This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Davies, H.F., McCarthy, M.A., Firth, R.S., Woinarski, J.C., Gillespie, G.R., Andersen, A.N., Rioli, W., Puruntatameri, J., Roberts, W., Kerinaiua, C., Kerinauia, V., Womatakimi, K.B. and Murphy, B.P (2018) Declining populations in one of the last refuges for threatened mammal species in northern Australia. *Austral Ecology*, Vol. 43, pp. 602-612., which has been published in final form at https://doi.org/10.1111/aec.12596.

This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

Declining populations in one of the last refuges for threatened mammal species in northern Australia

Hugh F. Davies^A, Michael A. McCarthy^A, Ronald S. C. Firth^{B, C}, John C.Z. Woinarski^{D,E}, Graeme R. Gillespie^{E,F}, Alan N. Andersen^D, Willie Rioli^G, José Puruntatameri^G, Willie Roberts^G, Colin Kerinaiua^G, Vivian Kerinauia^G, Kim Brooks *Womatakimi*^G and Brett P. Murphy^D

^A Quantitative and Applied Ecology Group, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, 3010, Australia.

^B Research Institute for the Environment and Livelihoods, Charles Darwin University, Casuarina, Northern Territory, 0909, Australia.

^C 360 Environmental, West Leederville, Western Australia, 6007, Australia.

^D NESP Threatened Species Recovery Hub, Research Institute for the Environment and Livelihoods, Charles Darwin University, Casuarina, Northern Territory, 0909, Australia.

^E Flora and Fauna Division, Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Berrimah, Northern Territory, 0820, Australia.

^F School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, 3010, Australia.

^G Tiwi Land Council, Winnellie, Northern Territory, 0821, Australia.

Corresponding author details: Hugh Davies, <u>hdavies1@student.unimelb.edu.au</u>, 0417 828 202.

Acknowledgements:

We would first like to thank the Tiwi Land Council and the Tiwi traditional owners for their ongoing support of scientific research on their land. We also acknowledge the amazing assistance provided by Jaime Heiniger, Casey Visintin, José Lahoz-Monfort, Fin Roberts, John van Osta, Phoebe Burns, Amélie Corriveau, Chris Davies, Hayley Geyle and Tiwi College staff and students. This work was supported by the Australian Research Council (DE130100434 and LP150100615), Hermon Slade Foundation, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Northern Territory Department of Land Resource Management, National Environmental Science Programme's Threatened Species Recovery Hub, Paddy Pallin Foundation and Holsworth Wildlife Research Endowment. We recognise also the contributions of Kym Brennan, Martin Armstrong, Alaric Fisher, Jenni Low Choy and Simon Ward to the 2000-2002 sampling.

1			
1	1	ı	

2 MR. HUGH DAVIES (Orcid ID : 0000-0002-8473-4540)

3

4

5 Article type : Original Article

6

7

8 Declining populations in one of the last refuges for threatened mammal species9 in northern Australia

10

11 Hugh F. Davies^A, Michael A. McCarthy^A, Ronald S. C. Firth^{B, C}, John C.Z. Woinarski^{D,E}, Graeme R.

Gillespie^{E,F}, Alan N. Andersen^D, Willie Rioli^G, José Puruntatameri^G, Willie Roberts^G, Colin
 Kerinaiua^G, Vivian Kerinauia^G, Kim Brooks Womatakimi^G and Brett P. Murphy^D

14

^AQuantitative and Applied Ecology Group, The University of Melbourne, Parkville, Victoria, 3010, Australia.

^B Research Institute for the Environment and Livelihoods, Charles Darwin University, Casuarina, Northern

- 17 Territory, 0909, Australia.
- 18 ^C Strategen Environmental, Subiaco, Western Australia, 6008, Australia.
- 19 ^D NESP Threatened Species Recovery Hub, Research Institute for the Environment and Livelihoods, Charles
- 20 Darwin University, Casuarina, Northern Territory, 0909, Australia.
- ^E Flora and Fauna Division, Department of Environment and Natural Resources, Berrimah, Northern Territory,
- **22** 0820, Australia.
- 23 ^F School of BioSciences, The University of Melbourne, Victoria, 3010, Australia.
- ^G Tiwi Land Council, Winnellie, Northern Territory, 0821, Australia.
- 25

26 Abstract:

- 27 Australia has contributed a disproportionate number of the world's mammal extinctions over the past
- 28 200 years, with the greatest loss of species occurring through the continent's southern and central arid
- 29 regions. Many taxonomically and ecologically similar species are now undergoing widespread decline
- 30 across the northern Australian mainland, possibly driven by predation by feral cats and changed fire
- 31 regimes. Here we report marked recent declines of native mammal species in one of Australia's few

32 remaining areas that support an intact mammal assemblage, Melville Island, the largest island off the 33 northern Australian coast. We have previously reported a marked decline on Melville Island of the 34 threatened brush-tailed rabbit rat (Conilurus penicillatus) over the period 2000 to 2015, linked to predation by feral cats. We now report a 62% reduction in small mammal trap-success and a 36% 35 36 reduction in site-level species richness over this period. There was a decrease in trap-success of 90% for the northern brown bandicoot (Isoodon macrourus), 64% for the brush-tailed rabbit-rat and 63% 37 38 for the black-footed tree-rat (Mesembriomys gouldi), but no decline for the common brushtail possum 39 (Trichosurus vulpecula). These results suggest that populations of native mammals on Melville Island 40 are exhibiting similar patterns of decline to those recorded in Kakadu National Park two decades earlier, and across the northern Australian mainland more generally. Without the implementation of 41 effective management actions, these species are likely to be lost from one of their last remaining 42 43 strongholds, threatening to increase Australia's already disproportionate contribution to global 44 mammal extinctions.

45 Keywords: Threatened mammals, extinction, refuge, northern Australia

46 Introduction:

47 Australia has experienced the highest number and proportion of mammal extinctions of any continent over the past two centuries (IUCN, 1996), having lost around 10% of its native mammal species 48 (Short et al., 2002, Woinarski et al., 2014). While most of these mammal extinctions occurred from 49 the mid 19th to early 20th Centuries and were concentrated in the southern and arid parts of Australia, 50 over the past three decades severe declines have occurred further north in the monsoonal tropics 51 52 (Woinarski et al., 2001, Woinarski et al., 2010). Given that the current decline of mammals in northern Australia is most evident in taxa similar to those driven to extinction and severe decline 53 elsewhere in Australia (e.g. bandicoots, large rodents and dasyurids in the 'critical weight range' 54 (CWR) of 35–5500 g) (Burbidge and McKenzie, 1989, Woinarski et al., 2010, Murphy and Davies, 55 56 2014), similar factors may be responsible. There is compelling evidence that predation by the 57 introduced red fox (Vulpes vulpes) and feral cat (Felis catus) was the driver of decline and extinction 58 of many mammal species in temperate, arid and semi-arid Australia (Johnson, 2006, Hardman et al., 59 2016, Short, 2016). Red foxes do not occur in monsoonal Australia, but the feral cat has been present across the northern Australian mainland since the 19th Century (Abbott, 2002, Abbott, 2008). 60

There is accumulating evidence that predation by feral cats is a key factor in the current declines across northern Australia. Recent studies have demonstrated extirpations of some native mammal species on islands recently colonised by cats, but persistence on islands without cats, with this pattern especially strong for mammal species that have declined extensively across mainland areas (Southgate et al., 1996, Woinarski et al., 1999, Woinarski et al., 2011b). An experimental reintroduction of the long-haired rat (Rattus villosissimus) on the northern Australian mainland failed outside predator 67 exclosure areas, due primarily to predation by feral cats (Frank et al., 2014). At another mainland site, 68 Leahy et al. (2016) demonstrated that predation by feral cats and dingoes (Canis dingo) was the 69 primary cause of local population declines of two native mammal species over the 12-month study 70 period. Fire was also a significant factor, but its influence was not through direct fire-related mortality, nor fire-induced reductions in food availability or reproductive success, or emigration. 71 72 Rather, the influence of fire was related to cat predation, the effects of which were most severe in 73 areas subject to high-intensity fire that removed a greater proportion of vegetation cover (Leahy et al., 2016). The synergistic relationship between fire and predation was further supported by McGregor et 74 al. (2016), who demonstrated that feral cats concentrated their hunting activity to areas recently burnt 75 76 by high-intensity fires.

