This is a peer reviewed version of the following article: Rudin-Bitterli, T., Mitchell, N & Evans, J.P (2018) Environmental stress increases the magnitude of non-additive genetic variation in offspring fitness in the frog *Crinia georgiana*, The American Naturalist, Vol. 192, Iss. 4, pp. 461-478; which has been published in final form at:

https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/10.1086/699231

Article

Environmental stress increases the magnitude of non-additive genetic variation in offspring fitness in the frog *Crinia georgiana*

Rudin-Bitterli, T.S.^{1,2*}, Mitchell, N.J.¹ & Evans, J.P.^{1,2}

¹ - School of Biological Sciences, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia 6009, Australia

² - Centre for Evolutionary Biology, The University of Western Australia, Crawley, Western Australia 6009, Australia

Keywords: genetic compatibility, genotype-by-environment interaction, reaction norm, metamorphic duration, climate change

ABSTRACT

When organisms encounter heterogeneous environments, selection may favor the ability of individuals to tailor their phenotypes to suit the prevailing conditions. Understanding the genetic basis of plastic responses is therefore vital for predicting whether susceptible populations can adapt and persist under new selection pressures. Here, we investigated whether there is potential for adaptive plasticity in development time in the quacking frog Crinia georgiana, a species experiencing a drying climate. Using a North Carolina II breeding design, we exposed 90 family groups to two water depth treatments (baseline and lowwater) late in larval development. We then estimated the contribution of additive and nonadditive sources of genetic variation to early offspring fitness under both environments. Our results revealed a marked decline in larval fitness under the stressful (low-water) rearing environment, but also that additive genetic variation was negligible for all traits. However, in most cases we found significant sire-by-dam interactions, indicating the importance of nonadditive genetic variation for offspring fitness. Moreover, sire-by-dam interactions were modified by the treatment, indicating that patterns of non-additive genetic variance depend on environmental context. For all traits, we found higher levels of non-additive genetic variation (relative to total phenotypic variation) when larvae were reared under stressful conditions, suggesting that the fitness costs associated with incompatible parental crosses (e.g. homozygous deleterious recessive alleles) will only be expressed when water availability is low. Taken together, our results highlight the need to consider patterns of nonadditive genetic variation under contrasting selective regimes when considering the resilience of species to environmental change.

INTRODUCTION

Almost all organisms face the possibility of unstable environments, which in recent times is increasing due to human activities (e.g. associated with climate change, invasive species and habitat fragmentation). Whether populations can persist under rapidly changing conditions depends on their ability to employ one, or a combination of up to three response mechanisms: evasion, phenotypic plasticity, and genetic adaptation (Holt 1990; Davis et al. 2005). In principle, evasion can allow populations to move to favorable locations (Parmesan 2006; Thomas 2010), but successful range shifts require new habitats to be accessible, which is increasingly impeded by habitat fragmentation (Fahrig 2003; Pecl et al. 2017). Moreover, the rapid rate of environmental change experienced by many populations may require organisms to travel distances that exceed their capabilities (Schloss et al. 2012; Hetem et al. 2014). Therefore, as evasion will not always be an option for persistence as environments change, phenotypic plasticity and genetic adaptation will play key roles in determining the survival of species that do not shift their distributions (Moritz and Agudo 2013).

A considerable body of research has focused on the ability of populations to respond to environmental changes via phenotypic plasticity - the ability of a given genotype to adjust its phenotype according to its environment. Phenotypic plasticity has been widely documented in natural populations (West-Eberhard 2003; Hollander et al. 2015) and is particularly prevalent in organisms such as amphibians that inhabit highly heterogeneous environments (Urban et al. 2014). Meta-analysis has revealed that 71% of amphibian traits show plasticity in response to climatic variation (Urban et al. 2014). However, phenotypic plasticity is not necessarily adaptive (Visser et al. 2006; Ghalambor et al. 2007, 2015; Urban et al. 2014). Furthermore, not all traits are plastic, and there are often inherent costs that limit plasticity (DeWitt et al. 1998; Relyea 2002). Thus, whilst plastic responses are important for buffering the effects of changes in environmental conditions in the short term, most organisms require

a micro-evolutionary response to persist under continued directional change in their environments (Gienapp et al. 2008).

Plastic responses can themselves evolve and contribute to environmental adaptation (Hoffmann and Sgro 2011). If there is genetic variation in phenotypic plasticity (i.e., genotype-by-environment interaction; GEI), and if plastic responses increase fitness, selection can target the degree of plasticity (Crispo et al. 2010; Tedeschi et al. 2015). However, whilst plastic responses to environmental change are documented for many groups, the genetic basis of such responses is poorly understood. This is largely due to the difficulty in reliably distinguishing phenotypic and genetic responses to changed environments (Chown et al. 2010; Merilä and Hendry 2014), which is analogous to the difficulty in partitioning the causal components of variance when the trait itself is a variance rather than a mean. Teplitsky et al. (2008), for example, showed that phenotypic shifts in mean body size observed in birds, originally attributed to genetic adaptation, were in fact a consequence of phenotypic plasticity.

Quantitative genetics experiments offer an empirical framework for investigating the potential for genetic responses to environmental change (Lynch and Walsh 1998). Early quantitative genetics studies revealed that patterns of additive genetic variance underlying trait expression can vary with environmental conditions due to genotype-by-environment interactions (Hoffman and Parsons 1991; Hoffmann and Merilä 1999), indicating that heritabilities may not be constant as abiotic variables change (Visser 2008), with a trend toward heritabilities being lower in unfavorable environments (Charmantier and Garant 2005). Whether the lower heritabilities are due to less additive genetic variance, relatively greater non-additive variance, and/or greater environmental variance remains unclear.

Amphibians are ideal models for investigating patterns of genetic variation under unstable environments, as their generally large clutch sizes, external fertilization and, for the most

part, lack of parental care means they are especially suited to quantitative genetic analyses (Laurila et al. 2002; Merilä et al. 2004; Laugen et al. 2005; Eads et al. 2012). Moreover, amphibians have experienced substantial species losses and population declines over recent decades (Alroy 2015; Catenazzi 2015). Whilst disease has been identified as the primary driver for many such declines (Skerratt et al. 2007), environmental stresses associated with a drying climate are exerting additional selection pressures on susceptible populations, and has likely been a second major driver of the extinction process (Kiesecker et al. 2001; Wake 2012). However, the severity of this threat to amphibians remains unclear, largely due to disagreements among researchers about the resilience of threatened populations. We therefore require far better understanding of the potential for micro-evolutionary responses if we are to predict the resilience of amphibians to environmental uncertainty (Urban et al. 2014).

A central reason for the vulnerability of many amphibians is their strong dependence on freshwater for reproduction (Carey and Alexander 2003; Walls et al. 2013), a resource that has declined in quality and availability in many regions (Milly et al. 2005). Most amphibians inhabit highly variable environments and have evolved mechanisms for dealing with low water depth, such as by accelerating development to metamorphosis (Newman 1992; Gomez-Mestre et al. 2013). Such plasticity potentially allows metamorphs to escape drying pools. However, rapid development may come at a cost of smaller body size at metamorphosis (Doughty and Roberts 2003; Mueller et al. 2012), which in turn is likely to impede survival in the terrestrial environment (Semlitsch et al. 1988; Berven 1990; Cabrera-Guzmán et al. 2013).

In this study, we apply an experimental quantitative genetic framework to determine whether there is the potential for adaptive plasticity in developmental rate in the quacking frog *Crinia georgiana*, a polyandrous species that occurs in southwestern Australia (Roberts et al. 1999). *Crinia georgiana* is a highly suitable model for investigating the impact of a drying

climate on early development, as the larvae show accelerated rates of maturation relative to related amphibian species with similarly sized eggs (Mueller et al. 2012). Low water depth is a frequent challenge for *C. georgiana* larvae as eggs are deposited in shallow (~1-2 cm deep) temporary pools and pools frequently dry out between bouts of rain (Byrne and Roberts 2000; Doughty 2002; Doughty and Roberts 2003). Furthermore, *C. georgiana* inhabits a region that has experienced a substantial decline in winter rainfall over the past 40 years (19% reduction since the 1970s) (Smith 2004; IOCI 2012; Andrich and Imberger 2013; CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology 2016), and this region is expected to become warmer and drier in the coming decades (Gallant et al. 2007; Bates et al. 2008; Smith and Power 2014; CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology 2015). It is therefore likely that populations will face strong selection pressure on larval traits that provide resilience to drying.

We used a cross-classified (North Carolina II) breeding design to determine whether *C. georgiana* exhibits underlying genetic variation in its response to changes in water depth, focusing on a range of putative fitness traits, including embryonic and juvenile survival, time to metamorphosis, body size, morphology and jumping performance. Importantly, this design enabled us to determine whether there is a genetic basis to plasticity in the expression of these traits (i.e., GEI), and thus the potential for selection to target such plastic responses. Furthermore, as our breeding design involved a series of factorial crosses between parental genotypes, we were able to determine whether variation in water depth modifies patterns of non-additive genetic variance in offspring fitness, for example attributable to variation in parental compatibility, which constitutes an important source of variation in embryonic survival in this species (Dziminski et al. 2008). Taken together, our analyses were designed to offer insights into the fitness implications associated with changes in breeding environments, and the capacity of amphibian populations to respond to such changes.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ethics statement

All animal work was conducted in accordance with the University of Western Australia's (UWA) Animal Ethics Committee (permit number RA/3/100/1395). Fieldwork was conducted under permit SF010360 issued by the Western Australian Department of Biodiversity, Conservation and Attractions.

