restricted to such refuges to move hundreds of kilometres to channels, lakes and floodplains to reproduce (Kerezsy et al. 2013; Mancini 2013; Robson et al. 2008). 252 River systems also provide fixed refuges for terrestrial species by maintaining riparian 253 254 vegetation when surrounding habitat degrades. For example, landscapes with high levels of

riparian tree cover were buffered from the effects of the Millennium Drought in southern

Australia and retained more woodland bird species (Haslem et al. 2015; Nimmo et al. 2016),

which have been nominated for listing as a Threatened Ecological Community (Environment

258 Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999; Fraser et al. 2017). However, drought

259 protection provided by riparian refuges differed by species, and following this drought only

some species recovered to recolonise the surrounding landscape (Bennett et al. 2014b;

261 Selwood et al. 2015a). Similarly, fewer bird species declined in floodplain compared to non-

floodplain ecosystems during the same drought period (Selwood et al. 2015b). Over longer

time frames, floodplain ecosystems allow some species to persist in arid landscapes fromwhich they would otherwise be absent (Selwood et al. 2016).

265 2.3 Fixed refuges from predators

Fixed refuges from predators are places where the risk of predation is permanently reduced 266 267 because (1) predators' access to prey is decreased (i.e., the refuge buffers the effects of 268 predation), and/or (2) predator abundance is low due to habitat unsuitability or dispersal barriers that prevent colonisation (i.e., patchiness in the predator distribution). Feral cats 269 270 (Felis catus) and introduced red foxes (Vulpes vulpes) are responsible for suppressing 271 populations of many threatened Australian species (Kutt 2012; Moseby et al. 2015), and act as a press stressor (Fig. 1d). However, they can also act as pulse stressors after high rainfall 272 (addressed in shifting refuges section, below). Complex habitats provide refuge by reducing 273 the hunting success of feral cats (McGregor et al. 2015). For example, rock outcrops serve as 274 275 fixed refuges for the Endangered northern quoll (Dasyurus hallucatus), Vulnerable golden-276 backed tree rat (Mesembriomys macrurus), and Endangered black-footed tree rat (M. gouldii), because cats occur at low density and are less active in rock outcrops than in non-rocky 277 habitats (Hernandez-Santin et al. 2016; Hohnen et al. 2016; McDonald et al. 2016; McGregor 278 279 et al. 2015; Pavey et al. 2017). Similarly, refuges for the Critically Endangered central rockrat (Zyzomys pedunculatus) occur on high elevation ridges of the West MacDonnell Ranges 280 in arid central Australia. Central rock-rats need areas with a fire frequency of at least every 281 five years to provide early-succession plants for food, but burned areas expose them to 282 283 predation by feral cats (McDonald et al. 2016; Pavey et al. 2017). Small populations of 284 central rock-rats have persisted where abundant rock crevices provide refuge from cats (McDonald et al. 2015) and outcrops fragment large fires, creating suitable vegetation for 285 286 foraging adjacent to shelter (Pavey et al. 2017).

More complex and higher ground cover is also associated with the persistence of small mammals in forest, woodland, heathland and grassland habitats (Kutt and Gordon 2012). The Vulnerable quokka in south-western Australia requires dense riparian and long-unburned heathland vegetation for protection from cats and foxes, and young vegetation for grazing, and so only persists where recently burned (< 2 years ago) patches are adjacent to longunburned (~20 years) vegetation (De Tores et al. 2007).

293

294 2.4 Fixed refuges from disease

Diseases pose serious threats to a range of taxa globally, particularly amphibians which are 295 affected by chytridiomycosis, caused by chytrid fungus (*Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*) 296 297 (Skerratt et al. 2016); and plant communities, which experience dieback caused by the rootrot fungus (Phytophthora cinnamomi) (Cahill et al. 2008). Limiting the spread of a pathogen 298 and quarantining vulnerable host populations is often difficult to achieve. Once established, 299 300 eradication of pathogens from the environment is rarely feasible (although see Bosch et al. 301 2015). Areas where environmental conditions restrict pathogen growth and transmission, or disease manifestation and progression, can provide critical refuges for threatened species 302 303 from disease. For instance, refuges from chytrid occur for the Endangered common mistfrog (Litoria rheocola) where reduced canopy cover over a stream increases solar radiation and 304 temperatures, resulting in reduced pathogen prevalence (Roznik et al. 2015). 305

Understanding the relative niches of hosts and pathogens can help identify species and
populations most at risk of disease-mediated decline (Nowakowski et al. 2016), and locate
potential refuges from disease (Fig. 2). For example, the environmental limitations (i.e.,
fundamental niche) of chytrid fungus are now being used to identify refuges for amphibian
species from chytridiomycosis. Chytrid fungus grows and reproduces at temperatures of 4–

311 25°C (with 17–25 °C being optimal), but dies at temperatures at or above 30°C (Piotrowski et al. 2004). Some species with restricted distributions and high degrees of niche overlap with 312 chytrid have shown substantial declines, and are at high risk, such as the Endangered Baw 313 314 Baw frog (*Philoria frosti*) and Critically Endangered southern corroboree frog (*Pseudophryne* corroboree), while others may now be extinct, such as the mountain mistfrog (Litoria 315 nyakalensis) and sharp-snouted dayfrog (Taudactylus acutirostris) (Skerratt et al. 2016). In 316 317 contrast, amphibian species that occur across a broader range of environments such as the armoured mist frog (*Litoria lorica*) have disappeared from cool, moist, higher elevation areas 318 319 but have been able to persist in warmer, drier, lower elevation areas that are suboptimal for chytrid (Puschendorf et al. 2011). Likewise, warm and relatively saline conditions provide 320 refuges for Vulnerable growling grass frogs (Litoria raniformis) against this pathogen across 321 322 urban landscapes (Heard et al. 2015).

323

324 3. Shifting refuges

Shifting refuges are temporary patches where the availability of food, cover or other essential 325 326 resources is greater than in the surrounding landscape, allowing individuals or populations to persist where they otherwise would not, at time scales shorter than an individual's lifespan. 327 328 Thus, the species' niche may remain continuous in the landscape in time but not in space (Fig. 1e, f). Shifting refuges can be truly variable in space, driven primarily by stochastic 329 processes that influence the location of rainfall or fire. Alternatively, the location of a shifting 330 331 refuge might be partially driven by deterministic factors that are fixed in space, such as landscape features that retain more moisture or are less likely to burn. These partially 332 deterministic shifting refuges sit along the continuum between refuges that are strictly fixed 333 334 in space, and those that are completely stochastic (Fig. 3). The nature and importance of

335 shifting refuges have been best described in the freshwater context, where refuges that are highly variable in time and space are well-recognised globally as an important phenomenon, 336 supporting freshwater species in times of drought or anthropogenic disturbances that reduce 337 338 water availability (extensively reviewed in Magoulick and Kobza 2003). For example, refuges for the threatened Arkansas darter (Etheostoma cragini) of North America are 339 spatially and temporally dynamic due to intense thunderstorms that produce large pools from 340 341 flash flooding (Labbe and Fausch 2000). These large pools provide refuge from extreme temperatures, hypoxia and predation. In contrast, few studies have explicitly investigated the 342 343 use of shifting refuges by terrestrial species. In Australia, most evidence for shifting refuges in terrestrial systems comes from the inland arid and semi-arid zones, where fire and water 344 availability play a large part in determining habitat suitability for many species (Newsome 345 346 and Corbett 1975; Pavey et al. 2017). In particular, rodents in Australia's arid zone are one of 347 the few groups where the use of shifting refuges has been examined in comparative detail. Shifting refuges arise through stochastic processes and their locations are often unpredictable, 348 forcing dependent species to move at irregular intervals (Newsome and Corbett 1975; 349 350 Roshier and Reid 2003). Therefore, only mobile species can rely upon shifting refuges (Pavey et al. 2017). However, mobility does not equate to being nomadic, and it does not 351 necessarily require that individuals can make long distance movements. Shifting refuges may 352 only be available for days or weeks, to several years. For example, the spinifex hopping-353 354 mouse (*Notomys alexis*), a small murid rodent, exploits refuge patches of tall shrubs on 355 sandplains for periods of 4–5 days before moving long distances through a matrix of inhospitable habitat to reach the next suitable patch (Pavey et al. 2017). The shrub refuges are 356 fixed in position, but the food resources they provide are limited; as animals deplete these 357 358 resources they are impelled to move on to find new patches where more food is available (Dickman et al. 2011). Although many species expand from fixed refuges once stressors have 359

been alleviated, populations can persist in fixed refuges indefinitely (Fig. 1). In contrast, the
location of shifting refuges changes over time; therefore, species that rely on them must
either be able to move from one refuge to the next as they become available (Fig. 1e) or
recolonise the landscape so that they can capitalise on the refuge as it develops (Fig. 1f).

364

365 *3.1 Shifting refuges from fire*

366 Changes in land use have resulted in dramatically altered fire regimes in many parts of the world (Kasischke and Turetsky 2006; Russell-Smith et al. 2003). In many cases, extensive 367 and intense fires have become more frequent, resulting in large areas of vegetation of similar 368 369 fire age and a reduction in availability of unburned fire refuges for many species (Burrows et al. 2006; Kasischke and Turetsky 2006; Russell-Smith et al. 2003). Areas that escape 370 frequent or high-intensity burning can be entirely stochastic in their occurrence, resulting 371 from a confluence of processes and events including wind speed and direction, vegetation 372 moisture content, rainfall, disturbance regimes, ignition location, fuel load and fire history 373 374 (Robinson et al. 2013).

