This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Stojanovic, D., Neeman, T., Crates, R., Troy, S. and Heinsohn, R. (2020) Short-term impacts of prescribed burning on Orangebellied Parrot

(*Neophema chrysogaster*) food plant abundance. *Ecological Management & Restoration*, Vol. 21, Iss. 3, Pp 211-217; which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/ emr.12421.

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

1 Short-term impacts of prescribed burning on Orange-bellied Parrot (Neophema

2 *chrysogaster)* food plant abundance.

3 Summary

4 Fire has important implications for the availability of suitable types of habitat for animals. 5 Different species vary in their responses to fire, and quantifying the responses of key habitat 6 attributes may facilitate manipulation of fire regimes to improve conditions for species of 7 conservation concern. The Orange-bellied Parrot Neophema chrysogaster prefers recently 8 burned habitat for foraging when breeding but knowledge of how fire affects this species 9 and its habitat is limited. We implemented a two-year before-after-control-impact (BACI) 10 study to quantify short-term impacts of fire on food plants and habitat features. Relative to control sites, the four food plants we monitored in the treatment area responded differently 11 12 to fire: one did not recover, two reached pre-burn abundance after 20 months and another 13 recovered by one year after fire, and by 20 months was more common in treatment sites. 14 Relative to controls, the proportion of bare earth at treatment sites increased after fire then 15 gradually declined, while mean vegetation height at treatment plots declined after fire then gradually increased. Twenty months after fire, parrots foraged on abundant regeneration of 16 the Dwarf Everlasting (Helichrysum pumilum) and fed the seeds of this species to their 17 nestlings. Fire alters the availability of key resources needed by breeding Orange-bellied 18 19 Parrots, and ongoing manipulation of fire regimes may relieve limitation of natural foods for 20 this species.

21 Key Words

22 Fire, Actinotus bellidioides, Boronia citriodora, Eurychorda complanata, Helichrysum
23 pumilum,

24 Introduction

25 Fire is a major driver of vegetation community composition and structure. In fire-prone 26 landscapes, variation in plant responses to fire can have important implications for the availability of different types of habitat for animals. Vegetation communities can rapidly 27 28 transition to different states if burning regimes are inappropriate (Holz, et al. 2015). Many 29 animals are specialized for particular habitat attributes that vary with fire histories (Baker, et al. 2010;Kelly, et al. 2017;Legge, et al. 2015). Manipulation of fire regimes to improve 30 31 conditions for species of conservation concern is a crucial management action in flammable ecosystems (Legge, et al. 2011). However, successful management of fire for conservation 32 purposes depends on good knowledge of how available habitats change under different 33 34 burning regimes. If fire is manipulated to maximize the availability of crucial resources, 35 populations limited by fire-sensitive resources can recover (Legge, et al. 2015). Quantifying how ecosystems change with fire management, and identifying the impacts of these 36 37 changes on species of conservation concern, is crucial to improving biodiversity conservation with fire management (Bowman, et al. 2016; Bradstock, et al. 2005). 38 Orange-bellied Parrot (Neophema chrysogaster) may be the world's rarest parrot and breed 39 40 in fire-prone Button Grass (*Gymnoschoenus sphaerocephalus*) moorlands in south western Tasmania (Brown and Wilson 1980). Moorland vegetation communities are shaped by fire 41 42 (Marsden-Smedley and Kirkpatrick 2000), but fire regimes changed drastically following 43 European occupation of the area (Marsden-Smedley 1998). The Orange-bellied Parrot has 44 dietary preferences for early successional plants that are believed to be most abundant 45 within eight years of fire in moorlands (Brown and Wilson 1980). Consequently, fire management plans aim to create mosaics of different fire ages (Marsden-Smedley 1993), 46

but implementation of these plans has been limited (Stojanovic, et al. 2017). Furthermore, 47 information about how the preferred food plants of the Orange-bellied Parrot respond to 48 fire, or whether burning directly improves parrot demographic rates is mostly anecdotal. 49 Addressing these knowledge gaps is an urgent conservation priority given the potential 50 51 impact of breeding season food availability on population decline of this species 52 (Department of Environment 2016) and the shortage of natural foods at the last wild 53 breeding location (Stojanovic, et al. 2017). 54 In April 2018 the Tasmanian National Parks and Wildlife Service (NPWS) and the Tasmanian Department of Primary Industries, Parks, Water and Environment implemented two 55 planned burns in potential foraging habitat of the Orange-bellied Parrot. We used this 56 57 opportunity to implement a before-after-control-impact study that aimed to quantify short-58 term temporal changes in abundance of four key Orange-bellied Parrot food plants in 59 response to the fire.