Study species

Crinia georgiana is a small (19-47 mm snout-to-vent length) species of myobatrachid frog widely distributed throughout the southwest of Western Australia. Breeding occurs between late autumn and the middle of spring (Main 1965), when males aggregate in shallow, temporary water and call to attract females. Females entering the chorus are amplexed by one to nine males (Buzatto et al. 2015) and release eggs which are fertilized externally. Multiple mating by females (polyandry) is common in this species, with approximately 50% of all matings involving more than one male (Roberts et al. 1999) and results in multiple paternity of egg clutches (Roberts et al. 1999; Buzatto et al. 2017). The environment in which embryos and larvae develop is generally unstable, as eggs are deposited within shallow (1-2 cm) temporary pools or seepages that can dry and flood several times within the breeding season (Seymour et al. 2000; Doughty and Roberts 2003). Consequently, both the embryos and free-swimming larvae are at high risk of desiccation.

Animal collection

Adult *C. georgiana* were collected by hand from a large population near Kangaroo Gully, approximately 40km southeast of Perth, Western Australia (32°06'35" S, 116°08'54" E). In total, 30 gravid females and 30 adult males were collected from within a breeding chorus over five nights between the 9th and 22nd of August 2015. Frogs were transported to the University of Western Australia in Perth on the night of collection.

Breeding design

We performed controlled laboratory crosses according to a North Carolina II block breeding design (Lynch and Walsh 1998). In each block, eggs from three females were crossed with the sperm from three males (Fig. 1). We established ten such blocks, thus yielding 90 families. Each 'family' included at least 20 eggs, resulting in a total sample size of 2067 eggs across the ten blocks. The NCII design generates full-siblings, paternal half-siblings and maternal half-siblings, making it possible to partition sources of phenotypic variation into additive genetic (i.e., sire) effects, maternal (genetic and environmental) effects and non-additive effects (Comstock and Robinson 1948; Lynch and Walsh 1998).

In-vitro fertilizations

All procedures outlined below were performed on the same night that animals were collected. Male frogs were euthanized via ventral immersion in <0.03% benzocaine solution, followed by double pithing. Their testes were then removed, weighed and crushed within an Eppendorf tube in 0.3 – 1.1 ml (adjusted according to the weight of the testes) of chilled standard amphibian ringer (SAR; 113mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1.35 mM CaCl₂, and 1.2 mM NaHCO₃). Testes macerates were immediately placed on ice and sperm concentrations were measured using an improved Neubauer hemocytometer (Hirschmann Laborgeräte, Eberstadt, Germany).

Eggs were gently squeezed from each female on to a clean surface. They were then moistened with SAR and divided equally among three plastic weigh pans and placed on ice until fertilization. Following Dziminski et al. (2008), a calculated volume of sperm suspension was pipetted on to one edge of the pan, followed by a volume of stream water (collected from the breeding site) at 16° C. When mixed, the two solutions produced a sperm concentration of 0.2 x 10^{6} sperm/mL, which leads to asymptotic rates of fertilization (Dziminski et al. 2008, 2009*a*). Each pan was manually agitated for 20 sec to mix the diluted sperm suspension among the eggs to promote fertilization. After 15 minutes, pans were

backlit and submerged eggs were photographed with a stage micrometer for calibration. These images were used to measure the diameter (later converted to volume) of 50 eggs from each female, using ImageJ software (Abràmoff et al. 2004). All remaining eggs from each female were frozen at -20 degrees for analysis of yolk corticosteroids. Both ovum volume and yolk corticosterone levels can have significant effects on offspring fitness in amphibians (Dziminski and Roberts 2006; Love and Williams 2008), and thus these factors were included as covariates in all of our analyses (see below).

Eggs were transferred to round plastic containers (base diameter = 9 cm, height = 6.5 cm; 5 eggs per container) and covered with stream water (collected from the breeding site) to a depth of 2 cm. Containers with eggs were maintained in a controlled-temperature room at 16° C with a 11/13 h light/dark photoperiod to match ambient (winter) conditions. Fluorescent lights (Grolux, Sylvania, Padstow, Australia) provided UV light for 3h each day. Two hours after combining eggs and sperm, fertilization success was scored in each container by visualizing the eggs under a microscope at x 32 magnification. Fertilized embryos were at the 2- or 4-cell stage (Gosner Stage 3 or 4) at this point in time.

Tadpole rearing & measurements

Containers were checked for hatchlings every 12h and time to hatching was recorded to the nearest minute. Once all five tadpoles in a dish had hatched, the water was replaced with fresh stream water to a depth of 2 cm. Embryonic survival was recorded for each dish as the proportion of fertilized eggs that hatched. A macro picture of each tadpole (dorsal view) was taken (Canon PowerShot G16, along with a micro-ruler for calibration) for later analysis of tadpole morphology (Fig. 2A). Five morphological variables were measured to the nearest 0.01 mm using ImageJ (version 1.50b) software: total length, tail length, body length, body width and tail muscle width. Each of these traits affects swimming performance (Van Buskirk

and McCollum 2000; e.g. Teplitsky et al. 2005; Wilson et al. 2005; Johnson et al. 2015), which is important for predator escape (Watkins 1996).

Feeding was initiated once tadpoles developed mouthparts (Gosner stage 21, approximately 3 days after hatching). Tadpoles were fed a ground and sieved 3:1 mixture of rabbit pellets (Lucerne) and TetraMin tropical fish food (TetraWerke, Melle, Germany), with 25 mg of this mixture added to each container every three days, resulting in approximately 5 mg of food per tadpole. This feeding regime ensured a size at metamorphosis consistent with sizes that occur in the wild (Doughty and Roberts 2003; Dziminski and Roberts 2006). Containers were frequently cleaned with a sponge to remove debris and stream water was changed daily. Once tadpoles reached Gosner Stage 34 (hind limb buds developing with early differentiation of toes, approximately 28 days after fertilization), manipulation of water depth began. The decision to initiate treatment at this stage was based on Doughty and Roberts (2003), who reduced water depth at a range of larval stages and found the strongest response when the treatment was initiated at Stage 34. Tadpoles were subjected to one of two water depth treatments: a baseline treatment where tadpoles continued to experience a constant water depth of 2 cm, or a low water depth treatment, where the water depth was reduced to 0.5 cm. This water depth was chosen because it was low enough to simulate pool drying whilst still allowing tadpoles to swim and feed. Thus, any effects of treatment were likely attributable to water depth and not food intake.

The developmental stage of the tadpoles in each container was determined every 12h using a binocular microscope. Tadpole survival was recorded as the proportion of fertilized eggs that survived to Gosner Stage 42 (emergence of at least one forelimb), which marks the end of the larval period and the beginning of metamorphosis, and the stage at which individuals switch from gill breathing to lung breathing (Anstis 2013). The length of the larval period was calculated as the time between hatching (approximately Gosner stage 28, achieved at around 14 days after fertilization) and Gosner Stage 42 (achieved approximately 49 days

after fertilization). Individuals at Stage 42 were placed in new containers with perforated lids, and containers were placed onto a sloping shelf to provide wet and dry areas that allowed metamorphs to leave the water. Food was not provided from this point onwards, as late developmental stages do not feed (Williamson and Bull 1989).

Time to metamorphosis was interpreted as the time between fertilization and the completion of metamorphosis (i.e. complete reabsorption of the tail; Gosner Stage 46), and survival was calculated as the proportion of fertilized eggs that metamorphosed. We also calculated the metamorphic duration - the time (in days) it took tadpoles to progress from Gosner Stage 42 to Gosner Stage 46 - as this is a vulnerable stage in amphibian life history when major internal reorganization occurs (Downie et al. 2004).

On the day metamorphosis was achieved, jumping performance was assessed for each metamorph. Jumping trials were conducted in a temperature controlled room at 16°C. Prior to each trial, metamorphs were placed in a Petri dish containing 3 mm-deep stream water for 15 min to ensure that they were fully hydrated but did not swim. Metamorphs were then positioned into the middle of an A3 sized paper. A syringe was used to apply a small amount of food coloring (Queen blue color) onto the hind limbs of each metamorph (Whitehead et al. 1989). Metamorphs were then induced to jump five times by lightly tapping the urostyle with a pen (Zug 1978). Distances between ink marks on the paper were measured to the nearest mm and the average jumping distance was calculated for each individual.

Following the measurement of jump performance, metamorphs were euthanized in <0.03% benzocaine solution and preserved in 10% neutral buffered formalin. Wet mass was later recorded to the nearest 0.001g after blotting on tissue. Preserved metamorphs were photographed in dorsal view (while submerged in water to minimize refraction) using a digital imaging camera (Leica DFC320) attached to a light microscope (Leica MZ7.5) at X 6.3

magnification. ImageJ was used to measure the following five morphological traits to the nearest 0.01 mm: snout-vent length (SVL), head width (HW), thigh length (THL), tibia length (TL) and foot length (FL) (Fig. 2B).