375 Long-unburnt patches are likely to form refuges for some threatened arid and semi-arid mammals, birds and reptiles, which become more vulnerable to predators, starvation, or 376 exposure when fire removes vegetation cover (Berry et al. 2015c; Davis et al. 2016; Taylor et 377 al. 2012). Mobile predators including birds of prey and feral cats follow the distribution of 378 new fires in these habitats (McGregor et al. 2016; Woinarski et al. 2015), and cats have high 379 hunting success in fire scars in grassland and savanna (Leahy et al. 2016; McGregor et al. 380 381 2014). For instance, high-intensity and broad-scale fire exposes the Vulnerable great desert skink (Liopholis kintorei) to increased cat predation (Cadenhead et al. 2016; Moore et al. 382 2015; Moore et al. 2017). However, while exposing species to increasing predation pressure, 383

fire can also create shifting patches of post-fire ephemeral resources that species rely on. For example, the Vulnerable greater bilby (*Macrotis lagotis*) is hunted by cats and foxes, but in parts of its range requires both post-fire ephemeral and fire-sensitive plants for foraging (Southgate and Carthew 2007). The greater bilby is therefore most likely to occur in landscapes that have a high diversity of fire-age classes, including long-unburned grass hummocks for shelter and recently burned areas to forage (Southgate et al. 2007).

Birds that depend on patches of long-unburned spinifex hummock grass for nesting include 390 391 the Endangered mallee emu-wren (Stipiturus mallee), Carpentarian grasswren (Amytornis dorotheae) and night parrot (Pezoporus occidentalis). These species persist only if refuges of 392 old growth spinifex hummock grassland remain, and spatial shifts in refuges occur at a scale 393 that allow individuals to retreat to these unburned patches when fires occur (Brown et al. 394 2009; Perry et al. 2011). Likewise, unburnt spinifex grassland is required for population 395 396 persistence of the great desert skink (Moore et al. 2015) and the sandhill dunnart 397 (Sminthopsis psammophila) (Moseby et al. 2016).

The ecotone between sclerophyll (eucalypt) forest and rainforest provides corridors of partly-398 deterministic shifting refuges from fire for the Critically Endangered northern population of 399 400 the eastern bristlebird (Dasyornis brachypterus) (Fig. 4; Baker 1997). Variation in fire intensity along the damp ecotone results in a shifting distribution of thick tussock-dominated 401 areas that provide critical breeding niche space (Stone et al. 2018). Similarly, fire-moderated 402 wet sclerophyll forest ecotones between rainforest and drier woodland habitat provide refuges 403 404 for the Endangered northern bettong (Bettongia tropica) and Hastings River mouse 405 (Pseudomys oralis) (Pyke and Read 2002; Vernes and Pope 2001). For the northern bettong, moister conditions within wet sclerophyll forest provide important hypogeous fungal 406 407 resources (Abell et al. 2006). Increasingly variable rainfall patterns in this habitat mean that

rainforest margins are becoming more important as resource refuges during the dry season,particularly in the bettong's southern range (Bateman et al. 2012).

410

411 *3.2 Shifting refuges from drought*

Rainfall is highly variable in space and time in the Australian arid and semi-arid zone (Dean 412 et al. 2009; Van Etten 2009). The availability of moisture is an important determinant of the 413 414 location of refuges during periods of low rainfall. The Vulnerable plains mouse (Pseudomys *australis*) is an example of a species that uses both fixed and shifting refuges. Plains mouse 415 416 refuges may be permanently occupied, or occupied for up to 18 months prior to being 417 abandoned (Pavey et al. 2014; Young et al. 2017). Shifting refuges of the plains mouse occur in areas where water accumulates, allowing the shallow-rooted, short-lived grasses and forbs 418 that comprise its diet to germinate in response to the frequent but unpredictable small rainfall 419 (< 25 mm) events that occur during long periods of low rainfall (Moseby 2011; Pavey et al. 420 2016, captured by the niche dynamics in Fig. 1e). High food availability in refuges enables 421 422 ongoing reproduction and increased survivorship relative to the surrounding landscape, which in turn allows recruitment in the shifting refuges to outstrip mortality (Pavey et al. 2014). 423 Another small desert rodent that uses shifting refuges is the sandy inland mouse (Pseudomys 424 hermannsburgensis, listed as Vulnerable in New South Wales under the Biodiversity 425 426 Conservation Act 2016). This species occurs in spinifex hummock grassland interspersed with small patches (<0.5 ha to >10 ha) of gidgee woodland (Acacia georginae) (Greenville et 427 al. 2009). A long-term study in the Simpson Desert found that small, isolated patches of 428 429 gidgee woodland act as shifting refuges by providing shelter, food, and lower predation risk compared to surrounding habitat during drought (Dickman et al. 2011). Due to the small size 430 of the individual woodland patches, resources are quickly exhausted – meaning that although 431

the location of individual woodland patches does not change over time, the location of
refuges does. The species' ability to exploit these shifting refuges is linked to its broad,
omnivorous diet (Murray and Dickman 1994); breeding in response to conditions (Greenville
et al. 2016); and ability to move long distances relative to body size (e.g., 14 km over a
period of several weeks) (Dickman et al. 1995).

The dryland river systems of the arid interior of Australia support an abundant and diverse 437 wetland-dependent fauna that rely mostly on mobility to exploit temporary wetland resources. 438 439 In western Queensland and adjacent regions, very large-scale fluctuations in water availability create temporary wetlands through a vast (10^6 ha) interconnected network of 440 channels, waterholes, lakes and floodplains (Bunn et al. 2006b; Roshier et al. 2001; Sheldon 441 et al. 2010). Flood-pulses dramatically increase productivity; for example, one day of algal 442 carbon production at the peak of a flood on the Cooper Creek floodplain (10³ km) was 443 444 equivalent to that produced over 80 years in the much smaller permanent waterholes that persist through the dry times (Bunn et al. 2006a). Ducks and waders move to distant flood 445 and rainfall events to breed in a shifting wetland up to half a continent away (McEvoy et al. 446 447 2015; Pedler et al. 2014; Roshier et al. 2006). These breeding refuges drive population dynamics at the subcontinental scale (Roshier et al. 2002, illustrated in Fig. 1f). 448

449

450 *3.3 Partially shifting refuges from predators*

Dynamic refuges have long been recognised for their role in predator-prey interactions,
resulting from ecological phenomena such as prey and predator densities, crypsis, and
mimicry (reviewed in Berryman and Hawkins 2006). Here, we focus on refuges from
predation that are spatially dynamic (e.g., shifting), and are important for threatened species
conservation.

Mesopredator release can be a severe threat particularly where introduced predators impact native species. This was the case for the Vulnerable Cook's petrel (*Pterodroma cookii*; listed as Vulnerable on IUCN Redlist), a small burrowing seabird threatened by introduced rats and cats on its breeding island in New Zealand. Control of cats resulted in reduced breeding success of Cook's petrel through increases in rat predation, but only at high elevation sites (Rayner et al. 2007).

The presence of a top predator such as the dingo (*Canis dingo*) is important for providing 462 shifting refuges to threatened small mammals, birds and reptiles depredated by cats and foxes 463 464 (Brook et al. 2012; Johnson et al. 2007; Letnic et al. 2012). Ground-dwelling, medium-sized marsupials show greater persistence where they overlap with dingoes (Johnson et al. 2007), 465 and Vulnerable species including dusky hopping-mouse (Notomys fuscus), Malleefowl 466 467 (Leipoa ocellata), yellow-footed rock-wallaby (Petrogale xanthopus), kowari (Dasyuroides *byrnei*) and greater bilby are more abundant where dingoes are present (Letnic et al. 2012). 468 These species are all negatively associated with the abundance of foxes. Brook et al. (2012) 469 showed that in areas where dingoes were more active, cats were less active or shifted their 470 activity to avoid times of peak dingo activity. Where dingoes were less active due to lethal 471 472 control by managers, cat activity was higher and cats were more active earlier in the night 473 (peaking around dusk), potentially enhancing their success in hunting nocturnal small 474 mammalian and reptilian prey. Therefore, refuges created by mesopredator suppression can 475 be spatially and temporally dynamic, and occur on very short time-scales (e.g., hours). Vegetation cover can also be greater in the presence of dingoes, presumably through their 476 suppression of large grazing macropods and herbivores such as goats (Capra hircus); this 477 478 increased cover may also protect small mammals from predators (Wallach et al. 2010).

An added dimension to trophic interactions and their effects on refuges is that disturbance
events (e.g., fire) could mediate apex predator control of mesopredators through changes to

habitat structure and use. Geary et al. (2018) found that dingoes preferred recently burned
areas, and although foxes were not affected by fire history directly, a negative interaction
between dingoes and foxes meant that fire had the capacity to indirectly affect fox habitat use
as mediated through dingoes.