77 Despite the accumulating evidence that predation by feral cats is a key factor in the current declines 78 across northern Australia, the apparent asynchrony between the establishment of the feral cat in monsoonal northern Australia and the late 20th Century mammal declines, suggests other factors may 79 be involved. Frequent, high-intensity fires, such as those characterising the fire regime across northern 80 81 Australia since the breakdown of traditional Aboriginal burning practices, significantly alter the 82 availability of critical resources, including fleshy-fruit bearing shrubs, logs and tree hollows (Russell-83 Smith et al., 2003b, Vigilante and Bowman, 2004, Firth et al., 2006b, Woinarski and Westaway, 84 2008). Any species with a strong reliance on such resources may be strongly disadvantaged by current northern Australian fire regimes, even in the absence of predation. However, species that are both 85 dependent on these fire-mediated resources and also susceptible to predation are likely to be 86 particularly threatened, and expected to be the first to exhibit decline and range contraction. 87

Predation by feral cats and frequent high-intensity fire both occur throughout far northern Australia, 88 89 and therefore are potential threats to areas that currently still retain their full mammalian fauna. One 90 such area is Australia's second-largest island, Melville Island, where the threatened brush-tailed rabbit-rat (Conilurus penicillatus) has recently contracted to areas where feral cats were rarely 91 92 detected and shrub density was high (Davies et al. 2016). Here we build on that study by investigating 93 changes in the broader mammal assemblage on Melville Island, which includes many species that 94 have declined extensively across mainland northern Australia (Firth et al., 2006a, Woinarski et al., 2010, Firth et al., 2010) and several endemic subspecies. We predict that: (1) a range of native 95 96 mammals on Melville Island, and not just the brush-tailed rabbit-rat, will be in decline; (2) declines 97 will be most evident in those species that have declined most dramatically on the mainland; (3) the 98 current distribution of small mammals on Melville Island will be inversely related to the presence of 99 feral cats and frequent fire.

100

101 Methods:

102 <u>Study site:</u>

Melville Island (5788 km²) is the larger of the two main Tiwi Islands, located \sim 20 km off the coast of 103 Australia's Northern Territory (Figure 1). The Tiwi Islands became separated from mainland 104 105 Australia relatively recently (between 12,000 and 8,000 years ago) (Woodroffe et al., 1992), and hence have an environment and a mammal assemblage largely comparable to the mainland (with the 106 notable absence of the northern quoll (Dasyurus hallucatus). The islands are of low relief (≤ 103 m 107 above sea level) and experience a tropical monsoonal climate with an intense wet season (November-108 April) in which over 90% of the annual rainfall occurs. There is a substantial rainfall gradient on 109 Melville Island, from 1400 mm in the east, to 2000 mm in the northwest. The major vegetation types 110 are savanna woodlands and open forests dominated by Eucalyptus miniata, E. tetrodonta and 111 Corymbia nesophila, with a predominantly grassy understorey. Shrub density is highly variable, and 112 studies on the mainland have shown that it is negatively affected by frequent, high-intensity fires 113 (Russell-Smith et al., 2003a, Woinarski et al., 2004). Fire mapping of the Tiwi Islands, has shown that 114 an average of 54% of the savannas were burnt each year from 2000-2013, with 65% of this area 115 116 burning in the late dry season (Richards et al., 2015).

117 There is currently no evidence to suggest any recent change in fire intensity or frequency, feral animal densities or invasive weeds on the Tiwi Islands (see Woinarski et al. (2001) for further details on 118 119 introduced species). Over the past two decades, the expansion of the forestry industry across the 120 western half of Melville Island, has seen the replacement of around 30,000 ha of eucalypt tall open 121 forest with short-rotation plantations of exotic Acacia mangium (Woinarski and Tiwi Land Council, 2001). Due to a lack of historical records (Abbott and Burbidge, 1995), the timing of the arrival of 122 feral cats on Melville Island is unknown. While cats could have arrived as far back as the 123 124 establishment of a British military outpost at Fort Dundas in 1824 (Brocklehurst, 1998), they also 125 could have arrived appreciably later than in other parts of northern Australia (Davies et al. 2016).

126

127 <u>Data collection:</u>

From 2000–2002, small to medium-sized, non-flying mammals were sampled systematically at 351 128 sites as part of a general wildlife survey of the Tiwi Islands. These surveys involved a 50×50 m 129 130 quadrat with 20 Elliott traps $(33 \times 10 \times 9 \text{ cm})$ spaced equidistantly around the perimeter with one cage trap $(56 \times 20 \times 20 \text{ cm})$ located on each of the four corners. Traps were baited with a mixture of peanut 131 132 butter, oats and honey, and set for three consecutive nights. Four pitfall traps (two 20 L and two 10 L plastic buckets, each with 10 m of 30 cm high drift-line fence) were also used at each site over the 3-133 134 day sampling period. Additionally, five 10-minute searches (two at night using spotlights and three during daylight hours) were conducted at each site. 135

136 Between April and December 2015, 88 of the original 351 sites were revisited (Davies et al. 2016), all 137 located in the savanna woodland and open forests of Melville Island (Figure 1). These 88 sites were 138 chosen to capture the large variation in both annual rainfall and fire history on Melville Island. Eighty-two of these sites were surveyed using both live-trapping and camera-trapping, with the 139 remaining six sites being surveyed using only camera-trapping. Live-trapping followed the 2000-140 2002 protocol but was conducted over four consecutive nights instead of three, and used eight cage 141 traps and 16 Elliott traps. To avoid bias relating to possible seasonal differences in trap-success, re-142 visited sites were trapped at a comparable time of year to when they were originally surveyed. In 143 2015, no pitfall traps were used or site searches undertaken. Camera-trapping involved five 144 horizontally facing motion-sensor cameras left continuously recording (24-hours per day) for a 145 minimum 35 consecutive day period that overlapped with each site's live-trapping survey. Camera 146 traps were baited with a mixture of peanut butter, oats and honey. To ensure maximum likelihood of 147 148 being triggered, each camera was carefully positioned to ensure the bait was in the centre of the field of view (Gillespie et al., 2015). Vegetation within each camera's field of view was cleared to reduce 149 150 the chance of false triggers and to reduce the risk posed by fire. Of the five cameras deployed at each site, two were ReconyxTM HC550 Hyperfire white flash cameras (Reconyx Inc., Holmen, USA), while 151 the remaining three were ReconyxTM PC800 Hyperfire Professional infra-red flash cameras. All 152 153 cameras were set to take three image bursts per trigger, with a 1-second delay between images. The 154 sensitivity of each camera was set to high, with cameras re-arming instantly after being triggered.