Yolk corticosterone analysis

Maternal steroid hormones deposited in the egg, such as the glucocorticoid corticosterone, can influence offspring fitness (Love and Williams 2008) and development rate (Kulkarni and Buchholz 2012). We therefore measured corticosterone concentrations in spare eggs from each female for inclusion as a covariate in our analyses. For this purpose, egg samples (yolk + jelly) were weighed and homogenized in 300 µl of double-distilled (DD) water using an Eppendorf® micropestle. The micropestle was rinsed with 100 µl of DD water. Corticosterone was extracted by adding 4 ml of pure diethyl ether and the samples were then vortexed for 10 min. Samples were kept frozen at -20°C overnight and the organic phase was transferred into a 12 x 75 mm glass tube and dried under air flow. The dry samples were reconstituted in 300 µl of PBS, vortexed for 5 min and centrifuged at 2000g for 5 min. Duplicates of 100 µl of egg extract were assayed using the ImunoChemTM Cortiscosterone I 125kit (MP Biomedicals, Orangeburg, NY, USA). All samples were prepared in a single assay. The limit of detection was 2.9 ng/ml and the intra-assay coefficients of variation for quality control samples containing 72.1 and 485.5 ng/ml were 8.9% and 7.1%, respectively. Corticosterone concentrations were then calculated as ng corticosterone/mg fresh egg sample from each female.

Statistical analysis

All analyses were performed using R version 3.3.1 (R Development Core Team 2016). We used linear-mixed effects models (with restricted maximum-likelihood methods; REML) to partition sources of phenotypic variation in each trait among genetic and environmental effects, and to reveal potential genotype-by-environment interactions underlying their

expression. The REML models were performed with the Ime4 package in R (Bates et al. 2015). Models of traits that were measured before the water depth treatment was initiated (fertilization success, time to hatching, tadpole morphology) included only the random effects of sire, dam, block and the sire-by-dam interaction. For all other traits, treatment was added as a fixed effect and the models also included the random effects sire x treatment, dam x treatment and sire x dam x treatment. The significance of the fixed treatment effect was evaluated using a Wald chi-squared test on the full model. The significance levels of the random effects were obtained from likelihood ratio tests, in which each random effect is excluded in turn and the fit of the reduced model was compared with the full model (Shaw 1987). No adjustments for multiple comparisons were performed. Tadpole morphological traits (total length, tail length, body length, body width & tail muscle width) and metamorph morphological traits (snout-vent, tibia, thigh and foot length & head width) were all highly correlated with one another. In order to simplify the analysis, we conducted a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) for both tadpole and metamorph morphological traits, and performed the linear mixed-effects models on the first principal component (see Table 1 for PC factor loadings, eigenvalues and variance explained by each PC). Analysis was restricted to the first principal component because the Eigenvalues of the subsequent PCs were below 1, and because including other PCs did not significantly alter any results.

In the light of significant three-way sire-by-dam-by-treatment interactions for most traits (see results), we further explored the causal basis for such interactions. Briefly, genotype-byenvironment interactions may arise either due to a change in the magnitude of variance between environments ('variance GEI', i.e. where there is substantially more non-additive genetic variance in one environment than another) or a change in the ordering of rank family means, where genotypic values cross each other in different environments ('ecological crossover') (Fry et al. 1996; Conner and Hartl 2004). In order to distinguish between these factors, we tested the correlations between trait scores for specific combinations of males and females between the two environmental treatments. The prediction from such an analysis is that the correlation in offspring fitness for any given sire-dam combination should be increasingly weaker as ecological crossover becomes more important. Conversely, a significantly positive correlation between the ordering of fitness scores between environments would indicate no significant change in the rank order of fitness between treatments (consistent with variance GEI). To test these alternative scenarios, we used a randomization approach to extract mean family trait scores for the three independent sire-bydam families (i.e. each involving a different sire-dam combination to avoid pseudoreplication) in each block for each water-depth treatment. For each draw of the data, this process generated a sample comprising n=30 independent crosses, from which we calculated the Spearman Rank correlation in mean fitness scores between treatments. We repeated this procedure 1000 times using the software package PopTools (Hood 2011) and calculated the mean and 95% confidence limits for the distribution of correlation coefficients generated by all independent correlations (Evans et al. 2007). To further explore how patterns of nonadditive genetic variation change between treatments, we contrasted the ratios of nonadditive genetic variation (V_{Sire*Dam}) to total phenotypic variation (V_P) between water depth treatments. These latter comparisons enabled us to determine whether the level of expressed non-additive variation (e.g. attributable to dominance and/or epistatic variance and thus the expression of deleterious recessive alleles) is greater under stressful environments.

Fertilization rates and survival data were binomial variables and thus a generalized linear mixed-effects model (GLMM) with a logit-link function was used for the analysis of these traits. The significance of the treatment effect was evaluated using a Wald Z test, and the significance of the random effects was evaluated using log-likelihood ratio tests.

In order to control for some environmental aspects of maternal effects, ovum size and corticosterone concentrations in the yolk were used as covariates in all of our analyses. Because jumping distance was strongly positively correlated with metamorph morphological

traits (snout-vent, thigh, tibia and foot length and head width), we used the first principal component of these traits as a covariate in the analysis. Jumping distance was also correlated with metamorph wet weight. However, since morphological traits and wet weight were highly correlated (P < 0.001, $R^2 > 0.76$), we restricted the covariates to metamorph morphology only. In order to ensure that data complied with assumptions of normality, Q-Q plots of residuals were inspected and, where necessary, data were treated with the following transformations: metamorph wet mass and tadpole tail muscle width were subject to log_{10} transformations, metamorph tibia length data were squared and length of larval period and time between Gosner Stage 42 and 46 data were transformed using the Box-Cox method (Box and Cox 1964). We checked for overdispersion in our GLMM models using the 'overdisp_fun' function proposed by Bolker et al. (2009). Only two traits, metamorph wet mass and time between Gosner Stage 42 and 46, were overdispersed; we added observation level as an extra random factor to account for overdispersion when analyzing these traits (Harrison 2014).

We used untransformed variables to estimate causal components of genetic variation (Garcia-Gonzalez et al. 2012), and data were centred around sample means for each treatment separately to allow comparison of variances across treatments. Additive genetic variance (V_A) was estimated as four times the sire variance component. For non-binomial data, total phenotypic variance (V_P) was calculated by summing the variance components of all random effects in the model. For the binomial fertilization success and survival data, V_P was calculated by summing the variance components of all random effects in the GLMM model and adding this value to an estimate of residual variance, calculated according to Nakagawa & Schielzeth (2010) (residual variance = $\omega^*[\pi 2/3]$). Narrow-sense heritability estimates (h²) were calculated as h² = V_A/V_P for each trait within each treatment group (note that for traits that were measured before treatment was initiated, h² was estimated across the whole sample). We also present CV_A, the coefficient of additive genetic variation, and its square I_A, to provide estimates of 'evolvability' (*sensu* Houle 1992). Unlike heritability, these

measures are standardized by the trait mean and therefore independent of other sources of variance, making the comparison of evolvability among traits and taxa possible (Houle 1992; Hansen et al. 2011; Garcia-Gonzalez et al. 2012). CV_A was calculated as $CV_A = \frac{\sqrt{V_A}}{\overline{X}}$ ($\overline{X} =$ phenotypic mean) and I_A was calculated as $I_A = V_A/\overline{X}^2$ (Garcia-Gonzalez et al. 2012).

RESULTS

Treatment effects

Crinia georgiana tadpoles responded to the low water depth treatment by accelerating their development, significantly reducing the length of their larval period by an average of 3.5 days (9% difference) and significantly reducing metamorphic duration by an average of 3.5 days (20% difference) compared to tadpoles reared at higher water depths (Fig. 3A,B & Table 3). However, survival was reduced slightly (6% difference, P = 0.01) in tadpoles reared in the low water environment (Fig 3C) and tadpoles that accelerated their development were smaller at metamorphosis and had a poorer jumping performance, even when corrected for their smaller body size (Fig. 3D-I & Table 3).

Sources of phenotypic variation – maternal, additive and non-additive genetic effects

As expected, ovum volume explained significant variance in many offspring traits measured in our study (Table 2 & 3), including the length of larval period, metamorphic duration (time between Gosner Stage 42 and 46), size at metamorphosis and tadpole and metamorph morphology (e.g. snout-to-vent length, tibia and thigh lengths tended to be greater in tadpoles from larger eggs). Conversely, ovum volume did not explain variance in fertilization success, time to hatching, survival or jumping performance. Concentrations of maternal steroid hormones in the egg yolk ranged between 4.14 and 250.86 ng corticosterone/mg egg sample for all females (mean = 34.53 ng corticosterone/mg egg sample), but had no significant influence on any offspring trait measured in our study. Despite including ovum size and yolk corticosterone levels as covariates, dam effects were still highly significant for most traits, with the exception of metamorph wet mass, morphology and jumping performance (Table 2 & 3).

Sire-by-dam interactions were significant for all traits except jumping performance (Table 2 & 3), suggesting that non-additive (i.e., epistatic and/or dominance) genetic variance is important in determining the expression of these traits. Non-additive effects explained up to 32% of the phenotypic variance (Table 4). There were no significant sire effects on any of the traits measured (p < 0.05). Accordingly, narrow-sense heritability estimate (h^2) values were low for most traits, with the exception of embryonic and larval survival, where values ranged between 0.22 and 0.36 (Table 4). Some traits appeared to show higher heritability under the low water depth treatment (Table 4), but these differences were not significant, as no sire-by-treatment interactions were significant for any of the traits. Hence heritability values in Table 4 are simply shown for completeness.