485 Other features that provide refuge from predation through increased habitat complexity and cover can be considered partly shifting refuges in the timeframes considered here. For 486 example, shrubs in the genus Gastrolobium ('poison pea') can provide refuge for species by 487 488 forming dense thickets, and providing food through mass seed set (Chandler et al. 2002; Short et al. 2005). Furthermore, *Gastrolobium* spp. contain high levels of fluoroacetate (the 489 poison '1080'), which is more poisonous to introduced species in Australia than to many 490 native granivores and herbivores (Peacock et al. 2011). Consequently, Gastrolobium presence 491 492 is also often associated with reduced stock grazing pressure, resulting in dense ground cover 493 and reduced predation risk from cats and foxes. Furthermore, cats and foxes are poisoned when they eat native prey with elevated levels of the toxin. In south-west Western Australia, 494 the persistence of threatened marsupials including the Vulnerable numbat (Myrmecobius 495 496 fasciatus) and Endangered woylie (Bettongia penicillata) is associated with dense stands of Gastrolobium spp. (Hopper 1991; Short et al. 2005). In Queensland, G. grandiflorum occurs 497 498 where the Endangered northern quoll and the eastern pebble-mound mouse (Pseudomys patrius) (Bateman et al. 2010; Vanderduys et al. 2012) and Endangered granivorous birds 499 500 such as the southern black-throated finch (Peophila cincta cincta) (GHD 2012; GHD 2013; 501 Reside et al. 2019a) persist. Patches of *Gastrolobium* spp. thus provide shifting or partially deterministic refuges for species that are threatened by cats and foxes (Read et al. 2016). 502

503

504 **4. Locating and managing refuges**

505 There is still much to understand of how Australian fauna persist across the landscape through variable conditions, particularly extremes of rainfall, and disturbance events such as 506 fire. Our knowledge is further limited when elucidating the repercussions of changes in 507 508 anthropogenic land management on the persistence of many threatened species, and the full extent of the role of ecological refuges. Against this background of uncertainty, identifying 509 ecological-scale refuges for threatened species across an extensive landscape is a daunting 510 511 task. However, particular landscape features such as rocks, gorges and places of water accumulation which provide refuge properties can be found readily through topographical 512 513 mapping and remotely sensed data. Shifting refuges can be more difficult to locate, but identifying topographic features within a species' distribution, or where apex predator 514 populations exist (e.g., areas free of dingo control) can be useful for narrowing the search. 515 516 Fine resolution digital elevation models can be used to find cooler aspects (e.g. south-facing 517 slopes) and for locating topographically complex areas, for example by calculating the Topographic Position Index to find valleys (Jenness 2006; Reside et al. 2019b). The 518 519 availability of high resolution or frequency remote sensing data has greatly enhanced our 520 ability to locate refuges. For example, remote sensed data, such as time series of normalised-521 difference vegetation index (NDVI) data from the NASA MODIS satellite imagery (Paget and King 2008), have been used to determine the frequency of inundation of temporary 522 523 wetlands and thereby identify those that are most persistent in an arid landscape (Roshier et 524 al. 2001). Additionally, remotely-sensed measures of productivity, such as the intercepted fraction of photosynthetically active radiation (fPAR) derived from NDVI, can assist in 525 locating refuges from drought and fire (Haire et al. 2017; Mackey et al. 2012) as they emerge. 526 527 Light detection and ranging (lidar) technology can provide high definition, three dimensional data on habitat structure, and is increasingly used for studying wildlife-habitat relationships, 528 529 with great potential for studies of refuges (Vierling et al. 2008). Identification of refuges

requires use of species-specific niche criteria (Magoulick and Kobza 2003), and verification
of species occupancy through field sampling, particularly during the presence of the stressor
(e.g., during drought or after a fire) (Pavey et al. 2017).

Predicting the location of refuges that will persist into the future with changing climate adds 533 534 substantial complexity and uncertainty, but these refuges are likely to be highly important in the short term, while also serving as long-term refugia. Much attention has been paid to 535 finding and predicting climate change micro-refugia from heat (Keppel et al. 2012), but 536 537 climate change refugia that provide greater water availability are also crucial (McLaughlin et al. 2017). In many cases, tools used for locating future refugia and current refuges are similar, 538 as many future refugia are identified through areas already providing protective conditions 539 (Reside et al. 2014). 540

Mapping or identifying sites that act as refuges can help managers to prioritize conservation 541 actions, such as by targeting the management of stressors. For example, exclusion of 542 543 livestock can enhance the refuge capacity of riparian zones and waterholes for native species 544 during drought (Table 2). Known refuges also could be targeted for management of emerging stressors. For instance, where patches of gidgee woodland provide refuge for small mammals 545 546 (Dickman et al. 2011), management should be vigilant to combat potential invasions of buffel grass (*Cenchrus ciliaris*), which increases fire intensity, over time destroying gidgee patches 547 548 (Butler and Fairfax 2008). Likewise, buffel grass invasion into long unburnt spinifex patches that are habitat for the endangered night parrot is an emerging threat to this refuge, and needs 549 550 to be a target for management (Murphy et al. 2018).

551 Identifying refuges can also help ensure that they are protected and not impacted by other

threats. Certain types of refuge are likely to be well-represented within protected area

networks – for example, disproportionately large areas of steep, high elevation sites, which

act as refuges against climate change, are set aside for conservation purposes (Pressey et al.

1996; Scott et al. 2001). In contrast, other refuges, particularly those that occur on highly 555 productive soils or land with development potential (e.g., urban fringes) may have little 556 protection (Pressey et al 2000). For example, old quarry pits act as important disease refuges 557 for the threatened growling grass frog (Heard et al. 2015), but these are gradually being filled 558 in. Incorporating shifting refuges into protected areas and management plans is more 559 complex, because these are not fixed in space. However, broad scale management actions 560 561 (e.g., protection of top predators and vegetation in reserves) may promote shifting refuges throughout the landscape – with the exact location of these driven by more dynamic 562 563 processes (e.g., predator movement, fire). A better understanding of the role of refuges could help select areas for protection that best promote the long-term survival of species (Margules 564 and Pressey 2000). 565

566 By our definition, refuges are places that are used by species until a stressor is alleviated, after which the species can recolonise the surrounding landscape, and potentially other 567 discrete locations further afield. Consequently, in many cases, a refuge must have appropriate 568 connectivity to suitable habitat to facilitate long-term species persistence. As per standard 569 landscape conservation principles, maintaining or enhancing connectivity between refuges, 570 571 and between refuges and non-refuge habitat, is therefore an important consideration for management, such as through habitat restoration or maintenance of environmental flows 572 573 (Magoulick and Kobza 2003). Furthermore, larger refuges can be more likely to promote 574 population persistence; therefore, management could be focused on larger refuges, or increasing the size of refuges, as in, for example, promoting larger unburnt patches. 575

576 After locating refuges in the landscape, and identifying key management actions, the next 577 goal is to identify when refuges are most needed, so that managers can intensify conservation 578 actions in specific refuges for threatened species at critical times. This is particularly crucial 579 for shifting refuges, which may require management only during the period when the area is

580 serving as a refuge. For example, predator management and protection of long-unburned local vegetation are likely to be crucial at the end of periods of high rainfall in arid regions, 581 because introduced predators will have increased during the boom period, and will target 582 583 threatened native prey as they decline due to decreasing rainfall (Greenville et al. 2014; Letnic and Dickman 2006). Timing is particularly critical in the context of fire management. 584 In particular, managers need to avoid burning fire refuge areas at a frequency that prevents 585 old growth vegetation from developing (Table 2). Additional 'clean up' burns after fires can 586 invade gullies, degrade important habitats that otherwise protect species from the immediate 587 588 effects of fire, and expose species to predators while vegetation in adjacent, more open areas is regenerating (Marlow et al. 2015). 589

The final key goal for management is to identify when managing existing ecological refuges 590 591 is insufficient to halt the decline of a threatened species, such as when a threshold of stressor intensity has been crossed, or if no refuges remain for the species. For example, some bird 592 species which contracted to riparian areas were unable to recover after the breaking of an 593 exceptional long dry period, the Millennium Drought (Nimmo et al. 2016). When managing 594 595 natural ecological refuges is insufficient, understanding the mechanisms that create these 596 refuges can still be a useful strategy to inform management options. For threatened 597 amphibians, knowledge of the fundamental niche of chytrid fungus (e.g., temperature, 598 humidity, pH and salinity tolerances) presents opportunities to reduce habitat suitability for 599 the pathogen, while ensuring the habitat remains suitable for hosts. For example, extinction risk can be reduced for Vulnerable growling grass frogs and potentially green and golden bell 600 601 frogs (Litoria aurea) if refuges from disease are created by constructing warm or saline 602 wetlands (Heard et al. 2015; Stockwell et al. 2014). Translocations outside the species' 603 natural range or to unoccupied areas of metapopulations may also be a viable solution, if

susceptible amphibians are restricted to areas of high pathogen suitability and refuges fromdisease can be identified (Scheele et al. 2014).

Natural refuges can be successfully mirrored by the construction of fenced enclosures for 606 607 species vulnerable to introduced predators (Moseby et al. 2009), and creating artificial physical refuges (e.g., by placing boulder piles, nest boxes or constructed hollows into areas 608 609 that have lost or are devoid of cover, such as recently burnt areas). Artificial refuges, such as recently-constructed in situ artificial springs free of predatory mosquitofish, are important for 610 the conservation of the Critically Endangered red-fin blue-eye fish (Dr Pippa Kern, pers. 611 comm.). Intensively-managed fenced enclosures have often been spectacularly successful in 612 the recovery of target threatened species, although the financial, logistical and ecological 613 maintenance of such projects means they are typically unlikely to be sustainable across 614 615 evolutionary time frames or at very large geographic scales (Hayward et al. 2014; Moseby et al. 2011). Other examples of intensive management options include translocating threatened 616 species to disease-free areas (e.g., armored mist frog), or to predator-free islands (e.g., 617 Gilbert's potoroo, kakapo), which has been a successful strategy for the persistence of many 618 threatened species in Australia and New Zealand (Abbott 2000; Ostendorf et al. 2016; Russell 619 620 et al. 2015). Careful management and monitoring are required to ensure these refuges do not 621 become predator traps, which could be the case for vulnerable species confined to islands or 622 fenced enclosures (Woinarski et al. 2011).