60 Methods

61 Study species and area

The last known breeding population of Orange-bellied Parrots is at Melaleuca, southwestern Tasmania, Australia (Lat: 43°25'16.54", Long: 146° 9'44.14"). Orange-bellied Parrots have been managed there since 1979, with a focus on providing nest boxes, supplementary food and monitoring of survival by volunteers (Department of Environment 2016). Ad libitum delivery of supplementary food throughout the breeding season provides the main diet of nestlings at the study site in contemporary times (Department of Environment 2016).

68 Our study area focused on two discrete burn units: 1) Melaleuca Airstrip South, a 19 ha area south of the Melaleuca airstrip (hereafter: Melaleuca), and 2) Cox Bluff, a 548 ha area from 69 70 Cox Bight beach, encompassing Cox Bluff, to the New Harbor Track intersection (hereafter: 71 Cox Bight). Within burn units, sites were 3 m diameter search areas spaced > 50 m apart, 72 scattered randomly in accessible habitat. The Cox Bight sites followed the South Coast Track (which formed the eastern edge of the burn footprint) and were placed in moorland habitat 73 74 on flats or gentle hill slopes with a north-easterly aspect. Sites were spaced ~ 4 m from the 75 track on both the eastern side (unburned) and the western side (burned). At Melaleuca the 76 topography was flat and sites were spaced throughout the burn area and in unburned 77 habitat within 300m of the burn edge. The nearest sites between the Melaleuca airstrip and Cox Bight were 5 km apart. All known extant Orange-bellied Parrots nest within 2 km of 78 79 Melaleuca, so it is unlikely that any parrots nested close enough to Cox Bight to exploit it as 80 potential foraging habitat. Based on site assessments in the aftermath of the fire, we 81 switched sites that inadvertently burned to the treatment group and vice versa. The final 82 sample size at Melaleuca was 20 treatment and 19 control sites, and at Cox Bight there were 83 20 treatment and 18 control sites (77 total, comprising 40 treatment and 37 control). At Melaleuca the sites were spread over areas of approximately 3 km² and approximately 4 84 km² at Cox Bight. 85

86 Plant data

We recorded the abundance of four Orange-bellied Parrot food plants (Brown and Wilson
1980;Stojanovic, *et al.* 2017): Tiny Flannel-flower (*Actinotus bellidioides*), Lemon-scented
Borinia (*Boronia citriodora*), Flat Cord-rush (*Eurychorda complanata*) and Dwarf Everlasting
(*Helichrysum pumilum*). We scored their site level abundance categorically: 0 – absent, 1 –
one to five plants, 2 – five to 20 plants, 3 – > 20 plants. We estimated the proportion of bare

92 earth (i.e. no vegetation) cover and mean vegetation height (cm) at each site. We also
93 recorded whether woody shrubs were present at sites, as these may suppress food plant
94 regeneration (Stojanovic, *et al.* 2017). We surveyed sites five times: the day before they
95 were burned (hereafter 'before'), and after fire at intervals of one week, eight, 12 and 20
96 months.

97 In 2019, we opportunistically investigated the crop contents of four nestling Orange-bellied Parrots from three broods to identify whether provisioning adults ate natural foods. We did 98 99 not use invasive crop sampling to minimise the impacts of our study. Instead, we inspected 100 crops via the dorsal side of the neck where the very thin transparent crop walls make the crop contents clearly visible (Figure 1). We used electronic callipers to measure the length 101 and width of the subset of seeds clearly visible through the crop walls of two nestlings, 102 103 focussing specifically on seeds that looked different to those offered as supplementary food (the grain sizes of supplementary food are much larger than natural foods). We also 104 105 collected Dwarf Everlasting seed at the study area (after observations of adult parrots 106 feeding on them – Supplementary Materials, video) and compared the length and breadth of these seeds to those measured through the crop walls of nestlings. 107

108 Analytical approach

All analyses were conducted in R (R Development Core Team 2020), and we compared
competing models using differences in Akaike Information Criterion (ΔAIC <2) (Burnham and
Anderson 2002).