Genotype-by-environment interactions

Our analysis revealed significant three-way sire-by-dam-by-treatment interactions for most traits, including the metamorphic duration and the wet mass and morphology of metamorphs (Table 3). The slopes of the reaction norms for the trait 'metamorphic duration', for example, differed between each sire-by-dam combination (= full-sib family), as illustrated in Figure 4. Whilst most families accelerated development at low water depths, there were some families where developmental rate was unaffected, or where offspring took longer to metamorphose under the low water-depth treatment relative to the baseline (positive slopes). Despite some variation in rank order changes of sire-by-dam families across the two water depth treatments (Online Appendix A), we found limited evidence for ecological crossover in traits revealing significant three-way interactions. The independent correlations from the randomization approach (see Materials and Methods) were positive and significant (length of larval period: r mean = 0.64, 95% CL = 0.44/0.82; metamorphic duration: r mean = 0.58, 95% CL = 0.33/0.79; metamorph wet mass: r mean = 0.69, 95% CL = 0.47/0.86; metamorph

morphology: r mean = 0.75, 95% CL = 0.56/0.90; proportion of fertilized eggs surviving to metamorphosis: r mean = 0.81, 95% CL = 0.65/0.924; see Online Appendix B for a visualization of the distributions of correlation coefficients). When we compared the ratio of non-additive genetic variation to total phenotypic variation (i.e. V_{Sire^*Dam}/V_P) between water depth treatments, we found consistently higher levels of non-additive genetic variation in the low water (stressful) environments (see Fig. 5).

Finally, we found no evidence for sire-by-treatment or dam-by-treatment interactions for any of the offspring traits investigated in this study (Tables 3 & 4).

DISCUSSION

Our findings emphasize clear fitness consequences associated with variation in water depth for the larval stage of *C. georgiana*, but also demonstrate that there is non-additive genetic variance underlying traits that are responsive to water depth. Moreover, our analyses reveal that the magnitude of non-additive genetic variation contributing towards the fitness of offspring depends on the environment in which they emerge. Specifically, all traits that revealed evidence for three-way genotype-by-environment interaction (i.e. where the level of non-additive genetic variation differed between treatments) exhibited a higher magnitude of non-additive genetic variation in the stressful (low-water) rearing environment. These results have important evolutionary implications by providing genetic insights into how climatic variables drive life history traits in amphibians. We discuss these key findings in turn below.

Treatment effects

Crinia georgiana tadpoles facing low water depths were able to accelerate their development and metamorphose significantly earlier than tadpoles in the baseline treatment. Whilst this plastic response would allow metamorphs to escape drying pools earlier, our analysis shows that allocating energy towards rapid development comes at a cost, as implied by earlier studies on this species (Doughty and Roberts 2003; Mueller et al. 2012). Specifically, faster developers exhibited slightly reduced larval survival (although survival to metamorphosis was unaffected by the treatment), a reduction in body size and poorer jumping performance compared to their slower-developing counterparts. Importantly, work on other amphibian species has shown that metamorph size and jumping performance are strong predictors of future fitness in the terrestrial environment. For example, reduced jumping distance may lead to increased vulnerability to terrestrial predators (Marsh 1994), while smaller metamorphs can experience increased risks of desiccation (Newman and Dunham 1994) and may be less adept at catching and consuming prey (Cabrera-Guzmán et al. 2013). Furthermore, larger size at metamorphosis may convey physiological advantages, particularly with regards to juvenile aerobic performance (Pough and Kamel 1984; Taigen and Pough 1985). Size at metamorphosis is also linked to reproductive success, with smaller metamorphs taking longer to mature (Smith 1987; Semlitsch et al. 1988), maturing at a smaller body size (Semlitsch et al. 1988; Berven 1990; Altwegg and Reyer 2003) and having reduced fecundity and lower mating success (Howard 1980; Berven 1981).

Non-additive genetic variance

We found significant and strong sire-by-dam interactions in all but one offspring trait, implying the existence of non-additive genetic variation due to dominance or epistatic effects (Lynch and Walsh 1998). Our results therefore suggest that the interaction between male and female haplotypes plays an important role in determining offspring fitness in *C. georgiana*, in line with earlier studies on this species (Dziminski et al. 2008) and other amphibians (Travis et al. 1987; Laurila et al. 2002; Merilä et al. 2004; Eads et al. 2012). However, in our study we also found that the magnitude of non-additive effects was modified by the environment (water depth) in which offspring developed, as evident by the significant sire-by-dam-by-treatment interactions (see also Nystrand et al. 2011; Eads et al. 2012; Lymbery and Evans 2013). Specifically, our analyses revealed consistently higher levels of non-additive genetic variation under stressful (low-water) rearing conditions (Fig. 5). One interpretation of this finding is that individuals harboring deleterious recessive alleles in the

homozygous state will suffer greater fitness costs when they encounter new (stressful) environments. Our supplementary analyses revealing significant positive correlations between treatment groups for the different components of offspring fitness supports this interpretation. Specifically, this latter finding is consistent with the idea that some individuals carry more deleterious alleles than others, irrespective of context (i.e. their rank order for fitness does not change between environments), but that the phenotypic effects of such alleles are stronger under certain (stressful) conditions. A number of studies, for example, have suggested that inbreeding depression is amplified in stressful (or novel) environments (for a review, see; Armbruster and Reed 2005), and thus the sire-by-dam-by treatment effects observed here could be a manifestation of context-dependent inbreeding effects. Accordingly, relatively common environments (in our case the baseline water depth treatment) may act as 'evolutionary capacitors' (see Masel 2013), in that they allow populations to accumulate deleterious (or potentially advantageous) alleles that have limited phenotypic effects. According to this scenario, as environmental conditions change, or when individuals move to new environments, the deleterious and/or beneficial effects of those alleles will be realized, rendering them visible to natural selection (Kim 2007; Trotter et al. 2014). Therefore, phenotypic plasticity in C. georgiana tadpoles in response to changes in water depth may not be adaptive, since the complex sire-by-dam-by-environment interactions may produce phenotypes that differ from the local phenotypic optimum. According to this view, non-adaptive plasticity may facilitate evolutionary responses to new environments by increasing the strength of directional selection (Ghalambor et al. 2015), although this subject is still highly debated (Mallard et al. 2018; Van Gestel and Weissing 2018). The accumulating evidence for sire-by-dam-by-environment interactions reported in crickets (Nystrand et al. 2011), sea urchins (Lymbery and Evans 2013) and frogs (Eads et al. 2012) suggests that such effects may be more common than currently appreciated. Collectively, these studies highlight the importance of estimating levels of genetic variation across multiple contexts in order to better assess the potential for evolutionary responses to environmental change.

Maternal effects

Consistent with previous reports in anurans (Kaplan 1998; Pakkasmaa et al. 2003; Räsänen et al. 2003; Merilä et al. 2004; Dziminski et al. 2008; Eads et al. 2012), maternal effects were strong determinants of most offspring fitness traits we measured. Ovum size in particular was an important source of phenotypic variance in a range of traits considered in our analysis, and we found a significant interaction between ovum volume and treatment in the trait metamorph morphology (Table 3). In accordance with dynamic energy budget (DEB) theory, larger reserves of maternally-derived yolk within an individual ovum will enable offspring to partition more energy into maturation and growth (Mueller et al. 2012), which in C. georgiana leads to higher survival, larger size at metamorphosis and shorter development time (Dziminski and Roberts 2006; Dziminski et al. 2009b). Therefore at low water depths, maternal fitness is increased when fewer but larger ova are produced (Dziminski and Roberts 2006), as offspring can develop plastically when hydroperiods are short. As ovum size is independent of female size in this species (Dziminski and Roberts 2006), this trait has the potential to be selected for (and evolve) separately, suggesting that maternal provisioning could play a key role in *C. georgiana*'s adaptation to climate change (Doughty 2002; Pakkasmaa et al. 2003).

Significant dam effects remained for most traits after accounting for variation in ovum size, which suggests that other non-genetic or genetic maternal effects contribute to offspring phenotypes. Aside from the amount of yolk available to the embryo, yolk composition can also influence offspring quality. In birds and reptiles, for example, differences in the maternal allocation of antioxidants, antibodies and hormone concentrations in the yolk can affect various offspring traits (Schwabl 1996; Royle et al. 2001; Saino et al. 2003). Maternally-derived steroid hormones, such as the glucocorticoid corticosterone, have been linked to offspring phenotypes and quality (Sinervo and DeNardo 1996; McCormick 1998; Seckl 2001; Meylan and Clobert 2005; Saino et al. 2005; Love and Williams 2008) and in amphibians,

corticosterone concentrations in the yolk can influence development rate (Wada 2008; Kulkarni and Buchholz 2012). In the present study, corticosterone concentrations differed substantially between clutches from different females, but had no significant effect on any offspring trait, suggesting that other factors were a significant source of phenotypic variation in developing *C. georgiana*. Hence our results are in alignment with the increasing awareness that ovum size is only a crude proxy for maternal allocation of compounds to the offspring (Giron and Casas 2003; Lock et al. 2007; Geister et al. 2008). Future work examining the role of specific egg components that affect embryonic and larval development would greatly benefit our understanding of the mechanisms underlying non-genetic maternal effects. There is tentative evidence, for example, that differential allocation of free amino acids to eggs may influence offspring fitness in some insect species (Geister et al. 2008; Newcombe et al. 2015).