To allow for direct comparison of the live-trapping results, we excluded captures from the original 155 surveys arising from methods not repeated in 2015 (i.e. pitfall traps and site searches). We 156 157 acknowledge here a potential source of bias arising from the exclusion of the pitfall records. On any given night, a particular animal caught in a pitfall trap is no longer able to be trapped in an Elliott or 158 cage trap. Therefore the number of animals caught in pitfall traps could have influenced the 2000-159 160 2002 trap-success. We highlight that this source of bias relates only to those species small enough to be caught in pitfall traps (i.e. mice and dunnarts), and note that the number of mammals caught in 161 pitfall traps in 2000-2002 was low and therefore not a large source of bias. As trap-success and 162 species richness do not increase linearly with the number of nights that traps are deployed, we also 163 excluded all captures recorded on the fourth night of live-trapping in 2015. The initial sampling 164 165 derived an abundance measure from the number of captures and noted the possibility that multiple 166 captures could include the same individual. For consistency we derived trap success the same way.



168 Figure 1: Location of the 88 sites surveyed for CWR mammals in 2015 on Melville Island. Filled 169 circles represent the 82 sites where both camera-trapping and live-trapping were conducted, and open 170 circles represent the six sites where only camera-trapping was conducted. The location of Melville 171 Island relative to mainland Australia is shown in the inset.

172

167

173 Table 1: Description and justification of the variables used in analyses to assess the correlates of

174	Melville Island mammal distribution in 201	15

Explanatory variable	Description and justification for inclusion	Variable used in analyses to predict:		
Fire activity	Following Lawes et al. (2015), a remote-sensed fire variable derived from fine-scale (30×30 m) LANDSAT satellite imagery, representing the proportion of the area surrounding each site that was burnt in each year, averaged over the five years preceding mammal sampling. Calculations were made using an area with a radius of 3.2 km as shown by Lawes et al. (2015) to have the strongest influence on mammal populations.	 Feral cat activity Mammal occupancy and detectability 		
Rainfall	Mean annual rainfall (Australian Bureau of Meteorology	• Feral cat		

	2015). Throughout Australia, feral cat densities tend to be		activity
	lower in areas of high rainfall (Legge et al., 2016) and mammal	•	Mammal
	species in areas of high rainfall have declined the least (Fisher		occupancy and
	et al., 2013).		detectability
Basal area	Calculated as the sum of the basal area (m^2/ha) of 50 trees	•	Mammal
	(with a diameter at breast height greater than 5 cm) measured		occupancy and
	within a quadrat 5 m wide with a length equal to the distance to		detectability
	the 50 th measured tree or a maximum of 200 m. Firth et al.		
	2006a demonstrated the influence of basal area on the		
	occurrence of mammals.		
Dingo	The proportion of nights that dingos were recorded on camera	•	Feral cat
activity	at each site. This was taken as an approximation of dingo		activity
5	activity at each site. Included in analyses to investigate the	•	Mammal
	potential negative influence of dingos on feral cats and	-	occupancy and
	potential benefits for mammal populations (Johnson, 2006,		detectability
	Kennedy et al., 2012).		detectuonity
Shrub	A count of the number of shrubs in a 1×100 m quadrat at each	•	Feral cat
density	site. Shrubs were defined as anything greater than 20 cm in		activity
	height with a diameter at breast height of less than 5 cm.	•	Mammal
	Shrubs with multiple stems were counted as a single		occupancy and
	individual. Vegetation structure has been demonstrated to		detectability
	reduce feral cat hunting success, and therefore influence the		
	distribution of feral cats as well as the occupancy and		
	detectability of mammals (McGregor et al., 2015).		
Distance to	A remote-sensed variable measuring the distance (m) from	•	Mammal
water	each site to the closest permanent water body. The distance to		occupancy and
	water was demonstrated by Firth et al. (2006a) to strongly		detectability
	influence a number of mammals on Melville Island.		
Course	A count of the number of logs with a diameter of greater than 5		Mommel
woody	em that crossed a 200 m transact at each site. Included in	•	
dobris	analyses due to Firth et al. (2006b) demonstrating the relience		detector interview
	analyses due to Filth et al. (2000) demonstrating the reflance		detectability
(UWD)	or some mammars on rahen logs as den sites.		

Feral cat	As an index of feral cat activity, we used the predicted	•	Mammal
activity	probability of detecting feral cats at each site, derived from		occupancy and
	spatially explicit generalised linear models (Murphy et al.,		detectability
	2010). The probability of feral cat detection was included in		
	the analyses as cats have been implicated as a major factor in		
	the northern mammal decline (Woinarski et al., 2011a,		
	Ziembicki et al., 2014). SeeDavies et al. (2016).		
Julian day	The Julian day of the calendar year that sampling started at	•	Mammal
-	each site. Recent work by Geyle (2015) demonstrated that the		detectability
	detectability of the brush-tailed rabbit-rat (Conilurus		-
	penicillatus) increases throughout the dry season (May –		
	November).		
Number of	An observation level covariate to account for the variation in	•	Mammal
cameras	detectability arising from uneven numbers of cameras		detectability
operating	operating at different sites due to camera malfunction and		
	destruction.		
_			

178 <u>Data analysis:</u>

179 1) Trends in trap-success

Mammal species >200 g, such as northern brown bandicoot (Isoodon macrourus), common brushtail 180 possum (Trichosurus vulpecula) and black-footed tree-rat (Mesembriomys gouldii), were caught 181 almost exclusively in cage traps, whereas smaller species such as the delicate mouse were exclusively 182 caught in Elliott traps (See Table S1 in supplementary material). Therefore, prior to investigating 183 changes in trap-success, we first had to account for the different ratio of cage traps to Elliott traps 184 used at each site between sampling years, as this would strongly influence the recorded trap-success 185 186 in each year. To account for this bias, we derived a species-specific effective trap-success based on 187 the relative effectiveness of each trap type (cage vs. Elliott). This was done for each species that 188 showed a strong bias for either trap type (See Table S2 in supplementary material). These scaling factors could only be determined from the 2015 live-trapping data as the original data did not 189 190 consistently record the trap type. For example, although the trap effort for Elliott traps was twice that

of cage traps in 2015, Elliott traps accounted for only 2.7% of black-footed tree-rat captures.
Therefore the effective trap-success in each year for this species was calculated as:

Effective trap-success = number of captures ÷ (number of cage trap nights + 0.027*number of Elliott
trap nights) * 100

Wilcoxon matched-pairs tests were used to investigate changes in trap-success (calculated as the sum
of all species' effective trap-success at each site), site-level species richness and species-specific
effective trap-success at the 82 sites where live-trapping was conducted in both 2000–2002 and 2015.