623 **5.** Conclusions

This review highlights recurrent themes on the properties of fixed and shifting refuges, and
their interaction with species' realised niches. The major stressors we focus on include
predation, changed fire regimes and prolonged drought. Therefore, refuges notably provide:

1) Cover, in the form of vegetative ground cover, higher-story vegetation complexity, or
rocks. Cover typically occurs in areas that are protected from fire, have greater
moisture availability, or are protected from grazing. These areas include riparian
areas, drainage lines, rocky areas, gullies, areas of toxic or unpalatable vegetation
(e.g., *Gastrolobium* spp.), and thickets of fire-responsive species. Cover provides
protection from predators, and in some cases increases food supply or provides a
suitable microclimate.

Greater availability of water: important habitats include dryland floodplains in
addition to riparian areas, drainage lines and rocky areas, as discussed above.

Greater availability of food, as a result of greater water availability, or because ofappropriate fire regimes.

4) Protection from introduced predators through other mechanisms, such as biochemical refuges created by toxic plants or active suppression of problematic mesopredators by apex predators (e.g., dingoes).

For some species, refuges may also provide protection from disease or pathogenic organisms. 641 Identifying, maintaining, enhancing, protecting and possibly even creating new refuges based 642 643 on these natural processes are likely to be cost-effective strategies for conserving threatened species. Effective refuges are those that enable species to persist even in the presence of 644 multiple interacting stressors; for example, a combination of altered fire regimes, introduced 645 predators, drought and loss of cover. Understanding the impact of stressors and the efficacy 646 647 of refuges in maintaining the fundamental and realised niches of threatened species can help 648 inform management, particularly as some stressors have a greater likelihood of being mitigated successfully by management than others. The concepts reviewed here are also 649 likely to be important for species conservation across the globe. If we are to stem the decline 650 651 of biodiversity, we need to develop a deeper and more integrated understanding of the refuge

- requirements of species, the stressors that the refuges protect against, the temporal and spatial
- 653 patterns of refuge availability and use, and how to better protect, maintain and, where

654 necessary, replicate ecological refuges.

655

656 **References**

- Abbott I (2000) Improving the conservation of threatened and rare mammal species through
 translocation to islands: case study Western Australia. Biol. Conserv. 93:195-201
- 659 doi:10.1016/s0006-3207(99)00144-5
- 660 Abell SE, Gadek PA, Pearce CA, Congdon BC (2006) Seasonal resource availability and use by an

661 endangered tropical mycophagous marsupial. Biol Conserv 132:533-540

- Baker J (1997) The decline, response to fire, status and management of the Eastern Bristlebird. Pacific
 Conserv Biol 3:235-243
- Banks SC, Dujardin M, McBurney L, Blair D, Barker M, Lindenmayer DB (2011) Starting points for
 small mammal population recovery after wildfire: recolonisation or residual populations?
 Oikos 120:26-37 doi:10.1111/j.1600-0706.2010.18765.x
- 667 Bateman BL, Kutt AS, Vanderduys EP, Kemp JE (2010) Small-mammal species richness and
- abundance along a tropical altitudinal gradient: an Australian example. J. Trop. Ecol 26:139149
- Bateman BL, VanDerWal J, Johnson CN (2012) Nice weather for bettongs: using weather events, not
 climate means, in species distribution models. Ecography 35:306-314
- Bender EA, Case TJ, Gilpin ME (1984) Perturbation experiments in community ecology: theory and
 practice. Ecology 65:1-13 doi:10.2307/1939452
- Bennett AF, Nimmo DG, Radford JQ (2014a) Riparian vegetation has disproportionate benefits for
 landscape-scale conservation of woodland birds in highly modified environments. J Appl
 Ecol 51:514-523 doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12200

- Bennett JM et al. (2014b) Resistance and resilience: can the abrupt end of extreme drought reverse
 avifaunal collapse? Divers Distrib 20:1321-1332 doi:10.1111/ddi.12230
- Berry LE, Driscoll DA, Banks SC, Lindenmayer DB (2015a) The use of topographic fire refuges by
 the greater glider (*Petauroides volans*) and the mountain brushtail possum (*Trichosurus cunninghami*) following a landscape-scale fire. Aust Mammal 37:39-45
- 682 Berry LE, Driscoll DA, Stein JA, Blanchard W, Banks SC, Bradstock RA, Lindenmayer DB (2015b)
- 683 Identifying the location of fire refuges in wet forest ecosystems. Ecol Appl 25:2337-2348
 684 doi:10.1890/14-1699.1.sm
- Berry LE, Lindenmayer DB, Driscoll DA (2015c) Large unburnt areas, not small unburnt patches, are
 needed to conserve avian diversity in fire-prone landscapes. J Appl Ecol 52:486-495
- 687 doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12387
- Berryman AA, Hawkins BA (2006) The refuge as an integrating concept in ecology and evolution.
 Oikos 115:192-196
- Beschta RL (2005) Reduced cottonwood recruitment following extirpation of wolves in Yellowstone's
 northern range. Ecology 86:391-403 doi:10.1890/04-0964
- 692 Biodiversity Conservation Act (2016) New South Wales Government.
- Bosch J, Sanchez-Tomé E, Fernández-Loras A, Oliver JA, Fisher MC, Garner TWJ (2015) Successful
 elimination of a lethal wildlife infectious disease in nature. Biol Lett 11:20150874
- Brook LA, Johnson CN, Ritchie EG (2012) Effects of predator control on behaviour of an apex
- 696 predator and indirect consequences for mesopredator suppression. J. Appl. Ecol. 49:1278-

697 1286 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2664.2012.02207.x

Brown S, Clarke M, Clarke R (2009) Fire is a key element in the landscape-scale habitat requirements

- and global population status of a threatened bird: The Mallee Emu-wren (*Stipiturus mallee*).
- 700 Biol. Conserv. 142:432-445
- Bunn SE, Balcombe SR, Davies PM, Fellows CS, McKenzie-Smith FJ (2006a) Aquatic productivity
 and food webs of desert river ecosystems. In: Kingsford RT (ed) Desert Rivers.
- Bunn SE, Thoms MC, Hamilton SK, Capon SJ (2006b) Flow variability in dryland rivers: boom, bust
 and the bits in between. River Res Appl 22:179-186

705	Burrows ND, Burbidge AA, Fuller PJ, Behn G (2006) Evidence of altered fire regimes in the Western
706	Desert region of Australia. Conservation Science W. Aust. 5:272-284
707	Butler DW, Fairfax RJ (2008) Buffel Grass and fire in a Gidgee and Brigalow woodland: A case
708	study from central Queensland. Ecol. Manage. Restor. 4:120-125 doi:10.1046/j.1442-
709	8903.2003.00146.x
710	Cadenhead NCR, Kearney MR, Moore D, McAlpin S, Wintle BA (2016) Climate and fire scenario
711	uncertainty dominate the evaluation of options for conserving the Great Desert Skink.
712	Conserv Lett 9:181-190 doi:10.1111/conl.12202
713	Cahill D, Rookes J, Wilson BA, Gibson L, McDougall K (2008) Turner Review No. 17. Phytophthora
714	cinnamomi and Australia's biodiversity: impacts, predictions and progress towards control.
715	Aust J Bot 56:279-310
716	Chandler GT, Crisp M, Cayzer LW, Bayer RJ (2002) Monograph of Gastrolobium (Fabaceae:
717	Mirbelieae). Aust Syst Bot 15:619-739
718	Channell R, Lomolino MV (2000) Dynamic biogeography and conservation of endangered species.
719	Nature 403:84-86 doi:10.1038/47487
720	Chia EK, Bassett M, Nimmo DG, Leonard SWJ, Ritchie EG, Clarke MF, Bennett AF (2015) Fire
721	severity and fire-induced landscape heterogeneity affect arboreal mammals in fire-prone
722	forests. Ecosphere 6 doi:10.1890/es15-00327.1
723	Côté IM, Darling ES, Brown CJ (2016) Interactions among ecosystem stressors and their importance
724	in conservation. Proc R Soc B 283:20152592
725	Danks A (1997) Conservation of the Noisy Scrub-bird: a review of 35 years of research and
726	management. Pacific Conserv Biol 3:341-349
727	Davis JA, Kerezsy A, Nicol S (2017) Springs: Conserving perennial water is critical in arid
728	landscapes. Biol Conserv 211:30-35 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.12.036
729	Davis RA, Doherty TS, van Etten EJB, Radford JQ, Holmes F, Knuckey C, Davis BJ (2016)
730	Conserving long unburnt vegetation is important for bird species, guilds and diversity.
731	Biodivers Conserv 25:2709-2722 doi:10.1007/s10531-016-1196-5

decline and recommendations for management of the quokka, *Setonix brachyurus*(Macropodidae: Marsupialia), an endemic macropodid marsupial from south-west Western
Australia. Conservation Science W. Aust 6:13-73
De Vos JM, Joppa LN, Gittleman JL, Stephens PR, Pimm SL (2014) Estimating the normal
background rate of species extinction. Conserv Biol 29:452-462 doi:10.1111/cobi.12380
Dean WRJ, Barnard P, Anderson MD (2009) When to stay, when to go: trade-offs for southern
African arid-zone birds in times of drought. S Afr J Sci 105:24-28

De Tores P, Hayward MW, Dillon MJ, Brazell RI (2007) Review of the distribution, causes for the

741 central Australian desert habitats: the role of drought refugia. J Mammal 92:1193-1209
742 doi:10.1644/10-mamm-s-329.1

Dickman CR, Greenville AC, Tamavo B, Wardle GM (2011) Spatial dynamics of small mammals in