Conclusions

While our results suggest that *C. georgiana* embryos can respond plastically to drying conditions by accelerating their development, we found no evidence for additive genetic variation underlying the expression of this response, pointing to limited potential for this population to respond genetically to drying conditions. Overall, we show that larval fitness is reduced under low-water (stressful) rearing environments, but our results also highlight how environmental factors can alter patterns of non-additive genetic variation and thus potentially change the way in which deleterious alleles affect individual fitness. Collectively our findings suggest that the consequences of deleterious alleles may only become apparent under certain environmental conditions, which may have important implications for a population's resilience to changing environments. Whilst complex patterns of non-additive variation, and sensitivity to environmental conditions thereof, have been found in laboratory studies of invertebrates (e.g. *Drosophila*), this study is one of only a handful to show evidence for similar complexity in a wild vertebrate population.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank A. Eads, J. Stubbs and C. van der Linden for assistance in the field, and D. Blache and K. Vadhanabhuti for conducting the yolk corticosterone analysis. We also thank Charles Baer, Yannis Michalakis and two anonymous reviewers for comments that considerably improved the manuscript, and Francisco Garcia-Gonzalez for advice on the statistical approaches. This research was supported by funding from the School of Animal Biology at the University of Western Australia, the ANZ Holsworth Wildlife Research Endowment, the Australian Society of Herpetologists and from the Australian Government's National Environmental Science Programme through the Threatened Species Recovery Hub. T. Rudin-Bitterli was supported by the International Postgraduate Research Scholarship and the C.F.H. & E.A. Jenkins Postgraduate Research Scholarship.

APPENDIX

Online Appendix A1. Rank orders for each sire-by-dam combination across the two water depth environments.

Online Appendix A2. Distribution of correlation coefficients of independent sire-by-dam family rank trait scores across two water depth environments.

REFERENCES

Abràmoff, M. D., P. J. Magalhães, and S. J. Ram. 2004. Image processing with ImageJ. Biophotonics International 11:36–41.

Alroy, J. 2015. Current extinction rates of reptiles and amphibians. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 112:13003–13008.

Altwegg, R., and H.-U. Reyer. 2003. Patterns of natural selection on size at metamorphosis in water frogs. Evolution 57:872–882.

Andrich, M. A., and J. Imberger. 2013. The effect of land clearing on rainfall and fresh water resources in Western Australia: a multi-functional sustainability analysis. International

Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology 20:549–563.

Anstis, M. 2013. Tadpoles and frogs of Australia. New Holland Publishers, London.

Armbruster, P., and D. H. Reed. 2005. Inbreeding depression in benign and stressful environments. Heredity 95:235–242.

Bates, B. C., P. Hope, B. Ryan, I. Smith, and S. Charles. 2008. Key findings from the Indian Ocean Climate Initiative and their impact on policy development in Australia. Climatic Change 89:339–354.

Bates, D., M. Mächler, B. M. Bolker, and S. C. Walker. 2015. Fitting linear mixed-effects models using lme4. Journal of Statistical Software 67:1–48.

Berven, K. A. 1981. Mate choice in the wood frog, Rana sylvatica. Evolution 35:707–722.

———. 1990. Factors affecting population fluctuations in larval and adult stages of the wood frog (*Rana sylvatica*). Ecology 71:1599–1608.

Bolker, B. M., M. E. Brooks, C. J. Clark, S. W. Geange, J. R. Poulsen, M. H. H. Stevens, and J.-S. S. White. 2009. Generalized linear mixed models: a practical guide for ecology and evolution. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 24:127–35.

Box, G. E. P., and D. R. Cox. 1964. An analysis of transformations. Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological) 26:211–252.

Buzatto, B. A., J. D. Roberts, and L. W. Simmons. 2015. Sperm competition and the evolution of precopulatory weapons: Increasing male density promotes sperm competition and reduces selection on arm strength in a chorusing frog. Evolution 69:2613–2624.

Buzatto, B. A., E. M. Thyer, J. D. Roberts, and L. W. Simmons. 2017. Sperm competition and the evolution of precopulatory weapons: Testis size and amplexus position, but not arm strength, affect fertilization success in a chorusing frog. Evolution 71:329–341.

Byrne, P. G., and J. D. Roberts. 2000. Does multiple paternity improve fitness of the frog *Crinia georgiana*? Evolution 54:968–973.

Cabrera-Guzmán, E., M. R. Crossland, G. P. Brown, and R. Shine. 2013. Larger body size at metamorphosis enhances survival, growth and performance of young cane toads (*Rhinella marina*). PLoS ONE 8:e70121.

Carey, C., and M. A. Alexander. 2003. Climate change and amphibian declines: Is there a link? Diversity and Distributions 9:111–121.

Catenazzi, A. 2015. State of the world's amphibians. Annual Review of Environment and Resources 40:91–119.

Charmantier, A., and D. Garant. 2005. Environmental quality and evolutionary potential: lessons from wild populations. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 272:1415–1425.

Chown, S. L., A. A. Hoffmann, T. N. Kristensen, M. J. Angilletta, N. Stenseth, and C. Pertoldi. 2010. Adapting to climate change: A perspective from evolutionary physiology. Climate Research 43:3–15.

Comstock, R. E., and H. F. Robinson. 1948. The components of genetic variance in populations of biparental progenies and their use in estimating the average degree of dominance. Biometrics 4:254–266.

Conner, J. K., and D. L. Hartl. 2004. A Primer of Ecological Genetics. BioScience 55:304.

Crispo, E., J. D. Dibattista, C. C. Correa, X. Thibert-Plante, A. E. McKellar, A. K. Schwartz, D. Berner, et al. 2010. The evolution of phenotypic plasticity in response to anthropogenic disturbance. Evolutionary Ecology Research 12:47–66.

CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology. 2015. *Climate change in Australia. Information for Australia's natural resource management regions: technical report.* CSIRO and Bureau of Meteorology, Australia.

CSIRO, and Bureau of Meteorology. 2016. *State of the Climate 2016*. CSIRO Publishing, Collingwood, VIC, Australia.

Davis, M. B., R. G. Shaw, and J. R. Etterson. 2005. Evolutionary responses to changing climate. Ecology 86:1704–1714.

DeWitt, T. J., A. Sih, and D. S. Wilson. 1998. Costs and limits of phenotypic plasticity. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 13:77–81.

Doughty, P. 2002. Coevolution of developmental plasticity and large egg size in *Crinia georgiana* tadpoles. Copeia 2002:928–937.

Doughty, P., and J. D. Roberts. 2003. Plasticity in age and size at metamorphosis of *Crinia georgiana* tadpoles: Responses to variation in food levels and deteriorating conditions during development. Australian Journal of Zoology 51:271–284.

Downie, J. R., R. Bryce, and J. Smith. 2004. Metamorphic duration: An under-studied variable in frog life histories. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 83:261–272.

Dziminski, M. A., and J. D. Roberts. 2006. Fitness consequences of variable maternal provisioning in quacking frogs (*Crinia georgiana*). Journal of Evolutionary Biology 19:144–155.

Dziminski, M. A., J. D. Roberts, M. Beveridge, and L. W. Simmons. 2009*a*. Sperm competitiveness in frogs: slow and steady wins the race. Proceedings: Biological Sciences Proc. R. Soc. B 276:3955–3961.

Dziminski, M. A., J. D. Roberts, and L. W. Simmons. 2008. Fitness consequences of parental compatibility in the frog *Crinia georgiana*. Evolution 62:879–886.

Dziminski, M. A., P. E. Vercoe, and J. D. Roberts. 2009*b*. Variable offspring provisioning and fitness: A direct test in the field. Functional Ecology 23:164–171.

Eads, A. R., N. J. Mitchell, and J. P. Evans. 2012. Patterns of genetic variation in desiccation tolerance in embryos of the terrestrial-breeding frog, *Pseudophryne guentheri*. Evolution 66:2865–2877.

Evans, J. P., F. García-González, and D. J. Marshall. 2007. Sources of genetic and phenotypic variance in fertilization rates and larval traits in a sea urchin. Evolution 61:2832–2838.

Fahrig, L. 2003. Effects of habitat fragmentation on biodiversity. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 34:487–515.

Fry, J. D., S. L. Heinsohn, and T. F. C. Mackay. 1996. The contribution of new mutations to genotype-environment interaction for fitness in *Drosophila melanogaster*. Evolution 50:2316–2327.

Gallant, A. J. E., K. J. Hennessy, and J. S. Risbey. 2007. Trends in rainfall indices for six Australian regions : 1910-2005. Australian Meteorolgical Magazine 56:223–239. Garcia-Gonzalez, F., L. W. Simmons, J. L. Tomkins, J. S. Kotiaho, and J. P. Evans. 2012. Comparing evolvabilities: Common errors surrounding the calculation and use of coefficients of additive genetic variation. Evolution 66:2341–2349.

Geister, T. L., M. W. Lorenz, K. H. Hoffmann, and K. Fischer. 2008. Adult nutrition and butterfly fitness: effects of diet quality on reproductive output, egg composition, and egg hatching success. Frontiers in Zoology 5:10.

Ghalambor, C. K., K. L. Hoke, E. W. Ruell, E. K. Fischer, D. N. Reznick, and K. A. Hughes. 2015. Non-adaptive plasticity potentiates rapid adaptive evolution of gene expression in nature. Nature 525:372–375.

Ghalambor, C. K., J. K. McKay, S. P. Carroll, and D. N. Reznick. 2007. Adaptive versus nonadaptive phenotypic plasticity and the potential for contemporary adaptation in new environments. Functional Ecology 21:394–407.

Gienapp, P., C. Teplitsky, J. S. Alho, J. A. Mills, and J. Merilä. 2008. Climate change and evolution: Disentangling environmental and genetic responses. Molecular Ecology 17:167–178.

Giron, D., and J. Casas. 2003. Mothers reduce egg provisioning with age. Ecology Letters 6:273–277.