198 2) Correlates of mammal distribution in 2015

Davies et al. (2016) investigated a range of environmental correlates of the distribution of the brush-199 200 tailed rabbit-rat on Melville Island. Here we use the same approach to investigate the 2015 201 distribution of three other mammal species that were detected by camera traps sufficiently to permit 202 occupancy modelling: the northern brown bandicoot, black-footed tree-rat and common brushtail possum. Single-season occupancy models were used to investigate how each predictor variable (Table 203 1) influenced site occupancy. For comparison, we also present results published by Davies et al. 204 205 (2016) for the brush-tailed rabbit-rat. Occupancy modelling was conducted using only the 2015 camera trapping data (88 sites) in the package "unmarked" in R (Fiske and Chandler, 2011). 206 Explanatory variables were centred and standardised prior to analysis. 207

Due to the many variables and therefore the large number of possible models, occupancy modelling 208 was applied in a two-step process. First we determined which variables best explained the 209 detectability of each species by running all combinations (512 models) of the nine variables we 210 211 hypothesised might influence the detectability of small mammals. This was done with occupancy 212 constrained to a saturated model of the seven variables we hypothesised might influence site 213 occupancy for the mammal species. Model selection based on AIC was then used to select the most 214 parsimonious model in the candidate set. The second step involved running all possible combinations 215 of the seven occupancy variables (128 models) with detectability constrained to the most important 216 variables identified in step one. Model selection based on AIC was then used for the second time to determine the best model in the candidate set. Where no single model was clearly superior at 217 explaining the distribution of a species (i.e. $\Delta AIC < 4$), we used model averaging to obtain parameter 218 219 estimates (Burnham and Anderson, 2002).

Once the most parsimonious model with only the main effects was identified, we investigated the possible effect of an interaction between the feral cat activity and both fire and shrubs. This was done because processes that simplify vegetation structure (such as frequent fire) might amplify the impact of feral cats. We also tested whether the inclusion of tree basal area increased the model fit.

Accounting for imperfect detection provides more realistic, but less precise, estimates of occupancy (Guillera-Arroita et al., 2014). To gauge how accounting for detectability influenced our occupancy estimates and hence the confidence in our conclusions drawn from these models, we also ran all combinations of the occupancy variables but assuming constant detectability.

We assessed the fit of the most saturated model for each mammal species with three goodness-of-fit tests based on parametric bootstrapping: Pearson's chi-square statistic, the sum of squared errors and the Freeman-Tukey chi-square statistic. These methods repeatedly simulate datasets based on a fitted model, and then evaluate the probability that the observed history of outcomes has a reasonable chance of happening if the model assessed is assumed to be correct (MacKenzie and Bailey, 2004).

233

234 <u>Results:</u>

235 1) Trends in trap-success

A total of twelve mammal species were recorded, two of which do not have a mean body size within the CWR: delicate mouse and Butler's dunnart. Overall trap-success was 62% lower in 2015 (6.1 \pm 0.8) than in 2000–02 (16.1 \pm 1.5; Z = 5.6, p < 0.001). Three of the five species recorded from at least ten sites across the sampling periods, exhibited a significant decrease in trap-success, with northern brown bandicoot decreasing by 90% (Z = 5.42, p < 0.001), brush-tailed rabbit-rat by 64% (Z = 1.97, p < 0.05) and black-footed tree-rat by 63% (Z = 3.33, p < 0.001) (Figure 2). The remaining five species (mostly reported from few sites) showed no significant change (Table 2).

Site-level species richness decreased by 36% between 2000-02 (1.6 ± 0.1) and 2015 $(1.0 \pm 0.1; Z = 3.82, p < 0.001)$. The proportion of sites where no native mammals were trapped doubled from 13% to 26%. Six species exhibited a large decrease in naïve occupancy (39 - 80%), while the common brushtail possum and delicate mouse were recorded at 29% more sites in 2015 than in 2000-02 (Table 2).

248 2) Correlates of mammal distribution in 2015

Given the overall high detectability for all species, the estimated rate of occupancy by the respective best model for each species was very similar to the naïve and null model estimates (See Table S2 in supplementary material). As such, the effect of each covariate on site-occupancy was similar regardless of whether the models included effects of covariates on detectability or not.

Shrub density was a (borderline) significant predictor of site-occupancy by the black-footed tree-rat, as it was for the brush-tailed rabbit-rat, but not for the northern brown bandicoot or common brushtail possum (Figure 3). Unlike the situation for the brush-tailed rabbit rat, feral cat detection was not a significant predictor variable for any of the other species (Figure 3). Fire was not a significant

predictor for any species, and neither the inclusion of neither tree-basal area nor an interactionbetween fire and shrubs with feral cat activity improved the model fit for any species.





Figure 2: The difference in live trap-success in 2000-02 (solid line) and 2015 (dashed line) for a)
northern brown bandicoot b) black-footed tree-rat c) brush-tailed rabbit-rat and d) common brushtail
possum. Asterisks indicate a statistically significant (p < 0.05) change in trap-success.

- 267
- 268
- 269

Table 2: Summary of the changes in the native mammal populations of Melville Island recorded with live-trapping between 2000–02 and 2015. Naïve occupancy was calculated as the percentage of the 82 live-trapped sites where a species was detected. * = p < 0.05, *** = p < 0.001. Decreases denoted by -, increases denoted by +. Species in bold indicate a body-size outside the critical weight range. Dashes indicate species for which a proportional change in trap-success could not be calculated.

Species	Naïve occupancy 2000-02 (%)	Naïve occupancy 2015 (%)	Change in naïve occupancy (%)	Trap- success 2000-02 (%) (±SE)	Trap- success 2015 (%) (±SE)	Change in trap- success (%)
Northern brown	10	12	75	6.91	0.71	00****
macrourus)	49	12	- 75	(0.99)	(0.23)	- 90***
Black-footed tree-rat						
(Mesembriomys	38	23	- 39	3.69	1.38	- 63***
gouldii)				(0.59)	(0.33)	
Common brushtail				3 78	3 32	
possum (Trichosurus	27	38	+ 29	(0.88)	(0.73)	- 12
vulpecula)				(0.00)	(0.75)	
Brush-tailed rabbit-				0.50	0.10	
rat	17	9	- 50	0.53	0.19	- 64*
(Conilurus				(0.15)	(0.08)	
Creacher d malaruur				0.00	0.10	
(Malomus hurtoni)	7	4	- 50	(0.31)	(0.06)	- 88
(Meloniys burtoni)				(0.31)	(0.00)	
(Psoudomys	7	12	± 29	0.20	0.30	⊥ 33
(1 sectionlys	1	12	+ 29	(0.09)	(0.10)	+ 33
Pale field-rat				0.10	0.02	
(Rattus tunnevi)	6	1	- 80	(0.05)	(0.02)	- 80
Red-cheeked dunnart				(0100)	(0.02)	
(Sminthopsis	5	1	- 75	0.10	0.03	- 70
virginiae)				(0.06)	(0.03)	
Northern sugar glider				0.03		
(Petaurus breviceps)	2	0	-	(0.02)	0	-
Northern brush-tailed						
phascogale	2	0	-	0.03	0	-
(Phascogale pirata)				(0.02)		
Butler's dunnart				0.00		
(Sminthopsis	1	0	-	0.03	0	-
butleri)				(0.02)		



281

Figure 3: Model averaged regression coefficient estimates for a) the northern brown bandicoot, b) the black-footed tree-rat, c) the common brushtail possum and d) the brush-tailed rabbit-rat (first published in Davies et al. (2016)). Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals; asterisks indicate

where they do not overlap zero, i.e. a statistically significant effect. Data sourced from 2015 camera-trapping.