We used ordinal logistic regression to model the categorical abundance scores of each plant
species. We used the abundance categories as the response variables, and fitted models
with the following fixed effects: treatment, time, treatment × time, location (i.e. Melaleuca

or Cox Bight) and whether the site supported woody shrubs in the before period (yes/no).
We implemented ordinal logistic regression using the *polr* function in the package MASS
(Venables and Ripley 2002). We used generalised linear models to evaluate the impacts of
the same fixed effects on the proportion of bare earth in each site (using a binomial error
distribution). We used linear models to fit the same fixed effects to the estimated mean
height of vegetation in sites (which we log transformed to fit a normal distribution).

121 Results

122 Based on Δ AIC we found strong support for an effect of the interaction between treatment × time on all four plant species, as well as bare earth and vegetation height at sites (Table 1). 123 124 All plant species were comparably abundant at both treatment and control sites before fire. Immediately after fire, there was no above-ground living vegetation at burned sites, but 125 vegetation was unchanged at controls. Plant species differed in their recovery after the fire. 126 127 Abundance of the Tiny Flannel-flower gradually increased over time, peaking at 12 months 128 after fire, and declining to abundances comparable to control sites by 24 months (Figure 129 2a). We only observed flowering of Tiny Flannel-flowers in the second year of the study and only at burned sites. Abundance of the Lemon-scented Boronia was generally low but 130 increased over the course of the study slightly more in treatment than control sites (Figure 131 2b). Flowering of Lemon-scented Boronias was recorded throughout the study in control 132 133 sites, but only at 20 months in treatment sites. Boronia flowers are distinctive, making 134 flowering plants easier to observe. It is possible that we underestimated Lemon-scented 135 Boronia abundance in shrubby control sites when they were not flowering. Although common in control sites, the Flat Cord-rush did not recover in the treatment area during the 136 study (Figure 2c), but flowering and seeding was observed over the whole study period in 137

control sites. At treatment sites Dwarf Everlasting abundance reached levels comparable to
controls after only eight months, but by 24 months the chance of recording more than
twenty plants per site was substantially higher than at controls (Figure 2d). Flowering of
Dwarf Everlastings occurred sporadically throughout the study at control sites, but at
treatment sites flowering did not occur until 20 months, when flowering was very abundant
in the burned area.

The proportion of bare earth at sites was similar in treatment and controls before fire. This
remained constant in controls, but after fire the proportion of bare earth peaked in
treatment sites at one week and then declined over time (Figure 3a). Likewise, vegetation
height was similar between treatment and control sites, but declined steeply after the fire in
treatment sites, and then partially recovered over time (Figure 3b).

149 Seeds collected from Dwarf Everlastings growing at Melaleuca were mean 1.73mm long and 150 0.76 mm wide (n = 15 seeds). We measured eight intact seeds in the crops of two nestling 151 that were visible enough to confirm they were not fragments of other food particles in crops, and these were mean 1.54mm long and 0.85 mm wide. Compared to known seeds of 152 153 Dwarf Everlastings, we found no difference in the length (Welch two sample t-test: p = 0.07) or width (Welch two sample t-test: p = 0.20) of seeds between the crops of nestlings and 154 155 those we collected from Dwarf Everlastings, and the colours of both seeds were the same. 156 Furthermore, the seeds of Dwarf Everlastings have a characteristic plume of hairs on one 157 end (an adaptation for wind dispersal) and these were visible on some seeds in the crops of nestlings we handled. We also observed adult Orange-bellied Parrots foraging on this 158 159 abundant food source in the Melaleuca burn area throughout the breeding season 160 (Supplementary Materials: video).