- Dickman CR, Predavec M, Downey FJ (1995) Long-range movements of small mammals in arid
 Australia: Implications for land management. J Arid Environ 31:441-452 doi:10.1016/s0140 1963(05)80127-2
- 746 Dobrowski SZ (2011) A climatic basis for microrefugia: the influence of terrain on climate. Global
 747 Change Biol 17:1022-1035 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2010.02263.x
- 748 Doherty TS, Dickman CR, Nimmo DG, Ritchie EG (2015) Multiple threats, or multiplying the
- threats? Interactions between invasive predators and other ecological disturbances. Biol.
- 750 Conserv. 190:60-68 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.05.013
- Doherty TS, Glen AS, Nimmo DG, Ritchie EG, Dickman CR (2016) Invasive predators and global
 biodiversity loss. PNAS 113:11261-11265 doi:10.1073/pnas.1602480113
- 753 Environment Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act (1999) Australian Government
- 754 Department of Sustainability Environment Water Population and Communities.
- Fisher DO (2011) Trajectories from extinction: where are missing mammals rediscovered? Global
- 756 Ecol Biogeogr 20:415-425 doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2010.00624.x
- 757 Fraser H, Hauser CE, Rumpff L, Garrard GE, McCarthy MA (2017) Classifying animals into
- ecologically meaningful groups: A case study on woodland birds. Biol Conserv 214:184-194
- 759 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2017.07.006

31

732

- Geary WL, Ritchie EG, Lawton JA, Healey TR, Nimmo DG (2018) Incorporating disturbance into
 trophic ecology: Fire history shapes mesopredator suppression by an apex predator. J Appl
- 762 Ecol Online early doi:10.1111/1365-2664.13125
- GHD (2012) Appendix N3. Adani Mining Pty Ltd Carmichael Coal Mine Project Moray Downs
 Black-throated Finch Surveys. Adani Mining Pty Ltd, Brisbane
- 765 GHD (2013) Carmichael Coal Mine and Rail SEIS. Report for Black-throated Finch On-site
- 766Monitoring Survey 1. 12 November 2013. Adami Mining Pty Ltd, Brisbane
- Greenville AC, Dickman CR, Wardle GM, Letnic M (2009) The fire history of an arid grassland: the
 influence of antecedent rainfall and ENSO. Int J Wildland Fire 18:631-639
- 769 Greenville AC, Wardle GM, Nguyen V, Dickman CR (2016) Population dynamics of desert
- 770 mammals: similarities and contrasts within a multispecies assemblage. Ecosphere 7:e01343
 771 doi:10.1002/ecs2.1343
- Greenville AC, Wardle GM, Tamayo B, Dickman CR (2014) Bottom-up and top-down processes
 interact to modify intraguild interactions in resource-pulse environments. Oecologia
 175:1349-1358 doi:10.1007/s00442-014-2977-8
- Haire LS, Coop DJ, Miller C (2017) Characterizing spatial neighborhoods of refugia following large
 fires in Northern New Mexico USA. Land 6:19 doi:10.3390/land6010019
- Haslem A, Nimmo DG, Radford JQ, Bennett AF (2015) Landscape properties mediate the
- homogenization of bird assemblages during climatic extremes. Ecology 96:3165-3174
 doi:10.1890/14-2447.1
- Hayward MW, Moseby K, Read JL (2014) The role of predator exclosures in the conservation of
 Australian fauna. Chapter 15. In: Glen AS, Dickman CR (eds) Carnivores of Australia.
- 782 CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, Australia, pp 363-379
- Heard GW, Thomas CD, Hodgson JA, Scroggie MP, Ramsey DSL, Clemann N (2015) Refugia and
 connectivity sustain amphibian metapopulations afflicted by disease. Ecol. Lett. 18:853-863
 doi:10.1111/ele.12463

786	Hernandez-Santin L, Goldizen AW, Fisher DO (2016) Introduced predators and habitat structure
787	influence range contraction of an endangered native predator, the northern quoll. Biol
788	Conserv 203:160-167 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.09.023
789	Hohnen R et al. (2016) The significance of topographic complexity in habitat selection and
790	persistence of a declining marsupial in the Kimberley region of Western Australia. Aust J
791	Zool 64:198-216 doi:10.1071/ZO16015
792	Holmgren M et al. (2006) Extreme climatic events shape arid and semiarid ecosystems. Front Ecol
793	Environ 4:87-95 doi:10.1890/1540-9295(2006)004[0087:ECESAA]2.0.CO;2
794	Holt RD (2009) Bringing the Hutchinsonian niche into the 21st century: Ecological and evolutionary
795	perspectives. PNAS 106:19659-19665 doi:10.1073/pnas.0905137106
796	Hopper SD (1991) Poison peas: deadly protectors. Landscope 6:44-50
797	Hutchinson GE (1957) Population studies: animal ecology and demography. Cold Spring Harbour
798	Symposia on Quantitative Biology 22:415-427
799	Jenness J (2006) Topographic Position Index (tpi_jen.avx) extension for ArcView 3.x, v. 1.2. Jenness
800	Enterprises. Available at: http://www.jennessent.com/arcview/tpi.htm
801	Johnson CN, Isaac JL, Fisher DO (2007) Rarity of a top predator triggers continent-wide collapse of
802	mammal prey: dingoes and marsupials in Australia. Proc R Soc B 274:341-346
803	Kasischke ES, Turetsky MR (2006) Recent changes in the fire regime across the North American
804	boreal region—Spatial and temporal patterns of burning across Canada and Alaska. Geophys
805	Res Lett 33:L09703 doi:10.1029/2006GL025677
806	Keppel G et al. (2012) Refugia: identifying and understanding safe havens for biodiversity under
807	climate change. Global Ecol Biogeogr 21:393-404 doi:10.1111/j.1466-8238.2011.00686.x
808	Keppel G, Wardell-Johnson GW (2012) Refugia: keys to climate change management. Global Change

- Biol 18:2389-2391 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2486.2012.02729.x
- 810 Kerezsy A, Balcombe SR, Tischler M, Arthington AH (2013) Fish movement strategies in an
- 811 ephemeral river in the Simpson Desert, Australia. Austral Ecol 38:798-808

- Kerezsy A, Fensham R (2013) Conservation of the endangered red-finned blue-eye, *Scaturiginichthys vermeilipinnis*, and control of alien eastern gambusia, *Gambusia holbrooki*, in a spring
- 814 wetland complex. Mar Freshwater Res 64:851-863 doi:https://doi.org/10.1071/MF12236
- 815 Krawchuk MA, Haire SL, Coop J, Parisien M-A, Whitman E, Chong G, Miller C (2016) Topographic
- and fire weather controls of fire refugia in forested ecosystems of northwestern North
- 817 America. Ecosphere 7:e01632-n/a doi:10.1002/ecs2.1632
- 818 Kutt AS (2012) Feral cat (*Felis catus*) prey size and selectivity in north-eastern Australia:
- 819 implications for mammal conservation. J Zool 287:292-300 doi:10.1111/j.1469-
- 820 7998.2012.00915.x
- 821 Kutt AS, Fisher A (2011) Increased grazing and dominance of an exotic pasture (Bothriochloa
- *pertusa*) affects vertebrate fauna species composition, abundance and habitat in savanna
 woodland. Rangeland J 33:49-58 doi:10.1071/RJ10065
- Kutt AS, Gordon IJ (2012) Variation in terrestrial mammal abundance on pastoral and conservation
 land tenures in north-eastern Australian tropical savannas. Anim Conserv 15:416-425
- 826 doi:10.1111/j.1469-1795.2012.00530.x
- 827 Labbe TR, Fausch KD (2000) Dynamics of intermittent stream habitat regulate persistence of a
- threatened fish at multiple scales. Ecol Appl 10:1774-1791 doi:10.1890/1051-
- 829 0761(2000)010[1774:DOISHR]2.0.CO;2
- Lake PS (2000) Disturbance, patchiness, and diversity in streams. J N Am Benthol Soc 19:573-592
 doi:10.2307/1468118
- Leahy L, Legge SM, Tuft K, McGregor HW, Barmuta LA, Jones ME, Johnson CN (2016) Amplified
 predation after fire suppresses rodent populations in Australia's tropical savannas. Wildlife
- 834 Res. 42:705-716 doi:https://doi.org/10.1071/WR15011
- 835 Leonard SWJ, Bennett AF, Clarke MF (2014) Determinants of the occurrence of unburnt forest
- patches: Potential biotic refuges within a large, intense wildfire in south-eastern Australia.
- 837 Forest Ecol Manag 314:85-93 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.foreco.2013.11.036