287

288

289 <u>Discussion:</u>

Many native mammal species have recently experienced severe range contractions across northern 290 Australia, and Melville Island is one of the few remaining areas to have retained an intact mammal 291 292 fauna (Woinarski et al. 2010, Ziembicki et al. 2014). However, Davies et al. (2016) demonstrated that 293 the Melville Island population of the brush-tailed rabbit-rat had retracted to areas where feral cats 294 were rarely detected and shrub density was high. Here we build on that study to report evidence of 295 broader decline in the mammal assemblage of Melville Island. We found that trap-success and species 296 richness at the site-level decreased by 62% and 36% respectively from 2000-02 to 2015. As predicted, 297 declines were most evident for three species that have suffered considerable declines on mainland northern Australia: northern brown bandicoot (90% decrease in trap-success), brush-tailed rabbit-rat 298 299 (64%) and black-footed tree-rat (63%). These severe declines are particularly notable because the local subspecies of black-footed tree-rat (M. gouldii melvillensis) is endemic to the island, and the 300 local subspecies of brush-tailed rabbit-rat (C. penicillatus melibius) is endemic to Melville Island and 301 302 the adjacent Bathurst Island. However, inconsistent with our prediction, there was no such decline for 303 the common brushtail possum, a comparably-sized species that has also exhibited marked decline on 304 the northern Australian mainland (Woinarski et al., 2010).

305 We acknowledge the limitations associated with inferring mammal decline with only two data points 306 spaced 15 years apart. However, the pattern of decline observed on Melville Island is very similar to 307 that recorded in Kakadu National Park between 2001 and 2009. Over this period in Kakadu, the 308 brush-tailed rabbit-rat and black-footed tree-rat were not recorded frequently enough to permit 309 statistical analysis (despite both species being common 30-40 years previously) (Woinarski et al., 2010), and the abundance of the northern brown bandicoot and common brushtail possum decreased 310 by 88 and 86% respectively (Woinarski et al., 2010). This suggests that in Kakadu, the brush-tailed 311 rabbit-rat and black-footed tree-rat were among the first mammal species to decline. It is therefore 312 313 plausible that Melville Island is currently experiencing the pattern of decline that occurred in Kakadu National Park over a decade earlier. 314

Unlike the brush-tailed rabbit-rat (Davies et al. 2016) and despite substantial decreases in trapsuccess, neither the northern brown bandicoot nor black-footed tree rat appear to have suffered marked range contractions on Melville Island. This suggests that their declines have not yet progressed to the point of influencing their distribution. The lack of change in the occurrence of these

species (as opposed to abundance) can explain why we failed to detect relationships betweenenvironmental variables, including the presence of feral cats or frequent fire, and site occupancy.

There are a number of potential explanations for why small mammal declines on Melville Island have 321 322 not progressed to the same extent as on mainland northern Australia. First, Melville Island is a highly productive area of monsoonal northern Australia (Richards et al., 2012), and receives the highest 323 annual rainfall in the Northern Territory. This productivity likely results in high resource availability 324 and high rates of survival and reproduction. As such, mammal populations on Melville Island might 325 not only be more resilient than those on the mainland, but initial population sizes on Melville Island 326 may have been higher. Either of these possibilities may result in a longer period of time being 327 required to cause widespread contractions of species distributions. 328

Second, and also related to the higher rainfall, much of Melville Island has a particularly dense understorey and midstorey of shrubs and small trees (Richards et al., 2012, Davies et al., 2016). As a result, the predation pressure imposed on mammal populations, particularly by feral cats, may be lower on Melville Island compared with the mainland (McGregor et al., 2015, Hohnen et al., 2016, Leahy et al., 2016). This is supported by evidence that site occupancy by the brush-tailed rabbit-rat and the black-footed tree-rat on Melville Island is positively related to shrub density (Davies et al., 2016).

Third, it is possible that fire intensity is generally lower on Melville Island than on the mainland. 336 337 Melville Island has a longer wet season and experiences a greater amount of dry-season rain than is the case for the mainland, and so dry fuel for fire is available for a shorter period of time. The amount 338 339 of flammable grass-layer fuel loads may also be lower on Melville Island due to a higher density of 340 trees and shrubs. As such, any fire-related depletion of resources on Melville Island may not have occurred to the same extent. If the decline of common brushtail possum populations on mainland 341 342 northern Australia has been primarily driven by fire-driven resource depletion (especially tree 343 hollows)(Woinarski and Westaway, 2008), the apparent stability of this species on Melville Island may be related to a more benign fire regime. There is currently no direct evidence demonstrating that 344 fire regimes have been more benign on Melville Island than other areas. Addressing this knowledge 345 346 gap should be the focus of future research.

Finally, it is likely that cats have not been on Melville Island for as long as they have on the mainland (Abbott and Burbidge, 1995, Abbott, 2002, Abbott, 2008), and although there have been some anecdotal reports that cats were introduced to Tiwi islands only within the past few decades (Firth, 2010), definitive evidence of an introduction date is not available. It is also plausible that feral cat populations (and their impact on native mammals) on Melville Island have been suppressed by high dingo densities (Kennedy et al., 2012).

353 Unfortunately, the validity of the above explanations are difficult to evaluate given a lack of relevant 354 information on changes in native mammal populations, feral cat and dingo densities, as well as any 355 changes in fire regimes. However, our finding of widespread declines in an area recently thought to be a refuge for mammals highlights the importance of differentiating between true refuges, where 356 threatening processes are either absent or effectively mitigated, and areas that appear intact simply 357 because declines have been delayed or have occurred relative to a higher initial density level. The 358 latter appears to be the case on Melville Island. This distinction may help prioritise management 359 actions and facilitate timely intervention. These results also have important implications for 360 conservation management. Davies et al. (2016) suggested that fire management that enhances the 361 density of the shrub layer could be a feasible management option to reduce the impact of feral cats on 362 the threatened brush-tailed rabbit-rat. Here, we have also demonstrated a weak but significant, 363 positive association between the density of shrubs and the probability of site-occupancy by the black-364 365 footed tree-rat. While this association might be due to increased food availability rather than shelter from predation (Friend, 1987), it appears that fire management that maintains a dense understorey 366 could also benefit this species. Small mammal populations have been shown to respond positively to 367 368 strategic fire management in the Kimberley region of Western Australia (Legge et al., 2011), and a similar approach to fire management could prove beneficial for Melville Island biodiversity. 369

370 In conclusion, we have shown that the severe population declines that have been documented for small mammals across mainland northern Australia appear to be underway on Melville Island. On the 371 372 basis of current evidence, we cannot distinguish between a series of potential primary causal mechanisms, and hence cannot vet provide a tight focus for remedial management response. We 373 374 recommend the following explicit research actions to tease apart the relative impacts of these putative causal factors: (1) quantify the availability of critical small mammal resources across Melville Island 375 376 and determine how fire frequency and intensity influences their rate of depletion (2) determine how 377 (and why) the density of mammalian predators varies across Melville Island (3) quantify the response 378 of small mammal populations to a range of experimentally manipulated combinations of predation 379 pressure (using fenced exclosures) and fire frequency. In 2001, Woinarski et al. (2001) warned that the mammal fauna of northern Australia may suffer the same fate as the decimated central Australian 380 381 mammal fauna. Unfortunately, this appears to be coming to fruition, with many species suffering 382 widespread contraction across mainland northern Australia (Woinarski et al. 2010). Here we reiterate this warning with increased urgency and highlight that the consequences of losing these species go 383 384 beyond the ecological. Traditional food sources (including small mammals) are an important part of 385 the Tiwi diet and provide vital healthy food options for communities. Hunting activities reinforce traditional authority structures, are an important way of passing on traditional knowledge, and form 386 the basis for cultural land management. If Tiwi small mammal populations continue to decline, there 387 388 will be significant impacts on the expression of Tiwi culture. As such, there is a a critical need to

improve our understanding of the factors driving these declines, and to implement management actions before these species are lost from one of the last remaining areas in Australia with an intact small mammal fauna.