Gomez-Mestre, I., S. Kulkarni, and D. R. Buchholz. 2013. Mechanisms and consequences of developmental acceleration in tadpoles responding to pond drying. PLoS ONE 8:e84266.

Hansen, T. F., C. Pélabon, and D. Houle. 2011. Heritability is not evolvability. Evolutionary Biology 38:258–277.

Harrison, X. A. 2014. Using observation-level random effects to model overdispersion in count data in ecology and evolution. PeerJ 2:e616.

Hetem, R. S., A. Fuller, S. K. Maloney, and D. Mitchell. 2014. Responses of large mammals to climate change. Temperature 1:115–127.

Hoffman, A. A., and P. A. Parsons. 1991. Evolutionary genetics and environmental stress. Oxford University Press, Oxford.

Hoffmann, A. A., and J. Merilä. 1999. Heritable variation and evolution under favourable and

unfavourable conditions. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 14:96–101.

Hoffmann, A. A., and C. M. Sgro. 2011. Climate change and evolutionary adaptation. Nature 470:479–485.

Hollander, J., E. Snell-Rood, and S. Foster. 2015. New frontiers in phenotypic plasticity and evolution. Heredity 115:273–275.

Holt, R. D. 1990. The microevolutionary consequences of climate change. Trends in Ecology & Evolution 5:311–315.

Hood, G. M. 2011. PopTools version 3.2.5. http://www.poptools.org.

Houle, D. 1992. Comparing evolvability and variability of quantitative traits. Genetics 130:195–204.

Howard, R. D. 1980. Mating behaviour and mating success in woodfrogs *Rana sylvatica*. Animal Behaviour 28:705–716.

IOCI. 2012. Western Australia's weather and climate: a synthesis of Indian Ocean Climate Initiative stage 3 research: Summary for policymakers. (B. Bates, C. Frederiksen, & J. Wormworth, eds.). Indian Ocean Climate Initiative (IOCI), Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organization (CSIRO) and Bureau of Meteorology (BoM), BoM, Melbourne, VIC, Australia.

Johnson, J. B., D. Saenz, C. K. Adams, and T. J. Hibbitts. 2015. Naturally occurring variation in tadpole morphology and performance linked to predator regime. Ecology and Evolution 5:2991–3002.

Kaplan, R. H. 1998. Maternal effects, developmental plasticity, and life history evolution: an amphibian model. Pages 244–260 *in* T. A. Mousseau and C. W. Fox, eds. Maternal effects as adaptations. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK.

Kiesecker, J. M., a R. Blaustein, and L. K. Belden. 2001. Complex causes of amphibian population declines. Nature 410:681–684.

Kim, Y. 2007. Rate of adaptive peak shifts with partial genetic robustness. Evolution 61:1847–1856.

Kulkarni, S. S., and D. R. Buchholz. 2012. Beyond synergy: Corticosterone and thyroid

hormone have numerous interaction effects on gene regulation in *Xenopus tropicalis tadpoles*. Endocrinology 153:5309–5324.

Laugen, A. T., L. E. B. Kruuk, A. Laurila, K. Räsänen, J. Stone, J. Merilä, K. Rasanen, et al. 2005. Quantitative genetics of larval life-history traits in *Rana temporaria* in different environmental conditions. Genetical research 86:161–170.

Laurila, A., S. Karttunen, and J. Merilä. 2002. Adaptive phenotypic plasticity and genetics of larval life histories in two Rana temporaria populations. Evolution; international journal of organic evolution 56:617–627.

Lock, J. E., P. T. Smiseth, P. J. Moore, and A. J. Moore. 2007. Coadaptation of prenatal and postnatal maternal effects. The American Naturalist 170:709–718.

Love, O. P., and T. D. Williams. 2008. The adaptive value of stress-induced phenotypes: Effects of maternally derived corticosterone on sex-biased investment, cost of reproduction, and maternal fitness. American Naturalist 172:E135–E149.

Lymbery, R. A., and J. P. Evans. 2013. Genetic variation underlies temperature tolerance of embryos in the sea urchin *Heliocidaris erythrogramma armigera*. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 26:2271–2282.

Lynch, M., and B. Walsh. 1998. Genetics and analysis of quantitative traits. Sinauer Associates, Inc., Sunderland, MA.

Main, A. R. 1965. Frogs of southern Western Australia. Western Australia Naturalist's Club, Perth, Western Australia.

Mallard, F., A. M. Jakšić, and C. Schlötterer. 2018. Contesting the evidence for non-adaptive plasticity. Nature 555:E21.

Marsh, R. L. 1994. Jumping ability of anuran amphibians. Adv. Vet. Sci. Comp. Med 38B:51– 111.

Masel, J. 2013. Q&A: Evolutionary capacitance. BMC Biology 11:103.

McCormick, M. I. 1998. Behaviorally induced maternal stress in a fish influences progeny quality by a hormonal mechanism. Ecology 79:1873–1883.

Merilä, J., and A. P. Hendry. 2014. Climate change, adaptation, and phenotypic plasticity:

The problem and the evidence. Evolutionary Applications 7:1–14.

Merilä, J., F. Söderman, R. O'Hara, K. Räsänen, and A. Laurila. 2004. Local adaptation and genetics of acid-stress tolerance in the moor frog, *Rana arvalis*. Conservation Genetics 5:513–527.

Meylan, S., and J. Clobert. 2005. Is corticosterone-mediated phenotype development adaptive? Maternal corticosterone treatment enhances survival in male lizards. Hormones and Behavior 48:44–52.

Milly, P. C. D., K. a Dunne, and a V Vecchia. 2005. Global pattern of trends in streamflow and water availability in a changing climate. Nature 438:347–350.

Moritz, C., and R. Agudo. 2013. The future of species under climate change: resilience or decline? Science (New York, N.Y.) 341:504–8.

Mueller, C. A., S. Augustine, S. A. L. M. Kooijman, M. R. Kearney, and R. S. Seymour. 2012. The trade-off between maturation and growth during accelerated development in frogs. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Molecular and Integrative Physiology 163:95– 102.

Nakagawa, S., and H. Schielzeth. 2010. Repeatability for Gaussian and non-Gaussian data: A practical guide for biologists. Biological Reviews 85:935–956.

Newcombe, D., J. Hunt, C. Mitchell, and A. J. Moore. 2015. Maternal effects and maternal selection arising from variation in allocation of free amino acid to eggs. Ecology and Evolution 5:2397–2410.

Newman, R. A. 1992. Adaptive plasticity in amphibian metamorphosis. BioScience 42:671–678.

Newman, R. A., and A. E. Dunham. 1994. Metamorphosis and water loss in a desert anuran (*Scaphiopus couchii*). Copeia 2:372–381.

Nystrand, M., D. K. Dowling, and L. W. Simmons. 2011. Complex genotype by environment interactions and changing genetic architectures across thermal environments in the Australian field cricket, *Teleogryllus oceanicus*. BMC Evolutionary Biology 11:222.

Pakkasmaa, S., J. Merilä, and R. B. O'Hara. 2003. Genetic and maternal effect influences on

viability of common frog tadpoles under different environmental conditions. Heredity 91:117– 124.

Parmesan, C. 2006. Ecological and evolutionary responses to recent climate change. Annual Review of Ecology Evolution, and Systematics 37:637–669.

Pecl, G. T., M. B. Araújo, J. D. Bell, J. Blanchard, T. C. Bonebrake, I.-C. Chen, T. D. Clark, et al. 2017. Biodiversity redistribution under climate change: Impacts on ecosystems and human well-being. Science 355:eaai9214.

Pough, F. H., and S. Kamel. 1984. Post-metamorphic change in activity metabolism of anurans in relation to life history. Oecologia 65:138–144.

R Development Core Team. 2016. A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing, Version 3.3.1. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria: URL http://www.Rproject.org/.

Räsänen, K., A. Laurila, and J. Merilä. 2003. Geographic variation in acid stress tolerance of the moor frog, *Rana arvalis*. I. Local adaptation. Evolution 57:352–362.

Relyea, R. A. 2002. Costs of phenotypic plasticity. The American Naturalist 159:272–282.

Roberts, J. D., R. J. Standish, P. G. Byrne, and P. Doughty. 1999. Synchronous polyandry and multiple paternity in the frog *Crinia georgiana* (Anura: Myobatrachidae). Animal Behaviour 57:721–726.

Royle, N. J., P. F. Surai, and I. R. Hartley. 2001. Maternally derived androgens and antioxidants in bird eggs: complementary but opposing effects? Behavioral Ecology 12:381–385.

Saino, N., R. Ferrari, M. Romano, R. Martinelli, and A. P. Møller. 2003. Experimental manipulation of egg carotenoids affects immunity of barn swallow nestlings. Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society 270:2485–2489.

Saino, N., M. Romano, R. P. Ferrari, and R. P. Martinelli. 2005. Stressed mothers lay eggs with high corticosterone levels which produce low-quality offspring. Journal of Experimental Zoology Part A: Comparative Experimental Biology 303:998–1006.

Schloss, C. A., T. A. Nuñez, and J. J. Lawler. 2012. Dispersal will limit ability of mammals to

track climate change in the Western Hemisphere. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 109:8606–11.

Schwabl, H. 1996. Maternal testosterone in the avian egg enhances postnatal growth. Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology - A Physiology 114:271–276.

Seckl, J. R. 2001. Glucocorticoid programming of the fetus; adult phenotypes and molecular mechanisms. Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology 185:61–71.