- 838 Letnic M, Dickman CR (2006) Boom means bust: interactions between the El Niño/Southern
- 839 Oscillation (ENSO), rainfall and the processes threatening mammal species in arid Australia.
 840 Biodiv Conserv 15:3847-3880 doi:10.1007/s10531-005-0601-2
- Letnic M, Ritchie EG, Dickman CR (2012) Top predators as biodiversity regulators: the dingo *Canis lupus dingo* as a case study. Biol Rev 87:390-413 doi:10.1111/j.1469-185X.2011.00203.x
- Levins R (1968) Evolution in Changing Environments: some theoretical explorations. Princeton
 University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, USA
- Lindenmayer D et al. (2013) Principles and practices for biodiversity conservation and restoration
 forestry: a 30 year case study on the Victorian montane ash forests and the critically
- endangered Leadbeater's Possum. Aust Zoologist 36:441-460 doi:10.7882/AZ.2013.007
- 848 Mackey BG, Berry S, Hugh S, Ferrier S, Harwood TD, Williams KJ (2012) Ecosystem greenspots:
- 849 identifying potential drought, fire, and climate-change micro-refuges. Ecol Appl 22:1852850 1864
- Magoulick DD, Kobza RM (2003) The role of refugia for fishes during drought: a review and
 synthesis. Freshwater Biol 48:1186-1198 doi:10.1046/j.1365-2427.2003.01089.x
- 853 Mancini H (2013) Ecological condition assessment of Cooper Creek wetlands, South Australia.
- 854 Report to South Australian Arid Lands Natural Resources Management Board, Port Augusta
- 855 Margules CR, Pressey RL (2000) Systematic conservation planning. Systematic conservation
- planning 405:243-253 doi:10.1038/35012251
- Marlow NJ et al. (2015) Cats (*Felis catus*) are more abundant and are the dominant predator of
 woylies (*Bettongia penicillata*) after sustained fox (*Vulpes vulpes*) control. Aust. J. Zool.
 63:18-27 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/ZO14024
- Marshall JC, Sheldon F, Thoms M, Choy S (2006) The macroinvertebrate fauna of an Australian
 dryland river: spatial and temporal patterns and environmental relationships. Mar Freshwater
 Res 57:61-74
- McDonald PJ, Griffiths AD, Nano CEM, Dickman CR, Ward SJ, Luck GW (2015) Landscape-scale
 factors determine occupancy of the critically endangered central rock-rat in arid Australia:
 The utility of camera trapping. Biol Conserv 191:93-100 doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2015.06.027
- McDonald PJ, Nano CEM, Ward SJ, Stewart A, Pavey CR, Luck GW, Dickman CR (2017) Habitat as
 a mediator of mesopredator-driven mammal extinction. Conserv Biol 31:1183-1191
 doi:10.1111/cobi.12905
- McDonald PJ, Pavey CR, Knights K, Grantham D, Ward SJ, Nano CEM (2013) Extant population of
- 870 the Critically Endangered central rock-rat *Zyzomys pedunculatus* located in the Northern

871 territory, Australia. Oryx 47:303-306 doi:10.1017/s0030605313000136

- McDonald PJ, Stewart A, Schubert AT, Nano CEM, Dickman CR, Luck GW (2016) Fire and grass
 cover influence occupancy patterns of rare rodents and feral cats in a mountain refuge:
- implications for management. Wildlife Res 43:121-129 doi:https://doi.org/10.1071/WR15220
- 875 McEvoy JF, Roshier DA, Ribot RFH, Bennett ATD (2015) Proximate cues to phases of movement in
- a highly dispersive waterfowl, *Anas superciliosa*. Movement Ecol 3:21 doi:10.1186/s40462015-0048-3
- McGregor H, Legge S, Jones ME, Johnson CN (2015) Feral cats are better killers in open habitats,
 revealed by animal-borne video. PLoS ONE 10:e0133915 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0133915
- 880 McGregor HW, Legge S, Jones ME, Johnson CN (2014) Landscape management of fire and grazing
- regimes alters the fine-scale habitat utilisation by feral cats. PLoS ONE 9:e109097
- doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0109097
- McGregor HW, Legge S, Jones ME, Johnson CN (2016) Extraterritorial hunting expeditions to
 intense fire scars by feral cats. Sci Rep-UK 6:22559 doi:10.1038/srep22559
- McLaughlin BC, Ackerly D, D., Klos PZ, Natali J, Dawson TE, Thompson SE (2017) Hydrologic
 refugia, plants, and climate change. Global Change Biol 23:2941-2961
- 887 doi:10.1111/gcb.13629
- 888 Milstead WB, Meserve PL, Campanella A, Previtali MA, Kelt DA, Gutiérrez JR (2007) Spatial
 889 ecology of small mammals in north-central Chile: role of precipitation and refuges. J
- 890 Mammal 88:1532-1538 doi:10.1644/16-MAMM-A-407R.1
- Moore D, Kearney MR, Paltridge R, McAlpin S, Stow A (2015) Is fire a threatening process for *Liopholis kintorei*, a nationally listed threatened skink? Wildlife Res 42:207-216

- 893 Moore D, Kearney MR, Paltridge R, McAlpin S, Stow A (2017) Feeling the pressure at home:
- 894 Predator activity at the burrow entrance of an endangered arid-zone skink. Austral Ecol
 895 43:102-109 doi:10.1111/aec.12547
- Moseby K (2011) National Recovery Plan for the Plains Mouse *Pseudomys australis*. Department of
 Environment and Natural Resources, South Australia,
- 898 Moseby K, Read J, McLean A, Ward M, Rogers DJ (2016) How high is your hummock? The
- importance of Triodia height as a habitat predictor for an endangered marsupial in a fireprone environment. Austral Ecol 41:382-395 doi:10.1111/aec.12323
- 901 Moseby KE, Hill BMAY, Read JL (2009) Arid Recovery A comparison of reptile and small
- 902 mammal populations inside and outside a large rabbit, cat and fox-proof exclosure in arid
- 903 South Australia. Austral Ecol 34:156-169 doi:10.1111/j.1442-9993.2008.01916.x
- 904 Moseby KE, Peacock DE, Read JL (2015) Catastrophic cat predation: A call for predator profiling in
 905 wildlife protection programs. Biol Conserv 191:331-340
- 906 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2015.07.026
- 907 Moseby KE, Read JL, Paton DC, Copley P, Hill BM, Crisp HA (2011) Predation determines the
- 908 outcome of 10 reintroduction attempts in arid South Australia. Biol Conserv 144:2863-2872
 909 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2011.08.003
- 910 Murphy SA, Paltridge R, Silcock J, Murphy R, Kutt AS, Read J (2018) Understanding and managing
- 911 the threats to Night Parrots in south-western Queensland. Emu 118:135-145
- 912 doi:10.1080/01584197.2017.1388744
- 913 Murray BR, Dickman CR (1994) Granivory and microhabitat use in Australian desert rodents: are
 914 seeds important? Oecologia 99:216-225 doi:10.1007/BF00627733
- 915 Newsome AE, Corbett LK (1975) VI. Outbreaks of rodents in semi-arid and arid Australia: causes,
- 916 preventions, and evolutionary considerations. In: Prakash I, Ghosh PK (eds) Rodents in
- 917 Desert Environments. Monographiae Biologicae V. 28. Junk, The Hague, pp 117-153
- 918 Nimmo DG, Haslem A, Radford JQ, Hall M, Bennett AF (2016) Riparian tree cover enhances the
- 919 resistance and stability of woodland bird communities during an extreme climatic event. J
- 920 Appl Ecol 53:449-458 doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12535

37

- 921 Nimmo DG, Mac Nally R, Cunningham SC, Haslem A, Bennett AF (2015) Vive la re´sistance:
- 922 reviving resistance for 21st century conservation. Trends Ecol Evol 30:516-523
 923 doi:10.1016/j.tree.2015.07.008
- 924 Nowakowski AJ et al. (2016) Infection risk decreases with increasing mismatch in host and pathogen
 925 environmental tolerances. Ecol Let 19:1051-1061 doi:10.1111/ele.12641
- 926 Ostendorf B, Boardman WSJ, Taggart DA (2016) Islands as refuges for threatened species:
- 927 multispecies translocation and evidence of species interactions four decades on. Aust
 928 Mammal 38:204-212 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/AM15018
- 929 Paget MJ, King EA (2008) MODIS land data sets for the Australian region. SIRO Marine and
- 930 Atmospheric Research internal report number 004. CSIRO Marine and Atmospheric931 Research, Canberra, ACT, Australia.
- Pavey CR, Addison J, Brandle R, Dickman CR, McDonald PJ, Moseby KE, Young LI (2017) The
 role of refuges in the persistence of Australian dryland mammals. Biol Rev 92:647-664
 doi:10.1111/brv.12247
- Pavey CR, Cole JR, McDonald PJ, Nano CEM (2014) Population dynamics and spatial ecology of a
 declining desert rodent, *Pseudomys australis*: the importance of refuges for persistence. J

937 Mammal 95:615-625 doi:10.1644/13-mamm-a-183

- 938 Pavey CR, Jefferys EA, Nano CEM (2016) Persistence of the plains mouse, *Pseudomys australis*,
- 939 with cattle grazing is facilitated by a diet dominated by disturbance-tolerant plants. J Mammal940 97:1102-1110
- Peacock D, Christensen P, Williams B (2011) Historical accounts of toxicity to introduced carnivores
 consuming bronzewing pigeons (*Phaps chalcoptera* and *P. elegans*) and other vertebrate
- fauna in south-west Western Australia. Aust Zoologist 35:826-842 doi:10.7882/AZ.2011.034
- 944 Pedler RD, Ribot RFH, Bennett ATD (2014) Extreme nomadism in desert waterbirds: flights of the
 945 banded stilt. Biol Lett 10 doi:10.1098/rsbl.2014.0547
- 946 Perry J, Fisher A, Palmer C (2011) Status and habitat of the Carpentarian Grasswren (*Amytornis dorotheae*) in the Northern Territory. Emu 111:155-161