We would first like to thank the Tiwi Land Council and the Tiwi traditional owners for their ongoing 403 support of scientific research on their land. We also acknowledge the amazing assistance provided by 404 Jaime Heiniger, Casey Visintin, José Lahoz-Monfort, Fin Roberts, John van Osta, Phoebe Burns, 405 406 Amélie Corriveau, Chris Davies, Hayley Geyle and Tiwi College staff and students. This work was supported by the Australian Research Council (DE130100434 and LP150100615), Hermon Slade 407 408 Foundation, Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Northern Territory 409 Department of Land Resource Management, National Environmental Science Programme's 410 Threatened Species Recovery Hub, Paddy Pallin Foundation and Holsworth Wildlife Research 411 Endowment. We recognise also the contributions of Kym Brennan, Martin Armstrong, Alaric Fisher, 412 Jenni Low Choy and Simon Ward to the 2000-2002 sampling.

413

414 <u>References:</u>

ABBOTT, I. 2002. Origin and spread of the cat, Felis catus, on mainland Australia, with a discussion
of the magnitude of its early impact on native fauna. Wildlife Research, 29, 51-74.

- ABBOTT, I. 2008. The spread of the cat (Felis catus) in Australia: Re-examination of the current
 conceptual model with additional information. Conservation Science Western Australia, 7, 117.
- 420 ABBOTT, I. & BURBIDGE, A. 1995. The occurrence of mammal species on the islands of Australia:
 421 a summary of existing knowledge. CALMScience.
- BROCKLEHURST, P. 1998. The History and natural Resources of the Tiwi Islands, Northern
 Territory. Parks and Wildlife Commission of the Northern Territory.
- BURBIDGE, A. A. & MCKENZIE, N. L. 1989. Patterns in the modern decline of western Australia's
 vertebrate fauna: Causes and conservation implications. Biological Conservation, 50, 143198,
- BURNHAM, K. P. & ANDERSON, D. R. 2002. Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A
 Practical Information-Theoretic Approach, New York, Springer-Verlag.
- DAVIES, H. F., MCCARTHY, M. A., FIRTH, R. S. C., WOINARSKI, J., GILLESPIE, G. R.,
 ANDERSEN, A. N., GEYLE, H., NICHOLSON, E. & MURPHY, B. P. 2016. Top-down
 control of species distributions: feral cats driving the regional extinction of a threatened
 rodent in northern Australia. Diversity and Distributions, 23, 272-283.
- FIRTH, R. S. C. 2010. Population monitoring of a threatened species; the brush-tailed rabbit-rat
 Conilurus penicillatus in Kakadu National Park and Garig Gunak Barlu National Park
 (Cobourg Peninsula). Darwin, Australia: EWL Sciences.
- FIRTH, R. S. C., BROOK, B. W., WOINARSKI, J. C. Z. & FORDHAM, D. A. 2010. Decline and
 likely extinction of a northern Australian native rodent, the Brush-tailed Rabbit-rat Conilurus
 penicillatus. Biological Conservation, 143, 1193-1201.
- FIRTH, R. S. C., WOINARSKI, J. C. Z., BRENNAN, K. G. & HEMPEL, C. 2006a. Environmental
 relationships of the brush-tailed rabbit-rat, Conilurus penicillatus, and other small mammals
 on the Tiwi Islands, northern Australia. Journal of Biogeography, 33, 1820-1837.
- FIRTH, R. S. C., WOINARSKI, J. C. Z. & NOSKE, R. A. 2006b. Home range and den characteristics
 of the brush-tailed rabbit-rat (Conilurus penicillatus) in the monsoonal tropics of the Northern
 Territory, Australia. Wildlife Research, 33, 397-407.
- FISHER, D. O., JOHNSON, C. N., LAWES, M. J., FRITZ, S. A., MCCALLUM, H., BLOMBERG,
 S. P., VANDERWAL, J., ABBOTT, B., FRANK, A., LEGGE, S., LETNIC, M., THOMAS,
 C. R., FISHER, A., GORDON, I. J. & KUTT, A. 2013. The current decline of tropical
- 448 marsupials in Australia: is history repeating? Global Ecology and Biogeography, 23, 181 449 190.
- FISKE, I. & CHANDLER, R. 2011. unmarked: An R Package for Fitting Hierarchical Models of
 Wildlife Occurrence and Abundance. Journal of Statistical Software, 43, 1-23.
- FRANK, A. S. K., JOHNSON, C. N., POTTS, J. M., FISHER, A., LAWES, M. J., WOINARSKI, J.
 C. Z., TUFT, K., RADFORD, I. J., GORDON, I. J., COLLIS, M.-A. & LEGGE, S. 2014.

- 454 Experimental evidence that feral cats cause local extirpation of small mammals in Australia's 455 tropical savannas. Journal of Applied Ecology, 51, 1486-1493.
- 456 FRIEND, G. 1987. Population ecology of Mesembriomys gouldii (Rodentia: Muridae) in the wet-dry
 457 tropics of the Northern Territory. Wildlife Research, 14, 293-303.
- 458 GEYLE, H. 2015. Survey design for detecting declines in the threatened brush-tailed rabbit-rat
 459 Conilurus penicillatus on the Tiwi Islands of the Northern Territory. Deakin University.
- 460 GILLESPIE, G. R., BRENNAN, K., GENTLES, T., HILL, B., LOW CHOY, J., MAHNEY, T.,
- 461 STEVENS, A. & STOKELD, D. 2015. A guide for the use of remote cameras for wildlife
 462 survey in northern Australia. National Environmental Research Program, Northern Australia
 463 Hub, Charles Darwin University.
- 464 GUILLERA-ARROITA, G., LAHOZ-MONFORT, J. J., MACKENZIE, D. I., WINTLE, B. A. &
 465 MCCARTHY, M. A. 2014. Ignoring imperfect detection in biological surveys is dangerous: a
 466 response to 'fitting and interpreting occupancy models'. PLoS ONE, 9, e99571.
- 467 HARDMAN, B., MORO, D. & CALVER, M. 2016. Direct evidence implicates feral cat predation as
 468 the primary cause of failure of a mammal reintroduction programme. Ecological Management
 469 & Restoration, 17, 152-158.
- HOHNEN, R., TUFT, K., MCGREGOR, H. W., LEGGE, S., RADFORD, I. J. & JOHNSON, C. N.
 2016. Occupancy of the invasive feral cat varies with habitat complexity. PLoS ONE, 11,
 e0152520.
- 473 IUCN 1996. 1996 IUCN Red List of Threatened Animals Gland, Switzerland and Cambridge, UK,
 474 IUCN.
- JOHNSON, C. 2006. Australia's Mammal Extinctions: a 50,000-year History, Melbourne, Cambridge
 University Press.
- 477 KENNEDY, M., PHILLIPS, B. L., LEGGE, S., MURPHY, S. A. & FAULKNER, R. A. 2012. Do
 478 dingoes suppress the activity of feral cats in northern Australia? Austral Ecology, 37, 134479 139.
- LAWES, M. J., MURPHY, B. P., FISHER, A., WOINARSKI, J. C., EDWARDS, A. & RUSSELLSMITH, J. 2015. Small mammals decline with increasing fire extent in northern Australia:
 evidence from long-term monitoring in Kakadu National Park. International Journal of
 Wildland Fire, 24, 712.
- 484 LEAHY, L., LEGGE, S. M., TUFT, K., MCGREGOR, H. W., BARMUTA, L. A., JONES, M. E. &
 485 JOHNSON, C. N. 2016. Amplified predation after fire suppresses rodent populations in
 486 Australia's tropical savannas. Wildlife Research, 42, 705-716.
- LEGGE, S., MURPHY, B., MCGREGOR, H., WOINARSKI, J., AUGUSTEYN, J., BALLARD, G.,
 BASELER, M., BUCKMASTER, T., DICKMAN, C. & DOHERTY, T. 2016. Enumerating a
 continental-scale threat: How many feral cats are in Australia? Biological Conservation.