161 **Discussion**

162 Managing fire for conservation is an important aspect of population recovery for species limited by fire-sensitive habitat attributes. Fire had important consequences for food 163 availability for Orange-bellied Parrots. Fire altered plant composition, abundance and 164 habitat structural attributes of burned sites. The four plants we studied had very different 165 responses to fire. There were comparable abundances of all four food plants in both control 166 and treatment sites in the 'before' period. Of the four species, the Flat Cord-rush was most 167 168 sensitive to fire and disappeared from treatment sites for the remainder of the study period. 169 Both Tiny Flannel-flowers and Lemon-scented Boronias temporarily disappeared from treatmement sites but recovered within 24 months. Dwarf Everlastings recovered the most 170 171 rapidly from fire, and by 20 months were more abundant in treatment than control sites. Observations of adult Orange-bellied Parrots foraging on seeds of Dwarf Everlastings and of 172 those seeds in the crops of nestlings indicates that at least to some extent, this abundant 173 174 new food source was recognised and exploited by adult parrots provisioning their young. 175 The last fire at the study area was in 2011 (<u>https://maps.thelist.tas.gov.au/listmap/app/list/map</u>), and due to their short life span, most 176 177 living Orange-bellied Parrots are unlikely to have experienced foraging in recently burned habitat when breeding. Our results demonstrate that the species still retains enough 178 behavioural plasticity to identify natural food resources in the wild and incorporate these 179

into their diets.

181

In addition to altering the abundance of food plants, fire changed the structure of habitat in
the treatment area. The proportion of bare earth increased and the height of vegetation
decreased in treatment sites relative to controls, but these attributes began to recover over
time. Structural attributes of habitat may be of importance for foraging efficiency of

Orange-bellied Parrots, which are mostly ground feeders both in the breeding range (Brown 186 and Wilson 1980) and elsewhere (Loyn, et al. 1986). By reducing clutter and increasing 187 accessibility of small regenerating plants, fire may facilitate efficient natural foraging 188 behaviours. Furthermore, the impacts of fire on plant growth forms may also improve 189 190 resource availability. For example, Lemon-scented Boronia forms a small shrub > 1 m height 191 in long unburned habitats (Brown and Wilson 1980) but within 20 months of fire, this 192 species regenerated at treatment sites as small ~ 12 cm tall plants that flowered and seeded 193 even at this reduced size. If foraging on the ground is more efficient for Orange-bellied Parrots than clambering through shrubs, small, regenerating shrubs in burned areas may be 194 more accessible than larger plants in dense shrubby habitats (Brown and Wilson 1980). 195 196 Investigating how these structural attributes of burned habitat affect foraging behaviour of 197 Orange-bellied Parrots may be challenging in contemporary times given their reliance on 198 supplementary feeding and small population size. Although supplementary feeding is a 199 central component of the contemporary recovery program for Orange-bellied Parrots (Department of Environment 2016), supplementary food can heighten risk of disease 200 201 transmission (Galbraith, et al. 2014; Tollington, et al. 2015) and predation (Hanmer, et al. 2017). Long-term recovery of Orange-bellied Parrots will depend on whether they learn to 202 exploit natural food sources in areas where supplementary food is not available 203 204 (Department of Environment 2016). Our results are encouraging because Orange-bellied Parrots recognised the burned area as a foraging resource 20 months after fire, and this 205 behavioural plasticity might facilitate further investigation of habitat selection and 206 preference in moorlands with differing fire histories. 207

208

Our study only considers the short term impact of fire at two sites on a limited range of 209 210 Orange-bellied Parrot food plants. Even within our limited sample we demonstrate that different plants had divergent responses to the same stimulus, and further evaluation of the 211 recovery of other food plants at more locations will improve information about how food 212 availability might be enhanced for Orange-bellied Parrots. Altered fire regimes have 213 214 dramatically affected the vegetation of south western Tasmania (Marsden-Smedley and 215 Kirkpatrick 2000) and fire is recognised as an important conservation priority for land 216 management in the Tasmanian Wilderness World Heritage Area (DPIPWE 2015). Our results provide the first quantitative evidence for an increase in Orange-bellied Parrot food plants 217 following planned burns, providing a starting point for evidence-based evaluation of this 218 219 management action. Land managers should continue to implement planned burns in the immediate Orange-bellied Parrot breeding area (i.e. the Melaleuca valley) to provide 220 221 foraging habitat and reduce the risk of large-scale wildfire. Continued long-term monitoring 222 at existing sites, as well as the creation of new sites in future burn units can be used to 223 provide information to determine the optimal fire regime and spatial configuration of 224 burning to provide foraging habitat for the Orange-bellied Parrot. Given the importance of cool, patchy fire mosaics in maintaining biodiversity in buttongrass moorlands (Marsden-225 Smedley and Kirkpatrick 2000) it is unsurprising that altered fire regimes are a threat to the 226 227 Orange-bellied Parrot (Department of Environment 2016). Furthermore, our results suggest that in the immediate aftermath of fire, food may be very scarce for Orange-bellied Parrots. 228 Preventing large-scale wildfire is thus an important conservation priority for these birds, and 229 in such an event, supplementary feeding may be essential to overcome food shortages. By 230 231 improving understanding of how different components of vegetation communities respond, 232 manipulation of fire regimes can be optimised to relieve habitat limitation for focal species