- 948 Piotrowski JS, Annis SL, Longcore JE (2004) Physiology of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis, a
- 949 chytrid pathogen of amphibians. Mycologia 96:9-15 doi:10.1080/15572536.2005.11832990
- 950 Pressey RL, Ferrier S, Hager TC, Woods CA, Tully SL, Weinman KM (1996) How well protected are
- 951 the forests of north-eastern New South Wales? Analyses of forest environments in relation to
- 952 formal protection measures, land tenure, and vulnerability to clearing. Forest Ecol Manag
- 953 85:311-333 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-1127(96)03766-8
- Puno VI, Laurence MH, Guest DI, Liew ECY (2015) Detection of *Phytophthora multivora* in the
- 955 Wollemi Pine site and pathogenicity to *Wollemia nobilis*. Australas Plant Pathology 44:205-
- 956 215 doi:10.1007/s13313-014-0344-1
- 957 Puschendorf R, Hoskin CJ, Cashins SD, McDonald K, Skerratt LF, Vanderwal J, Alford RA (2011)
- 958 Environmental refuge from disease-driven amphibian extinction. Conserv Biol 25:956-964
 959 doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2011.01728.x
- 960 Pyke GH, Read DG (2002) Hastings River mouse *Pseudomys oralis*: a biological review. Aust
 961 Mammal 24:151-176
- Rayner MJ, Hauber ME, Imber MJ, Stamp RK, Clout MN (2007) Spatial heterogeneity of
 mesopredator release within an oceanic island system. PNAS 104:20862
- Read JL, Peacock D, Wayne AF, Moseby KE (2016) Toxic Trojans: can feral cat predation be
- 965 mitigated by making their prey poisonous? Wildlife Res 42:689-696
- 966 doi:https://doi.org/10.1071/WR15125
- 967 Reside AE, Cosgrove AJ, Pointon R, Trezise J, Watson JEM, Maron M (2019a) How to send a finch
 968 extinct. Environ Sci Policy 94:163-173 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envsci.2019.01.005
- Reside AE et al. (2019b) Beyond the model: expert knowledge improves predictions of species' fates
- 970 under climate change. Ecol Appl 29:e01824 doi:10.1002/eap.1824
- 971 Reside AE et al. (2014) Characteristics of climate change refugia for Australian biodiversity. Austral
 972 Ecol 39:887-897 doi:10.1111/aec.12146
- 973 Robinson NM, Leonard SWJ, Bennett AF, Clarke MF (2016) Are forest gullies refuges for birds
- 974 when burnt? The value of topographical heterogeneity to avian diversity in a fire-prone
- 975 landscape. Biol Conserv 200:1-7 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2016.05.010

- 876 Robinson NM et al. (2013) Refuges for fauna in fire-prone landscapes: their ecological function and
 977 importance. J Appl Ecol 50:1321-1329 doi:10.1111/1365-2664.12153
- 978 Robson BJ, Chester ET, Mitchell BD, Matthews TG (2008) Identification and management of refuges
 979 for aquatic organisms. Waterlines report, National Water Commission, Canberra
- 980 Roshier D, Reid J (2003) On animal distributions in dynamic landscapes. Ecography 26:539-544
 981 doi:10.1034/j.1600-0587.2003.03473.x
- Roshier DA, Klomp NI, Asmus M (2006) Movements of a nomadic waterfowl, Grey Teal *Anas gracilis*, across inland Australia results from satellite telemetry spanning fifteen months.
 Ardea 94:461-475
- Roshier DA, Robertson AI, Kingsford RT (2002) Responses of waterbirds to flooding in an arid
 region of Australia and implications for conservation. Biol Conserv 106:399-411
- Roshier DA, Robertson AI, Kingsford RT, Green DG (2001) Continental-scale interactions with
 temporary resources may explain the paradox of large populations of desert waterbirds in
 Australia. Landscape Ecol 16:547-556
- 990 Roznik EA, Sapsford SJ, Pike DA, Schwarzkopf L, Alford RA (2015) Natural disturbance reduces
- 991 disease risk in endangered rainforest frog populations. Sci Rep-UK 5:13472
- 992 doi:10.1038/srep13472
- 993 Rull V (2009) Microrefugia. J Biogeogr 36:481-484 doi:10.1111/j.1365-2699.2008.02023.x
- Russell-Smith J, Whitehead PJ, Williams RJ, Flannigan M (2003) Fire and savanna landscapes in
 northern Australia: regional lessons and global challenges. Int J Wildland Fire 12:v-ix
- Russell JC, Innes JG, Brown PH, Byrom AE (2015) Predator-free New Zealand: conservation
 country. BioScience 65:520-525 doi:10.1093/biosci/biv012
- Sala OE et al. (2000) Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. Science 287:1770-1774
 doi:10.1126/science.287.5459.1770
- 1000 Scheele BC, Foster CN, Banks SC, Lindenmayer DB (2017) Niche contractions in declining species:
- 1001 mechanisms and consequences. Trends Ecol Evol 32:346-355
- doi:http://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.02.013

- Scheele BC et al. (2014) Interventions for reducing extinction risk in Chytridiomycosis-threatened
 amphibians. Conserv Biol 28:1195-1205 doi:10.1111/cobi.12322
- Scott JM, Murray D, Wright RG, Csuti B, Morgan P, Pressey RL (2001) Representation of natural
 vegetation in protected areas: Capturing the geographic range. Biodivers. Conserv. 10:12971007 1301
- Selwood KE, Clarke RH, Cunningham SC, Lada H, McGeoch MA, Mac Nally R (2015a) A bust but
 no boom: responses of floodplain bird assemblages during and after prolonged drought. J

 1010
 Anim Ecol 84:1700-1710 doi:10.1111/1365-2656.12424

1011 Selwood KE, Clarke RH, McGeoch MA, Mac Nally R (2016) Green tongues into the arid zone: river

1012 floodplains extend the distribution of terrestrial bird species. Ecosystems:1-12

- 1013 doi:10.1007/s10021-016-0059-y
- 1014 Selwood KE, Thomson JR, Clarke RH, McGeoch MA, Mac Nally R (2015b) Resistance and
- resilience of terrestrial birds in drying climates: do floodplains provide drought refugia? Glob
 Ecol Biogeogr 24:838-848 doi:10.1111/geb.12305

1017 Sheldon F, Bunn SE, Hughes JM, Arthington AH, Balcombe SR, Fellows CS (2010) Ecological roles

- and threats to aquatic refugia in arid landscapes: dryland river waterholes. Mar FreshwaterRes 61:885-895
- Short J, Atkins L, Turner B (2005) Diagnosis of mammal decline in Western Australia, with particular
 emphasis on the possible role of feral cats and poison peas. CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems,

1022 Perth, Report to National Geographic Society, Washington, DC.

- Skerratt LF et al. (2016) Priorities for management of chytridiomycosis in Australia: saving frogs
 from extinction. Wildlife Res 43:105-120 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/WR15071
- 1025 Southgate R, Carthew S (2007) Post-fire ephemerals and spinifex-fuelled fires: a decision model for
- bilby habitat management in the Tanami Desert, Australia. Int J Wildland Fire 16:741-754
- 1027 Southgate R, Paltridge R, Masters P, Carthew S (2007) Bilby distribution and fire: a test of alternative
- 1028 models of habitat suitability in the Tanami Desert, Australia. Ecography 30:759-776
- 1029 doi:10.1111/j.2007.0906-7590.04956.x

- 1030 Stead-Richardson E, Bradshaw D, Friend T, Fletcher T (2010) Monitoring reproduction in the
- 1031 critically endangered marsupial, Gilbert's potoroo (*Potorous gilbertii*): Preliminary analysis
- 1032 of faecal oestradiol- 17β , cortisol and progestagens. Gen Comp Endocr 165:155-162

1033 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ygcen.2009.06.009

- Stockwell MP, Storrie LJ, Pollard CJ, Clulow J, Mahony MJ (2014) Effects of pond salinization on
 survival rate of amphibian hosts infected with the chytrid fungus. Conserv Biol 29:391-399
- 1036 doi:10.1111/cobi.12402
- 1037 Stone ZL, Tasker E, Maron M (2018) Grassy patch size and structure are important for northern
- 1038 Eastern Bristlebird persistence in a dynamic ecosystem. Emu 118:269-280
- 1039 doi:10.1080/01584197.2018.1425628
- Swan M, Galindez-Silva C, Christie F, York A, Di Stefano J (2016) Contrasting responses of small
 mammals to fire and topographic refugia. Austral Ecol 41:443-451 doi:10.1111/aec.12331
- Taylor RS, Watson SJ, Nimmo DG, Kelly LT, Bennett AF, Clarke MF (2012) Landscape-scale effects
 of fire on bird assemblages: does pyrodiversity beget biodiversity? Divers Distrib 18:519-529
 doi:10.1111/j.1472-4642.2011.00842.x
- 1045 Van Etten EJB (2009) Inter-annual Rainfall Variability of Arid Australia: greater than elsewhere?
 1046 Aust Geogr 40:109 120
- 1047 Vanderduys EP, Kutt AS, Kemp JE (2012) Upland savannas: the vertebrate fauna of largely unknown
 1048 but significant habitat in north-eastern Queensland. Aust Zoologist 36:59-74
- 1049 Vernes K, Pope LC (2001) Stability of nest range, home range and movement of the northern bettong
 1050 (*Bettongia tropica*) following moderate-intensity fire in a tropical woodland, north-eastern
 1051 Queensland. Wildlife Res 28:141-150
- 1052 Vierling KT, Vierling LA, Gould WA, Martinuzzi S, Clawges RM (2008) Lidar: shedding new light
 1053 on habitat characterization and modeling. Front. Ecol. Environ. 6:90-98
- doi:doi:10.1890/070001
- 1055 Wallach AD, Johnson CN, Ritchie EG, O'Neill AJ (2010) Predator control promotes invasive
 1056 dominated ecological states. Ecol. Lett. 13:1008-1018

- 1057 Woinarski JCZ, Burbidge AA, Harrison PL (2015) Ongoing unraveling of a continental fauna:
 1058 Decline and extinction of Australian mammals since European settlement. PNAS 112:45311059 4540 doi:10.1073/pnas.1417301112
- 1060 Woinarski JCZ, Ward S, Mahney T, Bradley J, Brennan K, Ziembicki M, Fisher A (2011) The
- 1061 mammal fauna of the Sir Edward Pellew island group, Northern Territory, Australia: refuge
- 1062
 and death-trap. Wildlife Res 38:307-322 doi:10.1071/wr10184
- Young LI, Dickman CR, Addison J, Pavey CR (2017) Spatial ecology and shelter resources of a
 threatened desert rodent (*Pseudomys australis*) in refuge habitat. J Mammal 98:1604-1614
- 1065 doi:10.1093/jmammal/gyx129
- 1066
- 1067
- 1068

1069

Table 1. Glossary of key terms.