- LEGGE, S., MURPHY, S., KINGSWOOD, R., MAHER, B. & SWAN, D. 2011. EcoFire: restoring
 the biodiversity values of the Kimberley region by managing fire. Ecological Management &
 Restoration, 12, 84-92.
- MACKENZIE, D. I. & BAILEY, L. L. 2004. Assessing the fit of site-occupancy models. Journal of
 Agricultural, Biological, and Environmental Statistics, 9, 300-318.
- MCGREGOR, H., LEGGE, S., JONES, M. E. & JOHNSON, C. N. 2015. Feral cats are better killers
 in open habitats, revealed by animal-borne video. PLoS ONE, 10, e0133915.
- 497 MCGREGOR, H. W., LEGGE, S., JONES, M. E. & JOHNSON, C. N. 2016. Extraterritorial hunting
 498 expeditions to intense fire scars by feral cats. Scientific reports, 6.
- MURPHY, B. P. & DAVIES, H. F. 2014. There is a critical weight range for Australia's declining
 tropical mammals. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 23, 1058-1061.
- MURPHY, B. P., PARON, P., PRIOR, L. D., BOGGS, G. S., FRANKLIN, D. C. & BOWMAN, D.
 M. 2010. Using generalized autoregressive error models to understand fire-vegetation-soil
 feedbacks in a mulga-spinifex landscape mosaic. Journal of Biogeography, 37, 2169-2182.
- RICHARDS, A. E., ANDERSEN, A. N., SCHATZ, J. O. N., EAGER, R., DAWES, T. Z., HADDEN,
 K., SCHEEPERS, K. & VAN DER GEEST, M. 2012. Savanna burning, greenhouse gas
 emissions and indigenous livelihoods: Introducing the Tiwi Carbon Study. Austral Ecology,
 37, 712-723.
- RICHARDS, A. E., LIEDLOFF, A. & SCHATZ, J. 2015. Tiwi Islands CFI capability project report.
 Australia: CSIRO.
- RUSSELL-SMITH, J., WHITEHEAD, P. J., COOK, G. D. & HOARE, J. L. 2003a. Response of
 Eucalyptus-dominated savanna to frequent fires: Lessons from Munmarlary, 1973–1996.
 Ecological Monographs, 73, 349-375.
- RUSSELL-SMITH, J., YATES, C., EDWARDS, A., ALLAN, G. E., COOK, G. D., COOKE, P.,
 CRAIG, R., HEATH, B. & SMITH, R. 2003b. Contemporary fire regimes of northern
 Australia, 1997-2001: change since Aboriginal occupancy, challenges for sustainable
 management. International Journal of Wildland Fire, 12, 283-297.
- 517 SHORT, J. 2016. Predation by feral cats key to the failure of a long-term reintroduction of the western
 518 barred bandicoot (Perameles bougainville). Wildlife Research, 43, 38-50.
- 519 SHORT, J., KINNEAR, J. E. & ROBLEY, A. 2002. Surplus killing by introduced predators in
 520 Australia—evidence for ineffective anti-predator adaptations in native prey species?
 521 Biological Conservation, 103, 283-301.
- SOUTHGATE, R., PALMER, C., ADAMS, M., MASTERS, P., TRIGGS, B. & WOINARSKI, J.
 1996. Population and habitat characteristics of the golden bandicoot (Isoodon auratus) on
 Marchinbar Island, Northern Territory. Wildlife Research, 23, 647-664.
- VIGILANTE, T. & BOWMAN, D. M. J. S. 2004. Effects of individual fire events on the flower
 production of fruit-bearing tree species, with reference to Aboriginal people's management

- and use, at Kalumburu, North Kimberley, Australia. Australian Journal of Botany, 52, 405-416.
- WOINARSKI, J., BURBIDGE, A. & HARRISON, P. 2014. Action Plan for Australian Mammals2012.
- WOINARSKI, J. & WESTAWAY, J. 2008. Hollow formation in the Eucalyptus miniata–E.
 tetrodonta open forests and savanna woodlands of tropical northern Australia. Final report to
 Land and Water Australia (Native Vegetation Program). Project TRC-14.
- WOINARSKI, J. C. Z., ARMSTRONG, M., BRENNAN, K., FISHER, A., GRIFFITHS, A. D.,
 HILL, B., MILNE, D. J., PALMER, C., WARD, S., WATSON, M., WINDERLICH, S. &
 YOUNG, S. 2010. Monitoring indicates rapid and severe decline of native small mammals in
 Kakadu National Park, northern Australia. Wildlife Research, 37, 116-126.
- WOINARSKI, J. C. Z., LEGGE, S., FITZSIMONS, J. A., TRAILL, B. J., BURBIDGE, A. A.,
 FISHER, A., FIRTH, R. S. C., GORDON, I. J., GRIFFITHS, A. D., JOHNSON, C. N.,
 MCKENZIE, N. L., PALMER, C., RADFORD, I., RANKMORE, B., RITCHIE, E. G.,
 WARD, S. & ZIEMBICKI, M. 2011a. The disappearing mammal fauna of northern Australia:
 context, cause, and response. Conservation Letters, 4, 192-201.
- WOINARSKI, J. C. Z., MILNE, D. J. & WANGANEEN, G. 2001. Changes in mammal populations
 in relatively intact landscapes of Kakadu National Park, Northern Territory, Australia. Austral
 Ecology, 26, 360-370.
- WOINARSKI, J. C. Z., PALMER, C., FISHER, A., BRENNAN, K., SOUTHGATE, R. &
 MASTERS, P. 1999. Distributional patterning of mammals on the Wessel and English
 Company Islands, Arnhem Land, Northern Territory, Australia. Australian Journal of
 Zoology, 47, 87-111.
- WOINARSKI, J. C. Z., RISLER, J. & KEAN, L. 2004. Response of vegetation and vertebrate fauna
 to 23 years of fire exclusion in a tropical Eucalyptus open forest, Northern Territory,
 Australia. Austral Ecology, 29, 156-176.
- WOINARSKI, J. C. Z. & TIWI LAND COUNCIL 2001. Biodiversity Conservation on the Tiwi
 Islands: Plants, Vegetation Types and Terrestrial Vertebrates on Melville Island: a Report to
 the Tiwi Land Council, Parks and Wildlife Commission of the Northern Territory.
- 556 WOINARSKI, J. C. Z., WARD, S., MAHNEY, T., BRADLEY, J., BRENNAN, K., ZIEMBICKI, M.
- 557 & FISHER, A. 2011b. The mammal fauna of the Sir Edward Pellew island group, Northern
 558 Territory, Australia: refuge and death-trap. Wildlife Research, 38, 307-322.
- WOODROFFE, C. D., BRYANT, E. A., PRICE, D. M. & SHORT, S. A. 1992. Quaternary
 inheritance of coastal landforms, Cobourg Peninsula, Northern Territory. Australian
 Geographer, 23, 101-115.
- 562 ZIEMBICKI, M. R., WOINARSKI, J. C., WEBB, J. K., VANDERDUYS, E., TUFT, K., SMITH, J.,
 563 RITCHIE, E. G., REARDON, T. B., RADFORD, I. J., PREECE, N., PERRY, J., MURPHY,

B. P., MCGREGOR, H., LEGGE, S., LEAHY, L., LAWES, M. J., KANOWSKI, J.,
JOHNSON, C., JAMES, A., GRIFFITHS, A., GILLESPIE, G. R., FRANK, A., FISHER, A.
& BURBIDGE, A. A. 2014. Stemming the tide: progress towards resolving the causes of
decline and implementing management responses for the disappearing mammal fauna of
northern Australia. Therya, 6, 169-225.