Semlitsch, R. D., D. E. Scott, and H. K. Pechmann. 1988. Time and size at metamorphosis related to adult fitness in *Ambystoma talpoideum*. Ecology.

Seymour, R. S., J. D. Roberts, N. J. Mitchell, and A. J. Blaylock. 2000. Influence of environmental oxygen on development and hatching of aquatic eggs of the Australian frog, *Crinia georgiana*. Physiol. Biochem. Zool. 73:501–507.

Shaw, R. G. 1987. Maximum-likelihood approaches applied to quantitative genetics of natural populations. Evolution 41:812–826.

Sinervo, B., and D. F. DeNardo. 1996. Costs of reproduction in the wild: Path analysis of natural selection and experimental tests of causation. Evolution 50:1299–1313.

Skerratt, L. F., L. Berger, R. Speare, S. Cashins, K. R. McDonald, A. D. Phillott, H. B. Hines, et al. 2007. Spread of chytridiomycosis has caused the rapid global decline and extinction of frogs. EcoHealth 4:125.

Smith, D. C. 1987. Adult recruitment in chorus frogs: effects of size and date at metamorphosis. Ecology 68:344–350.

Smith, I. 2004. An assessment of recent trends in Australian rainfall. Australian Meteorological Magazine 53:163–173.

Smith, I., and S. Power. 2014. Past and future changes to inflows in Perth (Western Australia) dams. Journal of Hydrology: Regional studies 2:84–96.

Taigen, T. L., and F. H. Pough. 1985. Metabolic correlates of anuran behavior. Integrative and Comparative Biology 25:987–997.

Tedeschi, J. N., W. J. Kennington, J. L. Tomkins, O. Berry, S. D. Whiting, M. G. Meekan, and N. J. Mitchell. 2015. Heritable variation in heat shock gene expression: a potential

mechanism for adaptation to thermal stress in embryos of sea turtles. Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences 20152320.

Teplitsky, C., J. A. Mills, J. S. Alho, J. W. Yarrall, and J. Merilä. 2008. Bergmann's rule and climate change revisited: disentangling environmental and genetic responses in a wild bird population. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America 105:13492–13496.

Teplitsky, C., S. Plenet, J. P. Léna, N. Mermet, E. Malet, and P. Joly. 2005. Escape behaviour and ultimate causes of specific induced defences in an anuran tadpole. Journal of Evolutionary Biology 18:180–190.

Thomas, C. D. 2010. Climate, climate change and range boundaries. Diversity and Distributions 16:488–495.

Travis, J., S. B. Emerson, and M. Blouin. 1987. A quantitative-genetic analysis of larval lifehistory traits in *Hyla crucifer*. Evolution 41114:145–156.

Trotter, M. V., D. B. Weissman, G. I. Peterson, K. M. Peck, and J. Masel. 2014. Cryptic genetic variation can make "irreducible complexity" a common mode of adaptation in sexual populations. Evolution 68:3357–3367.

Urban, M. C., J. L. Richardson, and N. A. Freidenfelds. 2014. Plasticity and genetic adaptation mediate amphibian and reptile responses to climate change. Evolutionary Applications 7:88–103.

Van Buskirk, J., and S. A. McCollum. 2000. Influence of tail shape on tadpole swimming performance. Journal of Experimental Biology 203:2149–2158.

Van Gestel, J., and F. J. Weissing. 2018. Is plasticity caused by single genes? Nature 555:E19.

Visser, M. E. 2008. Keeping up with a warming world; assessing the rate of adaptation to climate change. Proceedings. Biological sciences / The Royal Society 275:649–659.

Visser, M. E., L. J. M. Holleman, and P. Gienapp. 2006. Shifts in caterpillar biomass phenology due to climate change and its impact on the breeding biology of an insectivorous bird. Oecologia 147:164–172.

Wada, H. 2008. Glucocorticoids: Mediators of vertebrate ontogenetic transitions. General and Comparative Endocrinology 156:441–453.

Wake, D. B. 2012. Facing Extinction in Real Time. Science 335:1052–1053.

Walls, S. C., W. J. Barichivich, and M. E. Brown. 2013. Drought, deluge and declines: the impact of precipitation extremes on amphibians in a changing climate. Biology 2:399–418.

Watkins, T. B. 1996. Predator-mediated selection on burst swimming performance in tadpoles of the Pacific tree frog, *Pseudacris regilla*. Physiological Zoology 69:154–167.

West-Eberhard, M. J. 2003. Developmental plasticity and evolution. Nature (Vol. 424). Oxford University Press, New York, NY.

Whitehead, P. J., J. T. Puckridge, C. M. Leigh, and R. S. Seymour. 1989. Effect of temperature on jump performance of the frog *Limnodynastes tasmaniensis*. Physiological Zoology 62:937–949.

Williamson, I., and C. M. Bull. 1989. Life history variation in a population of the Australian frog Ranidella signifera: egg size and early development. Copeia 2:349–356.

Wilson, R. S., P. G. Kraft, and R. Van Damme. 2005. Predator-specific changes in the morphology and swimming performance of larval *Rana lessonae*. Functional Ecology 19:238–244.

Zug, G. R. 1978. Anuran locomotion – structure and function, 2: jumping performance of semiaquatic, terrestrial, and arboreal frogs. Smithsonian Contributions to Zoology 276:1–31.

FIGURES



Figure 1. Experimental North Carolina II block-breeding design (Lynch and Walsh 1998). In each block, the eggs of three females were fertilized with sperm from three males in all nine combinations. 10 such blocks were created, thus yielding 90 *Crinia georgiana* families.



Figure 2. Morphological measurements taken for *Crinia georgiana* A) hatchlings and B) metamorphs. Tadpole labels: TTL - total tadpole length, TL - tail length, BL - body length,
BW - body width, TMW - width of tail muscle. Metamorph labels: SVL – snout-vent length,
HW - head width, THL - thigh length, TL - tibia length, FL - foot length.



Figure 3. Reaction norms for various *Crinia georgiana* fitness traits. (A) Length of larval period, (B) metamorphic duration, (C) larval survival (proportion of fertilized eggs reaching Gosner Stage 42), (D) metamorph jumping distance (average of 5 jumps), (E) wet weight at metamorphosis, (F-I) metamorph morphology. Each line represents the mean score for each sire family (n=30 sires). Please note that any crossings of reaction norms between sires are not significant. The thick line represents mean scores within each treatment across all sires.



Figure 4. Reaction norms for selected *Crinia georgiana* fitness traits, illustrating mean trait values for each Sire x Dam combination across the two water depth environments. The thick black line represents mean scores within each treatment across all families.



Figure 5. The relative magnitude of non-additive genetic variation (ratio of the variance components for non-additive genetic variation to total phenotypic variation; V_{Sire^*Dam}/V_P) in baseline and low-water depth treatments.

Morphology, PC	Eigenvalue	Vai	riance (%)	Cumulative	variance (%)		
Tadpole:							
1	3.3942		67.9	67	67.9		
2	.8447		16.9	84	84.8		
3	.6110		12.2	97	97.0		
4	.1501		3.0	1	100		
Metamorph:							
1	3.9490		79.0		79.0		
2	.4199		8.4	87	87.4		
3	.3258		6.5	93	93.9		
4	.1795		3.6	97	97.5		
5	.1258		2.5	1	100		
		Factor loadings					
Morphology, variable	PC1	PC2	PC3	PC4	PC5		
Tadpole:							
Total length	.523	172	.262	179	772		
Body length	.510	.134	.016	.829	.188		
Tail length	.507	178	.328	485	.607		
Body width	.250	.959	.132	001	0		
Tail muscle width	.384	.023	898	215	0		
Metamorph:							
Snout-vent length	.455	.400	.088	790	037		
Thigh length	.460	120	555	.110	.674		
Tibia length	.464	210	429	.148	731		
Foot length	.418	672	.602	037	.098		
Head width	.437	.575	.371	.584	.013		

Table 1: Principal component (PC) analysis on five tadpole and five metamorph morphological traits

Note: Eigenvalues (top) and factor loadings (bottom) are presented for each principal component.

Table 2: Mixed effects model results of Crinia georgiana traits measured after water depth treatments were initiated								
Trait, variances	Ν	Mean ± SD	χ ²	Р				
Length of larval period (days)	1,373	35.25 ± 4.82						
Treatment			102.04	<.001				
Ovum volume			8.44	.004				
Ovum volume × treatment			2.10	.15				
Yolk corticosterone			.25	.61				
Sire			0	1				
Dam			7.10	.008				
Sire × dam			12.55	<.001				
Sire × treatment			0	1				
Dam × treatment			3.34	.07				
Sire × dam × treatment			38.15	<.001				
Block			.09	.76				
Metamorphic duration (days)	1,074	15.35 ± 4.25						
Treatment			112,48	<.001				
Ovum volume			12,12	<.001				
Ovum volume × treatment			1.02	.31				
Yolk corticosterone			2.46	.12				
Sire			2.36	.12				
Dam			6.24	.01				
Sire × dam			5.09	.02				
Sire × treatment			.09	.76				
Dam × treatment			1.59	.21				
Sire × dam × treatment			6.20	.01				
Block			0	1				
Metamorph wet mass (mg)	1,059	16.48 ± 4.51						
Treatment			204.43	<.001				
Ovum volume			38.68	<.001				
Ovum volume × treatment			1.40	.24				
Yolk corticosterone			1.11	.29				
Sire			0	1				
Dam			2.63	.11				
Sire × dam			8.70	.003				
Sire × treatment			0	1				
Dam × treatment			0	1				
Sire × dam × treatment			5.79	.02				
Block			.33	.56				
Metamorph morphology (residuals of the first principal								
component of all five morphological traits measured)	1,058							
Treatment			354,54	<.001				
Ovum volume			35.84	<.001				
Ovum volume × treatment			5.25	.02				
Yolk corticosterone			.98	.32				
Sire			0	1				
Dam			.95	.33				
Sire × dam			13.76	<.001				
Sire × treatment			0	1				
Dam × treatment			0	1				
Sire × dam × treatment			5.04	.05				
Block			1.96	.16				
Metamorph jumping performance (cm)	908	4.73 ± 1.47						
Treatment			53.23	<.001				
Ovum volume			.08	.78				
Yolk corticosterone			.05	.82				
PCA of metamorph morphology			208.16	<.001				