Term	Definition	References
Refuge	A physical space that remains within a species' niche	Keppel et al.
(plural refuges)	during times of stress, which an organism occupies over	(2012); Pavey et
	ecological time frames (days to decades)	al. (2017)
Refugium	A physical place that remains within a species' niche,	Keppel et al.
(plural refugia)	and is large enough to support populations of species	(2012)
	over evolutionary time scales (millennia)	
Hutchinson's	Hyper-volume in environmental space within which a	Hutchinson (1957)
niche	species' population can persist	
Fundamental	The volume of environmental space that a species could	Hutchinson (1957)
niche	occupy in absence of deleterious interactions with other	
	species	
Realised niche	The subset of the fundamental niche that a species can	Hutchinson (1957)
	occupy in the presence of interspecific interactions such	
	as competition, predation and disease	
Press stressor	A stressor that has a sustained impact. Press stressors	Bender et al.
	can occur sharply, and can increase in intensity through	(1984); Lake
	time or be maintained at a constant level. Sensu "press	(2000); Nimmo et
	perturbation" (Bender et al. 1984) or "press disturbance"	al. (2015)
	(Nimmo et al. 2015)	
Pulse stressor	A stressor with a relatively instantaneous occurrence,	Bender et al.
	which is sharply delineated, and eventually relaxes. E.g.,	(1984); Lake
	floods or fires	(2000); Nimmo et
		al. (2015)

Ramp stressor	A stressor that increases in intensity steadily through	Lake (2000);	
	time, sometimes without an endpoint, or that reaches an	Nimmo et al.	
	asymptote. E.g., drought	(2015)	
Fixed refuge	A refuge that remains fixed in space over an organism's Pavey et al. (20)		
	lifespan, or longer. A fixed refuge has properties that		
	make it consistently more suitable than the surrounding		
	landscape		
Shifting refuge	A refuge that has properties that make it more suitable	nake it more suitable Pavey et al. (2017)	
	for an organism than the surrounding landscape for a		
	period of time shorter than an individual's lifespan		

1071

1072 Table 2. A summary of refuge types, examples of species that use these refuges, and management1073 options. (in separate file)

1074

1075 **Figure captions**

1076 Figure 1. A niche perspective of ecological refuges. (a) The niche space of a species in 1077 relation to two environmental variables. (b) An example of the niche mapped in geographic 1078 space over time during a ramp disturbance (e.g. a drought), in addition to the limits of species' mobility (white line). As the stressor builds in intensity through time (b, T_1-T_3), the 1079 niche space of the species shrinks (b, T₂), leading to a loss of overall niche space until the 1080 population is confined to a refuge (b, T_3) . As the ramp disturbance continues to intensity, the 1081 population is reduced to a small refuge (b, T₃), until the stressor is lifted and recovery occurs 1082 (T₄). (c) An example of changes in niche space in relation to a pulse disturbance (e.g. 1083 1084 wildfire). T₁ shows the niche space prior to the pulse disturbance. In T₂ the pulse disturbance occurs (light shading), reducing the area with the species niche, leading to the eventual loss 1085

1086 of niche space (top right of in T_3). As time since the disturbance increases (T_3-T_4), 1087 succession allows the persistence niche expands and eventually returns to the pre-disturbance state. (d) An example of changes in niche space in relation to a press disturbance (e.g. 1088 1089 introduced predators). As the press disturbance (light shading) builds from T₂ to T₄, the niche space of the species is reduced and eventually isolated areas within the niche are lost (i.e. 1090 1091 through extinction debt). Eventually the niche space is confined to a small refuge. The press 1092 disturbance is ongoing, and so no recovery occurs. Shifting refuges: e) species move 1093 continuously between patches; exploiting a patch until the resource availability declines 1094 before moving to the next patch; f) species using the landscape, but retracting to refuges in 1095 the presence of the stressor. The location of the refuge shifts depending on the conditions.

1096

Figure 2. The degree of niche overlap between hosts (–) and pathogens (- -) can inform 1097 species extinction risk and intervention opportunities: host species with a high degree of 1098 1099 overlap with the pathogen niche (a) are more at risk than species that can also persist in 1100 environments that are unsuitable for the pathogen (b). Refuges from disease can be identified by coupling information about niche overlap and microhabitats available to host species (grey 1101 1102 shading). Disease impacts are likely to be high at sites where the microhabitats available to hosts are suitable for the pathogen (c), in contrast, sites where hosts can exploit microhabitats 1103 that are not suitable for the pathogen may act as refuges (d). Management actions that shift 1104 1105 available microhabitats to favour the host (e.g. $c \rightarrow d$) or enable host populations to establish in refuges can enhance persistence of species threatened by disease. 1106

Figure 3. Examples of refuge types and the species that use them. Refuges can sit along a
temporal continuum between shifting and fixed refuges. See text for further detailed
discussion on each species.

46

1110 Figure 4. a) Shifting ecotone refuges following disturbance. Species occupying ecotonal habitat require intact ecotones for short-term persistence and dispersal between remnant 1111 1112 reproductive niche patches (bright yellow) as burnt areas recover (light yellow) following 1113 disturbance (T₂–T₃). Increased severity of stressor (e.g. fire) can cause ecotone destruction (T₄) which means species persistence and dispersal is compromised. Shifts in the distribution 1114 1115 or quality of an ecotone will depend on disturbance patterns and can be both detrimental (i.e. replacement of reproductive niche with persistence niche) or beneficial (greater availability 1116 of post disturbance refuge) to species. 1117

- b) Photos from left to right: example of the sharp transitional environment between rainforest
- and grassy sclerophyll forest occupied by the northern eastern bristlebird; northern eastern
- 1120 bristlebird, northern bettong, Hastings river mouse.

±

Table 2. A summary of refuge types, examples of species that use these refuges, and management options.

	Stressor	Refuge: Species example	Management options	References
Fixed	Fire	Gullies: agile antechinus, Leadbeaters possum,	Protect the gullies and outcrops from fire via	McDonald et al. 2016;
		koalas	strategic hazard-reduction burns in	Swan et al. 2016
		Rock outcrops: central rock rat	surrounding area.	
		Unburnt heath (protected by rocks): quokka,		
		Gilbert's potoroo, noisy scrub-bird, western		
		bristlebird, western ground parrot		
	Drought	Permanent waterholes: invertebrates, fish	Protect drainage refuges from livestock. May	Kerezsy et al. 2013; Mancini
		Riparian areas: woodland birds	require predator control.	2013; Murphy et al. 2018;
		Drainage channels: night parrot	Preserve natural hydrological systems.	Nimmo et al. 2016; Robson et
			Use environmental flows to replenish	al. 2008
			waterholes that act as refuges during extreme	
			drought.	
	Predators	Rock outcrops: northern quolls, golden-backed tree	Employ predator abatement methods, e.g.	Hernandez-Santin et al. 2016;
		rats, black-footed tree rats, central rock rat	maintain dingo population, predator baiting	Hohnen et al. 2016; McDonald
		Complex ground cover: quokka		et al. 2016; McGregor et al.
				2015; Pavey et al. 2017
	Disease	Warmer microclimates: common mistfrog, growling	Regulate visitors, maintain equipment hygiene	Heard et al. 2015;
		grass frog	Protect warmer and/or more saline	Roznik et al. 2015
		Saline aquatic conditions: growling grass frog	wetlands or creeks from development	
			Manipulate existing habitat to reduce	
			suitability for chytrid (e.g. increase	

			temperature via weed removal, increase	
			salinity)	
			Create artificial wetlands with refuge	
			properties	
Shifting	Fire	Recently burnt areas near long unburned hummocks:	Prescribed burning to manage extent and	Baker 1997; Brown et al.
		greater bilby, great desert skink,	spatial arrangement of refuge burnt within	2009; Cadenhead et al. 2016;
		Long-unburned spinifex: mallee emu-wren,	each season. Control weeds that alter fire	Moore et al. 2015; Moseby et
		Carpentarian grasswren, night parrot, great desert	regimes.	al. 2016; Perry et al. 2011;
		skink, sandhill dunnart		Pyke and Read 2002;
		Ecotone between sclerophyll and rainforest: eastern		Southgate and Carthew 2007;
		bristlebird, northern bettong, Hastings river mouse		Vernes and Pope 2001
	Drought	Run-on areas in arid country: plains mouse	Preserve natural hydrological systems;	Butler and Fairfax 2008;
		Resources in gidgee woodland: sandy inland mouse	preserve/restore relevant native vegetation;	Dickman et al. 2011; McEvoy
		Inland flood pulses: ducks, waders	Control weeds (i.e. buffel grass) and exclude	et al. 2015; Pavey et al. 2017;
			livestock that alter resources in woodlands.	Roshier et al. 2006; Roshier et
				al. 2002
	Predators	Gastrolobium thickets: numbat, woylie, northern	Manage fire to maintain thick vegetation;	Bateman et al. 2010; GHD
		quoll, eastern pebble-mound mouse, southern black-	maintain dingo populations	2012; Hopper 1991; Letnic
		throated finch		et al. 2012; Short et al.
		Dingo populations: dusky hopping-mouse, yellow-		2005; Vanderduys et al.
		footed rock-wallaby, greater bilby, mallee fowl.		2012







Temporal scale of refuge use



manuscript with changes

Click here to view linked References

Click here to access/download Attachment to Manuscript Refuge_review_20190216.docx Cover letter for resubmission

Click here to view linked References

Click here to access/download **Attachment to Manuscript** Cover_letter_resubmission_2_BIOC-D-18-00455_20190218.docx