569

570

anus \geq 5

Table 1: Description and justification of the variables used in analyses to assess the correlates of Melville Island mammal distribution in 2015.

Explanatory variable	Description and justification for inclusion	Variable used in analyses to predict:
Fire activity	Following Lawes et al. (2015), a remote-sensed fire variable derived from fine-scale $(30 \times 30 \text{ m})$ LANDSAT satellite imagery, representing the proportion of the area surrounding each site that was burnt in each year, averaged over the five years preceding mammal sampling. Calculations were made using an area with a radius of 3.2 km as shown by Lawes et al. (2015) to have the strongest influence on mammal populations.	 Feral cat activity Mammal occupancy and detectability
Rainfall	Mean annual rainfall (Australian Bureau of Meteorology 2015). Throughout Australia, feral cat densities tend to be lower in areas of high rainfall (Legge et al., 2016) and mammal species in areas of high rainfall have declined the least (Fisher et al., 2013).	 Feral cat activity Mammal occupancy and detectability
Basal area	Calculated as the sum of the basal area (m ² /ha) of 50 trees (with a diameter at breast height greater than 5 cm) measured within a quadrat 5 m wide with a length equal to the distance to the 50 th measured tree or a maximum of 200 m. Firth et al. 2006a demonstrated the influence of basal area on the occurrence of mammals.	• Mammal occupancy and detectability
Dingo activity	The proportion of nights that dingos were recorded on camera at each site. This was taken as an approximation of dingo activity at each site. Included in analyses to investigate the potential negative influence of dingos on feral cats and potential benefits for mammal populations (Johnson, 2006, Kennedy et al., 2012).	 Feral cat activity Mammal occupancy and detectability
Shrub density	A count of the number of shrubs in a 1 × 100 m quadrat at each site. Shrubs were defined as anything greater than 20 cm in height with a diameter at breast height of less than 5 cm. Shrubs with multiple stems were counted as a single	 Feral cat activity Mammal occupancy and

	individual. Vegetation structure has been demonstrated to		detectability
	reduce feral cat hunting success, and therefore influence the		
	distribution of feral cats as well as the occupancy and		
	detectability of mammals (McGregor et al., 2015).		
Distance to	A remote-sensed variable measuring the distance (m) from	•	Mammal
water	each site to the closest permanent water body. The distance to		occupancy and
	water was demonstrated by Firth et al. (2006a) to strongly		detectability
	influence a number of mammals on Melville Island.		
Coarse	A count of the number of logs with a diameter of greater than 5	•	Mammal
woody	cm that crossed a 200 m transect at each site. Included in		occupancy and
debris	analyses due to Firth et al. (2006b) demonstrating the reliance		detectability
(CWD)	of some mammals on fallen logs as den sites.		
Frank			
Feral cat	As an index of feral cat activity, we used the predicted	•	Mammal
activity	probability of detecting feral cats at each site, derived from		occupancy and
	spatially explicit generalised linear models (Murphy et al.,		detectability
	2010). The probability of feral cat detection was included in		
	the analyses as cats have been implicated as a major factor in		
	the northern mammal decline (Woinarski et al., 2011a,		
	Ziembicki et al., 2014). SeeDavies et al. (2016).		
Julian day	The Julian day of the calendar year that sampling started at	•	Mammal
	each site. Recent work by Geyle (2015) demonstrated that the		detectability
	detectability of the brush-tailed rabbit-rat (Conilurus		
	penicillatus) increases throughout the dry season (May –		
	November).		
Number of	An observation level covariate to account for the variation in	•	Mammal
cameras	detectability arising from uneven numbers of cameras		detectability
operating	operating at different sites due to camera malfunction and		
	destruction.		

Snus \geq

Table 2: Summary of the changes in the native mammal populations of Melville Island recorded with live-trapping between 2000–02 and 2015. Naïve occupancy was calculated as the percentage of the 82 live-trapped sites where a species was detected. * = p < 0.05, *** = p < 0.001. Decreases denoted by -, increases denoted by +. Species in bold indicate a body-size outside the critical weight range. Dashes indicate species for which a proportional change in trap-success could not be calculated.

	Naïve	No	Change in	Trap-	Trap-	Change in
Species	occupancy	Naive occupancy	naïve	success	success	trap-
	2000-02		occupancy	2000-02	2015	success
	(%)	2015 (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)

				(±SE)	(±SE)	
Northern brown				6.91	0.71	
bandicoot (Isoodon	49	12	- 75	(0.91)	(0.23)	- 90***
macrourus)				(0.77)	(0.23)	
Black-footed tree-rat				3 69	1 38	
(Mesembriomys	38	23	- 39	(0.59)	(0.33)	- 63***
gouldii)			(0.57)	(0.55)		
Common brushtail				3 78	3 32	
possum (Trichosurus	27	38	+ 29	(0.88)	(0.73)	- 12
vulpecula)				(0.88)	(0.73)	
Brush-tailed rabbit-						
rat	17	0	50	0.53	0.19	61*
(Conilurus	17	9	- 30	(0.15)	(0.08)	- 04 ·
penicillatus)						
Grassland melomys	7	4	50	0.69	0.10	00
(Melomys burtoni)	1	4 - 30	(0.31)	(0.06)	- 00	
Delicate mouse				0.20	0.20	
(Pseudomys	7	12	+ 29	(0.20)	(0.10)	+ 33
delicatulus)				(0.09)	(0.10)	
Pale field-rat	6	1	20	0.10	0.02	80
(Rattus tunneyi)	0	1	- 80	(0.05)	(0.02)	- 80
Red-cheeked dunnart				0.10	0.03	
(Sminthopsis	5	1	- 75	(0.06)	(0.03)	- 70
virginiae)				(0.00)	(0.03)	
Northern sugar glider	2	0		0.03	0	
(Petaurus breviceps)	2	0	-	(0.02)	0	-
Northern brush-tailed				0.03		
phascogale	2	0	-	(0.03)	0	-
(Phascogale pirata)				(0.02)		
Butler's dunnart				0.03		
(Sminthopsis	1	0	-	(0.03)	0	-
butleri)				(0.02)		
Western chestnut					0.03	
mouse	0	2	-	0	(0.03)	-
(Pseudomys nanus)					(0.02)	





Figure 2: The difference in live trap-success in 2000-02 (solid line) and 2015 (dashed line) for a) northern brown bandicoot b) black-footed tree-rat c) brush-tailed rabbit-rat and d) common brushtail possum. Asterisks indicate a statistically significant (p < 0.05) change in trap-success.

Author

a) Northern brown bandicoot



Figure 3: Model averaged regression coefficient estimates for a) the northern brown bandicoot, b) the black-footed tree-rat, c) the common brushtail possum and d) the brush-tailed rabbit-rat (first published in Davies et al. (2016)). Error bars indicate 95% confidence intervals; asterisks indicate where they do not overlap zero, i.e. a statistically significant effect. Data sourced from 2015 camera-trapping.