- 233 of conservation concern (Legge, et al. 2011). Our study demonstrates that this approach can yield important information over short time-frames that can inform management planning 234 235 and conservation management. 236 **Literature Cited** 237 238 Baker J., Whelan R. J., Evans L., Moore S. and Norton M. (2010) Managing the Ground Parrot in its 239 fiery habitat in south-eastern Australia. Emu - Austral Ornithology 110, 279-284. 240 Bowman D. M. J. S., Perry G. L. W., Higgins S. I., Johnson C. N., Fuhlendorf S. D. and Murphy B. P. 241 (2016) Pyrodiversity is the coupling of biodiversity and fire regimes in food webs. *Philosophical*
- Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **371**, 20150169.
- 243 Bradstock R. A., Bedward M., Gill A. M. and Cohn J. S. (2005) Which mosaic? A landscape ecological
- approach for evaluating interactions between fire regimes, habitat and animals. *Wildlife Research*32, 409-423.
- 246 Brown P. and Wilson R. (1980) A survey of the orange-bellied parrot Neophema chrysogaster in
- 247 *Tasmania, Victoria and South Australia*. The World Wildlife Fund, NPWS Tasmania, Hobart.
- 248 Burnham K. P. and Anderson D. R. (2002) *Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical*
- 249 Information-Theoretic Approach, 2nd edition. Springer-Verlag, New York, USA.
- 250 Department of Environment L., Water and Planning, (2016) National Recovery Plan for the Orange-
- 251 *bellied Parrot Neophema chrysogaster*. Australian Government, Canberra.
- 252 DPIPWE (2015) Fire Regimes for Nature Conservation in the Tasmanian Wilderness World Heritage
- Area. Natural and Cultural HeritageDivision, Department of Primary Industries Parks Water and
 Environment, Hobart.
- 255 Galbraith J. A., Beggs J. R., Jones D. N., McNaughton E. J., Krull C. R. and Stanley M. C. (2014) Risks
- and drivers of wild bird feeding in urban areas of New Zealand. *Biological Conservation* **180**, 64-74.
- 257 Hanmer H. J., Thomas R. L. and Fellowes M. D. E. (2017) Provision of supplementary food for wild
- birds may increase the risk of local nest predation. *Ibis* **159**, 158-167.

- Holz A., Wood S. W., Veblen T. T. and Bowman D. M. J. S. (2015) Effects of high-severity fire drove
 the population collapse of the subalpine Tasmanian endemic conifer *Athrotaxis cupressoides*. *Global Change Biology* 21, 445-458.
- 262 Kelly L. T., Haslem A., Holland G. J., Leonard S. W. J., MacHunter J., Bassett M., Bennett A. F., Bruce
- 263 M. J., Chia E. K., Christie F. J., Clarke M. F., Di Stefano J., Loyn R., McCarthy M. A., Pung A., Robinson
- 264 N., Sitters H., Swan M. and York A. (2017) Fire regimes and environmental gradients shape
- vertebrate and plant distributions in temperate eucalypt forests. *Ecosphere* **8**, e01781.
- Legge S., Garnett S., Maute K., Heathcote J., Murphy S., Woinarski J. C. and Astheimer L. (2015) A
- 267 landscape-scale, applied fire management experiment promotes recovery of a population of the
- threatened Gouldian Finch, *Erythrura gouldiae*, in Australia's tropical savannas. *PLoS One* **10**,
- e0137997.
- 270 Legge S., Murphy S., Kingswood R., Maher B. and Swan D. (2011) EcoFire: restoring the biodiversity
- values of the Kimberley region by managing fire. *Ecological Management & Restoration* **12**, 84-92.
- 272 Loyn R. H., Lane B. A., Chandler C. and Carr G. W. (1986) Ecology of Orange-bellied Parrots
- 273 Neophema chrysogaster at their main remnant wintering site. Emu Austral Ornithology 86, 195-
- 274 206.
- 275 Marsden-Smedley J. (1993) Orange-bellied parrot recovery plan: operational prescriptions for habitat
- 276 *management burns*. Department of Environment and Land Management, Hobert.
- 277 Marsden-Smedley J. (1998) Changes in southwestern Tasmanian fire regimes since the early 1800s.
- 278 Papers and Proceedings of the Royal Society of Tasmania **132**, 15-29.
- 279 Marsden-Smedley J. B. and Kirkpatrick J. B. (2000) Fire management in Tasmania's Wilderness World
- 280 Heritage Area: Ecosystem restoration using Indigenous-style fire regimes? Ecological Management &
- 281 *Restoration* **1**, 195-203.
- 282 R Development Core Team (2020) R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
- 283 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.