Table 2	(Continued)
---------	-------------

Trait, variances	Ν	Mean \pm SD	χ ²	Р
Sire			.34	.56
Dam			2,52	.11
Sire × dam			.15	.70
Sire × treatment			.09	.76
Dam × treatment			.06	.81
Sire × dam × treatment			1.34	.25
Block			.11	.74
Proportion of fertilized eggs reaching Gosner stage 42	1,373	.72		
Treatment			6.60	.01
Ovum volume			1.77	.18
Yolk corticosterone			.03	.86
Sire			3.47	.06
Dam			11.48	<.001
Sire × dam			27.47	<.001
Sire × treatment			1.64	.20
Dam × treatment			0	1
Sire × dam × treatment			0	1
Block			.37	.54
Proportion of fertilized eggs completing metamorphosis	1,059	.55		
Treatment			.58	.45
Ovum volume			3.02	.08
Yolk corticosterone			.01	.91
Sire			3.03	.08
Dam			10.43	.001
Sire × dam			15.00	<.001
Sire × treatment			0	1
Dam × treatment			0	1
Sire × dam × treatment			6.58	.01
Block			.18	.67

Note: Sample sizes (N), trait means, and standard deviations are presented for each trait, and χ^2 values (and associated P values) are presented for each model. Significant results are highlighted in boldface. Ovum volume and yolk corticosterone concentrations were added as covariates to each model.

Trait, variances	Ν	Mean \pm SD	χ^2	Р
Proportion of eggs fertilized	2,067	.93		
Ovum volume			1.08	.30
Yolk corticosterone			1.61	.20
Sire			.05	.82
Dam			12.36	<.001
Sire × dam			5.01	.03
Block			1.30	.26
Proportion of fertilized eggs hatching	1,913	.80		
Ovum volume			.17	.68
Yolk corticosterone			.01	.91
Sire			.91	.34
Dam			11.75	<.001
Sire × dam			67.21	<.001
Block			.16	.69
Time to hatching (days)	1,536	14.06 ± 1.35		
Ovum volume			.003	.96
Yolk corticosterone			.30	.58
Sire			.68	.41
Dam			7.84	.005
Sire × dam			64.12	<.001
Block			3.20	.07
Tadpole morphology (residuals of the first				
principal component of all five measured traits)	1,536			
Ovum volume			12.29	<.001
Yolk corticosterone			.01	.91
Sire			0	1
Dam			31.77	<.001
Sire × dam			71.48	<.001
Block			8.34	.004

Table 3: Mixed effects model results of Crinia georgiana traits measured before treatment was initiated

Note: Sample sizes (*N*), trait means, and standard deviations are presented for each trait, and χ^2 and associated *P* values are presented for each model. Significant results are highlighted in boldface. Ovum volume and yolk corticosterone concentrations were added as covariates to each model.

Variable, traits	Treat	N	Mean (SD)	$V_{\rm sire}~({\rm SE})$	$V_{\rm dam}$ (SE)	$V_{\rm sire imes dam}$ (SE)	$V_{\rm block}$ (SE)	$V_{\rm res}$ (SE)	$V_{\rm P}$	$V_{\rm A}$	h^2	CV_{A}	$I_{\rm A}$
Survival:													
Proportion of eggs fertilized	NA	2,067	.93	.06 (.01)	1.65 (.03)	.53 (.02)	.81 (.02)	1.33 (.03)	4.38	.24	.05	.53	.28
Proportion of fertilized eggs													
hatching	NA	1,913	.80	.54 (.02)	3.43 (.04)	2.50 (.04)	.52 (.02)	2.68 (.02)	9.67	2.16	.22	1.84	3.38
Proportion of fertilized eggs	Baseline	1,373	.75	.62 (.02)	2.14 (.04)	1.77 (.04)	1.06 (.03)	2.86 (.02)	8.45	2.48	.29	2.10	4.41
reaching Gosner stage 42	Low	1,373	.69	.70 (.02)	1.17 (.03)	.73 (.02)	.41 (.02)	4.68 (.04)	7.69	2.80	.36	2.43	5.89
Proportion of fertilized eggs	Baseline	1,059	.54	.14 (.01)	1.12 (.03)	.96 (.03)	.28 (.02)	4.88 (.05)	7.38	.56	.08	1.39	1.92
completing metamorphosis	Low	1,059	.56	.81 (.03)	1.96 (.04)	1.56 (.04)	<.00 (~0)	4.47 (.04)	8.80	3.24	.37	3.21	10.33
Development rate: ^a													
Time to hatching (days)	NA	1,536	14.06 (1.35)	.21 (.20)	6.29 (1.11)	.94 (.06)	.03 (.01)	4.43 (.71)	11.9	.84	.07	.03	.0009
Length of larval period (days)	Baseline	695	36.95 (4.41)	.50 (.84)	4.04 (2.41)	2.60 (.93)	1.84 (1.63)	5.57 (2.83)	14.55	2	.14	.04	.002
	Low	678	33.52 (4.61)	<.00 (~0)	4.34 (2.53)	5.38 (.81)	.68 (1.00)	6.38 (3.07)	16.78	~0	~0	0	0
Metamorphic duration (days)	Baseline	528	17.15 (3.91)	.14 (.44)	1.04 (.03)	.56 (.002)	.43 (.02)	1.75 (.14)	3.92	.56	.14	.04	.002
	Low	546	13.62 (3.82)	.42 (.84)	.96 (.12)	.55 (.07)	.04 (.03)	1.61 (.16)	3.58	1.68	.47	.10	.009
Tadpole morphology:*													
Total length (cm)	NA	1,536	1.21 (.15)	<.00 (~0)	3.43 (.59)	.92 (.12)	6.14 (.78)	4.86 (.69)	15.35	~0	~0	0	0
Body length (cm)	NA	1,536	.37 (.04)	<.00 (~0)	1.80 (.42)	.27 (.09)	3.89 (.62)	2.96 (.54)	8.92	~ 0	~0	0	0
Tail length (cm)	NA	1,536	.84 (.12)	<.00 (~0)	4.59 (.67)	1.39 (.37)	7.21 (.85)	7.07 (.71)	20.26	~ 0	~ 0	~0	~0
Body width (cm)	NA	1,536	.22 (.04)	<.00 (~0)	2.93 (.29)	<.00 (~0)	2.40 (.24)	30.41 (1.74)	35.74	~0	~0	0	0
Tail muscle width (cm)	NA	1,536	.09 (.01)	.18 (.13)	2.49 (.50)	.43 (.21)	6.91 (.83)	12.46 (1.16)	22.47	.72	.03	2.22	4.94
Metamorph fitness:*													
Metamorph wet mass (mg)	Baseline	518	18.31 (4.45)	<.00 (~0)	7.90 (4.22)	10.18 (2.54)	<.00 (~0)	43.64 (19.60)	61.72	~0	~0	~0	~0
	Low	541	14.74 (3.82)	<.00 (~0)	2.16 (2.80)	17.58 (2.89)	3.07 (1.34)	38.87 (10.66)	61.68	~0	~0	0	0
Jumping distance (cm)	Baseline	431	5.51 (1.31)	2.38 (1.35)	10.12 (4.84)	16.61 (6.21)	<.00 (~0)	139.52 (37.35)	168.63	9.52	.06	.05	.003
	Low	477	4.02 (1.22)	<.00 (~0)	4.42 (3.04)	23.74 (7.05)	<.00 (~0)	110.37 (33.22)	138.53	~0	~0	.07	.005
Metamorph morphology: ^a													
Residuals of the first PC of all	Baseline	517		.02 (.01)	.14 (.09)	.07 (.02)	.07 (.05)	.95 (.34)	1.25	.08			
five morphological traits	Low	541		.02 (.01)	<.00 (~0)	.07 (.02)	<.00 (~0)	.79 (.29)	.88	.08		•••	

Table 4: Patterns of genetic variation for each of the Crinia georgiana fitness traits measured

Note: Data are presented for each water depth treatment separately where possible. Traits that were measured before treatment was initiated are indicated NA (not applicable). Sample size and trait mean (\pm SD) are presented for each trait. Variance components for sires (V_{sire}), dams (V_{dam}), their interaction ($V_{sireedam}$), block (V_{block}), and residual variance (V_{res}) were obtained from the mixed effects models. For most traits, total phenotypic variance (V_p) was calculated by summing the variance components of all random effects in the model. For fertilization success and survival data, V_p was calculated by summing the variance components of all random effects in the generalized linear mixed effects model and adding this value to an estimate of residual variance. See "Material and Methods" for further information. Heritability values are reported for completeness.

^a Data were centered around sample means for each treatment separately before calculating variances, and all values are ×10³.