- Stojanovic D., Alves F., Cook H., Crates R., Heinsohn R., Peters A., Rayner L., Troy S. N. and Webb M.
- 285 H. (2017) Further knowledge and urgent action required to save Orange-bellied Parrots from
- extinction. *Emu Austral Ornithology* **118**, 126-134.
- 287 Tollington S., Greenwood A., Jones C. G., Hoeck P., Chowrimootoo A., Smith D., Richards H., Tatayah
- V. and Groombridge J. J. (2015) Detailed monitoring of a small but recovering population reveals
- sublethal effects of disease and unexpected interactions with supplemental feeding. *Journal of*
- 290 Animal Ecology **84**, 969-977.
- 291 Venables W. N. and Ripley B. D. (2002) *Modern Applied Statistics with S, Fourth edition*. Springer,
- 292 New York.
- 293

295 Figure Captions

Figure 1. Image showing the dorsal view of the crop of an orange-bellied nestling. The head

- is positioned at the top of the image, and the neck is positioned along the left side of the
- crop wall (approximately below the white arrows). The thin crop walls in this position show
- the crop contents clearly through the transparent skin. Large millet seeds from the
- 300 supplementary feeders are visible (two examples highlighted with white arrows). The red
- 301 arrows show two seeds of *Helichrysum pumilum*, which regenerated abundantly after fire
- and their seeds were exploited by adult Orange-bellied Parrots as food for their nestlings.
- 303 Figure 2. Modelled estimates of temporal change in plant abundance in response to
- 304 prescribed burning. Fire affected treatment sites (left panels) at one week, whereas control
- 305 sites (right panels) did not burn. We recorded abundance of plants at sites categorically: 0)

species absent, 1) 1 - 5 plants, 2) 5 - 20 plants, and 3) > 20 plants.

- **Figure 3.** Modelled estimates and confidence intervals of (a) percent bare earth cover and
- 308 (b) vegetation height at treatment and control sites over time.

Table 1. List of models fitted to each response variable ranked by AIC. * indicates the

310 preferred model. Treatment refers to whether sites were burned or not in a prescribed fire,

311 time refers to the five time periods when surveys were undertaken, shrubs refers to

- 312 whether these were present/absent at sites.
- 313

Response Variable	Model	d.f.	AIC	ΔΑΙϹ
Dwarf Everlasting	treatment × time*	12	844.44	0.00
	time	7	886.30	41.86
	shrubs	4	942.03	97.59
	treatment	4	952.84	108.40
	null	3	953.13	108.69
Tiny Flannel-flower	treatment × time*	12	810.28	0.00
	treatment	4	861.36	51.08
	time	7	862.09	51.81
	null	3	886.43	76.15
	shrubs	4	888.02	77.74
Lemon-scented Boronia	treatment × time*	12	274.95	0.00
	time	7	282.12	7.17
	shrubs	4	363.17	88.22
	null	3	363.19	88.24
	treatment	4	364.44	89.49
Flat Cord-rush	treatment × time*	12	629.93	0.00
	treatment	4	779.01	149.08
	time	7	849.63	219.70
	shrubs	4	882.48	252.55

	null	3	899.01	269.08
% bare earth	treatment × time*	10	4,757.29	0.00
	treatment	2	6,731.67	1,974.38
	time	5	8,629.50	3,872.21
	shrubs	2	10,488.80	5,731.51
	null	1	10,542.22	5,784.93
	treatment × time*	11	390.40	0.00
	treatment	3	709.04	318.64
vegetation height	time	6	827.00	436.60
	null	2	918.41	528.01
	shrubs	3	918.48	528.08